

Wilton Joubert Limited T/A Geotek Solutions

GEOTECHNICAL COMPLETION REPORT

FOR

10-LOT

LIGHT-INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION

AT

86 & 88 HOBSONVILLE ROAD, HOBSONVILLE

FOR AUSTINO HOBSONVILLE 2 LIMITED

Ref No. 94185.1 GCR

11 November 2021

DOCUMENT RECORD

CLIENT	Austino Hobsonville 2 Limited
PROJECT	10-Lot Light-Industrial Subdivision,
	86 & 88 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville
PROJECT NO.	94185.1 GCR
DOCUMENT	FINAL Geotechnical Completion Report

ISSUE AND REVISION RECORD

Status/Revision No. FINAL

Date of Issue 11 November 2021

Authored by	J. Meehl (BEng Civil (Hons))	Geotechnical Engineer	jed@geotek.co.nz	
Reviewed by	M. Foster (MSc Geog, MEngNZ)	Senior Engineering Geologist	mike@geotek.co.nz	Inte
Approved by	D. Soric (BE, CPEng, CMEngNZ)	Senior Geotechnical Engineer	damir@geotek.co.nz	Dard /

Report Prepared For	Attention	Email
Austino Hobsonville 2 Limited	Russel Strahle	russel@austino.com.au





CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Geotechnical Investigation Report and Earthworks Design
- 3 Earthworks Specification and Control
- 4. Earthworks Operations and Construction
- 5. Earthworks Construction Summary
- 6. Service Trench Backfill
- 7. Underfill Subsoil Drains
- 8. Timber Pole Retaining Wall
- 9. Overland Flow Path
- 10. Topsoil Depths & Post Construction Hand Augers
- 11. Expansive Soils Site Classification
- 12. Settlement of Engineered Fill
- 13. Specific Investigation and Design Zones (SIDZ)
- 14. Site Stability
- 15. Conclusions and Recommendations

15.1 Land Stability

- 15.2 Specific Investigation and Design Zones
- 15.3 Foundation Bearing Recommendations
- 15.4 Specific Foundation Design for Mitigation of Expansive Soils
- 15.5 Floor Loads for Light-Industrial Buildings
- 15.6 Foundations in Proximity to Buried Service Lines
- 15.7 NZS1170.5:2004 Site Subsoil Classification
- 15.8 Subgrade Preparation/Protection
- 15.9 Foundation Care & Maintenance
- 15.10 Future Cuts/Fill Limitations
- 15.11 Stormwater Control
- 15.12 Construction Monitoring for Building Consent
- 16. Statement of Professional Opinion as to Suitability of Land for Building Development
- 17. Limitations

APPENDICES

Plans – Geotek Solutions

Drawing No.	Description	Date Issued	Rev
GS101	Specific Investigation/Design Zones over As-Built Finished Contour Plan	November 2021	2
GS102	Site Stability Design Zones over As-Built Finished Contour Plan	November 2021	2
GS103	Check Borehole Locations over As-Built Cut/Fill Plan	November 2021	2
GS104	Fill Test Locations over As-Built Cut/Fill Plan	November 2021	2

As-Built Plans supplied by Benchmark Survey Group Limited Job number 011-A20-001

	Drawing No.	Description	Date Issued
Ī	AB07/001 As-Built Survey: Finished Contour Plan		10/11/2021
	AB07/002	As-Built Survey: Cut/Fill Isopach	10/11/2021
	AB07/003 & AB07/004	As-Built Survey: Overland Flow Path	10/11/2021
	AB07/005	As-Built Survey: Earthworks Reference	10/11/2021
	AB02/001 - 006	As-Built Survey: Public Waste-Water Drainage	May 2021
	AB03/001 - 008	As-Built Survey: Public Stormwater Drainage	May 2021

Fill Test Summary

Inspection Records

(5 sheets)

(82 sheets)





Foundation Soils Data Hand Auger Borehole Records	(72 sheets)
Geotechnical Investigation Report	
"Geotechnical Site Investigation for the Proposed 10-Lot Light Industrial Subdivision	(81 sheets)
at 86 and 88 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville" dated 27 May 2019 (reference 7273)	





1. Introduction

This Geotechnical Completion Report (GCR) covers the earthworks construction for the light-industrial subdivision at 86 and 88 Hobsonville Road in Hobsonville, comprising 10 (no.) light-industrial lots, as shown on the appended Benchmark Survey Group Limited "As Built" plans, which are listed in the preceding Table of Contents.

The 10 (no.) light industrial lots are identified as Lots 1 through 10 (inclusive) with Lots 1 through 5 being accessed from Hobsonville Road and Lots 6 through 10 accessed from the new public road called Westpoint Drive.

Please note, this GCR does not include the bulk earthworks construction to the south of Westpoint Drive as the earthworks are incomplete and are currently covered with stockpiles. We understand that this block of land will be subject to future residential subdivision earthworks and will require a separate GCR.

2. Geotechnical Investigation Report and Earthworks Design

Geotek Solutions Limited prepared the following Geotechnical Investigation Report (GIR) for the lightindustrial subdivision:

"Geotechnical Site Investigation for the Proposed 10-Lot Light Industrial Subdivision at 86 and 88 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville" dated 27 May 2019 (reference 7273)"

During earthworks construction, as well as in preparing this Completion Report, we have revisited the Conclusions and Recommendations made in that report, and duly reconsidered these in light of the recent earthworks operations.

With reference to the engineering drawings, by Harrison Grierson (we initially confirmed they were largely in keeping with the expectations of the GIR), which included:

- Cuts of up to 5.5 metres depth through the northern-central portion of the site,
- Placing the resulting cut spoil as engineered filling to raise the low-lying north-western, northeastern, and south-eastern areas surrounding the cut down area by up to 5.0 metres depth.
- The construction of a new public road along the southern boundary of the subdivision,

The bulk of the earthworks undertaken were largely in keeping with the design with no significant geotechnical challenges, apart from during the final stages of the earthworks that were carried out through the winter months, as discussed in greater detail below.





3. Earthworks Specification and Control

General earthworks procedures and compaction testing were carried out in accordance with NZS4404:2004 part 2 and NZS4431:1989, where appropriate. Monitoring and testing of fill compaction was undertaken using a combination of the following:

- Frequent and regular measurements of undrained shear strength in the surface of recently compacted fill and
- Testing of clay fill density and moisture content using a nuclear densometer as well as in-situ sampling for water content verification.

Undrained shear strength testing was undertaken generally in accordance with the method specified in the NZ Geotechnical Society 2001 document entitled "Guideline for Hand Held Shear Vane Test" which involves correction to BS1377 standard.

Nuclear Densometer Testing (NDT) of Field Dry Density was undertaken in accordance with NZS 4407:1991, Test 4.2.1, and Field Water Content in accordance with NZS 4402:1986; Test 2.1.

In order to provide the most flexibility for variations in soil types, the earthworks compaction criteria used for control were the maximum allowable air voids/minimum allowable shear strength figures, as follows: -

	Air Voids Percentage (as defined IN NZS4402:1986) Maximum Average Value % %		Undrained Shear Strength (Measured insitu by IANZ calibrated vane	
			Minimum Average Value kPa	Minimum Single Value kPa
General Fill	10	12	140	110

Air Voids and Shear Vane (for cohesive soils only)

Note: The average value was to be determined over any four consecutive tests.

Please note, as per Section 2.B.5 of Auckland Council's Code of Practice for Land Development & Subdivision, this report does not detail any of the pavement construction.

4. Earthworks Operations and Construction

Bulk earthworks commenced during January 2020 and was completed by August 2021. The project civil contractor was M3 Civil with Bob Hick Earthmoving being sub-contracted to undertake the bulk earthworks operations from the start of the works until late 2020. Thereafter, M3 Civil assumed responsibility for completion of the civil works including the finalisation of earthworks.





The bulk earthworks were generally in keeping with expectations with the deepest cuts of up to 5.5 metres through the central portion of the site, and filling up to 3 metres depth in the north-western corner, 1.5 metres depth in the north-eastern corner, and up to 5 metres depth underlying the road to the south of Lot 5/6. Elsewhere cuts and fills were typically no more than 1.0 metres depth.

Bob Hick Earthmoving undertook topsoil stripping and undercutting of pre-existing fill along with the removal of unsuitables in the gullies immediately to the south of Westpoint Drive before installing underfill drains. Bulk excavations through the central portion of the lots resulted in spoil being used to fill the gullies to the south.

Pre-existing unsuitable fill which was identified in earlier investigations with some degree of contamination, was removed as part of stripping excavations, and described in the Geosciences Limited "Works Completion Report Following Development Earthworks at 86 – 90 Hobsonville Road" dated 29 January 2021 (reference Ltr-1328b/WCR/Jan21). From review of that report, we understand that the majority of the contaminated material was removed from the site, apart from some of the topsoil material that was placed on the batter below the fill area to the south of the subdivision road, and on the road reserve batter to the west of the subdivision road. These areas have been shown on the appended As-Built drawing number AB07/005. We are of the understanding that no contaminated material was placed within Lots 1 to 10.

Earthworks construction was carried out generally in accordance with part 2 of NZS4404:2004, "Land Development and Subdivision Engineering" and its companion document, NZS4431:1989, "Code of Practice for Earth Fill for Residential Development."

Site inspections and fill testing were performed by Geotek Solutions (GS) generally at the request of the earthwork's contractor, as well as our own spot checks.

The primary purpose of the inspections was to confirm the suitability of stripping, with the removal of all topsoil as well as any pre-existing fill deemed to be unsuitable (i.e. intermixed with excessive topsoil), and to confirm the presence of competent natural ground.

The bulk earthworks which were undertaken by Bob Hick Earthmoving were relatively straight-forward apart from interruptions during periods of wet weather, and COVID-19 lockdowns.

However, once M3 Civil took over the final earthworks, the works became sporadic, in some part due to inclement weather with much of the work not geotechnically supervised by ourselves resulting in deviations from what should have been earthworks completed to engineered standards. We are aware of the following deviations which we either discovered through the drilling of an extensive array of post-construction hand auger boreholes or we were informed of, generally after the fact, by M3 Civil.



THOROUGH ANALYSIS • DEPENDABLE ADVICE GEOTECHNICAL • STORMWATER • WASTEWATER



7

- 1. We encountered an area of recent fill in one of our check boreholes (HAJ3) located in the north—eastern portion of Lots 1 and 2. When we queried M3 Civil, we were told that under their own direction, they undercut a weak area of soil and replaced it with fill. The fill we drilled through comprised shear strength readings below the required specification to a depth of 1.3 metres. Following our initial assessment, we have undertaken additional investigation around HAJ3 to further define this zone, by drilling 8 (no.) hand auger boreholes. We encountered layers with similar shear strength readings below the required specification in the majority of the holes, to depths of 1.4 metres as detailed in SIR 42 as appended. We have shown the approximate area on Drawing GS101. To account for this zone located at the boundary of Lots 1 and 2, where some of the fill encountered comprised shear strength readings below the required specification, we recommend adopting a reduced ultimate bearing capacity of 200kPa as discussed later herein.
- 2. A temporary sediment control pond, constructed largely within Lot 10, but also partially intersecting the north-western corner of Lot 8 and the accessway to Lot 9, was only partly inspected by ourselves, when the southern approximately two-thirds of the pond was appropriately stripped prior and backfilled with engineered clay fill. However, we were never called to inspect the stripping or filling of the remaining northern portion of the pond. We subsequently drilled hand auger boreholes (P1 & P2) through the northern end of the pond and encountered weak fill, with shear strength readings below the required specification to a depth of 2 metres. We understand that the pond was around 3 metres deep, and we would expect the weak fill to extend to the base of the old pond. We have shown the approximate area of the northern portion of the pond on Drawing GS101, located in Lot 10, which will require investigation at the time of future lot development.
- 3. Following the backfilling of the pond, a large stockpile on Lots 8, 9 and 10 was gradually removed and stockpiled to the south of the subdivision road. No haul road was formed and the loaded machinery movements resulted in deep rutting and surface disturbance across the majority of Lot 10, and across the accessway to Lot 9 and through the western portion of Lot 8. We understand that these ruts were undercut and backfilled with clay fill, without our supervision. Subsequent check boreholes through this area identified weak fill, with shear strength readings below the required specification to a depth of up to 1.0 metres. Following our initial assessment, we have undertaken additional investigation, involving the excavation of digger pits on Lots 8 and hand auger boreholes on Lot 9 to refine the zone, however no additional investigation was undertaken on Lot 10 (refer to SIR 43 as appended). We have shown these approximate "Disturbed Areas" on Drawing GS101 which will require investigation/design at the time of future lot development
- 4. Following the completion of the earthworks, a gravel haul road along the eastern ends of Lots 3, 4 and 5 was stripped to expose competent natural ground. During the stripping inspection, a thick seam of topsoil was noted in the sidewalls of the cuts, extending to the west, into the subdivision and back towards Hobsonville Road. We were only given the opportunity to inspect portions of the stripped topsoil, and we understand that the contractor chased out the rest of the material before covering the area with clay fill under their own direction. We subsequently returned to site to test the compaction,





8

however the majority of the shear strength readings were below the required specification across the finished surface. We drilled hand auger boreholes through the fill and excavated two pits, with similar weak strengths noted. Following our initial assessment, we have undertaken additional investigation, involving the excavation of 5 (no.) digger pits to refine the zone (refer to SIR 42 as appended). To the best of our knowledge, the weak fill is confined to Lots 4 and 5 and is around 0.5 metres depth at the northern end and approaching 2 metres depth towards the southern end. We have shown the approximate area of weak fill on Drawing GS101 which will require investigation at the time of future lot development.

The areas described above have been "tagged" as requiring further specific investigation and foundation design as discussed in section 13 below.

5. Earthworks Construction Summary

The following is a month-by-month summary of the inspections made by us between February 2020 and August 2021.

Please refer to the appended site inspection notes and fill summary sheet for greater detail. Please note that we have only included inspection site notes for the earthworks on Lots 1 to 10 and under the Subdivision Road. The fill tests for the future residential subdivision have been removed from our site plan and blanked out on the fill summary sheet to avoid confusion.

February 2020

• Work began by stripping the southern gully underlying the subdivision road, to the south of Lot 5/6.

<u>March 2020</u>

- Work continued stripping the southern gully underlying the subdivision road, to the south of Lot 5/6.
- A subsoil drain was installed through the southern gully prior to bulk filling with cut material from the central portion of the subdivision.
- Stripping of the north-western portion and south-western portions of the subdivision continued through to the middle of the month.
- The Northern sediment pond was then constructed across the stripped north-western area.
- A total of 16 (no.) clay fill tests were undertaken during this month with all tests passing.

The site was shut down in March due to the COVID-19 Lockdown and was only re-opened in late April.

<u>April 2020</u>

• Following lockdown, the site was reopened in late April, with clay filling around the south-eastern area.





• A total of 4 (no.) clay fill tests were undertaken during this month with all tests passing.

<u>May 2020</u>

- Heavy rain through the Auckland area left the site too wet to undertake significant works in the first two weeks of the month.
- Site-work recommenced with clay filling in the south-eastern portion of the site.
- Cutting continued through the central-western area of the site to the approximate finished level.
- The majority of the north-eastern area near the subdivision road was stripped, in addition to the southwestern bank of the northern pond.
- Clay filling was completed in the north-western area of site.
- Topsoiling commenced in the south-eastern area of the site.
- A total of 20 (no.) clay fill tests were undertaken during this month with all tests passing.

<u>June 2020</u>

- Minor cutting and filling continued along the western side of the subdivision road.
- Large cuts commenced around the central and northern area of the site.
- A total of 2 (no.) clay fill tests were undertaken during this month with all tests passing.
- The site was put on hold for the winter.

July to August 2020

• No earthwork inspections.

September 2020

- Earthworks commenced after winter.
- Bulk cutting continued through the central-northern portion of the site.
- Topsoiling completed in areas at finished cut/fill heights.

October to December 2020

• No earthwork inspections were undertaken for this stage.

<u>January 2021</u>

- M3 Civil took over from Bob Hick Earthmoving to complete the earthworks operations.
- The southern section of the Northern sediment pond was mucked out, with the northern section of the pond used as a decant pond.
- Fill was progressively placed across the southern section of the pond over the month with vegetation around sidewalls stripped in conjunction with the fill placement.
- A total of 8 (no.) clay fill tests were undertaken during this month with all tests passing.





February to May 2021

- No earthwork inspections were undertaken.
- We understand that during this time the remaining section of the northern pond was backfilled with clay fill without being monitored by Geotek.

<u>June 2021</u>

- Following several months since our last site visit, site works were focused on moving the large stockpile on Lot 10 across to the large stockpile on the southern side of the subdivision road. Given the time of year the site was water-logged and deep rutting and surface disturbance was evident from the machinery tracking across Lots 8, 9 and 10.
- The haul road along the eastern ends of Lots 3, 4 and 5 was stripped, but buried topsoil was evident in the sidewalls of the cuts, extending to the west and back towards Hobsonville Road.



Figure 1. Photo taken 23.6.21 of the deep rutted area running form Lot 10 towards Lot 8.

July 2021

- Buried topsoil beside the haul road was stripped with fill placed and compacted up to a finished level.
- Fill testing with a shear vane revealed weak fill that was wet of optimum.
- Given the weather and the condition of the site it was decided to leave the fill in place and cover with topsoil and mulch to seal up the site.
- We understand that the rutting and disturbed ground over Lots 8, 9 and 10 was undercut and replaced with clay fill, however we were not called to inspect the undercutting nor test the compaction of the fill.





• We also understand that the yard in the eastern portion of Lot 2 and 3 was stripped back to remove the gravel hardstand before being covered with topsoil, however we were not notified of these works and therefore did not have the opportunity to inspect the area prior to topsoiling.

<u>August 2021</u>

• No earthworks were undertaken

The site was shut down midway through August until towards the end of September due to the COVID-19 Lockdown.

September 2021

- We undertook a walkover on the 29th of September 2021 and noted that grass growth was well established across the lots.
- We noted the surface across the majority of Lot 10 was waterlogged and soggy, with evidence of rutting in isolated areas.
- Significant rutting and ponded water was also noted on Lots 4 and 5.



Figure 2. Photo taken of Lot 5 looking towards Hobsonville Road in the background. Note the ponded water and deep rutting evident







Figure 3. Photo taken looking towards Lot 4 with similar rutting evident.

6. Service Trench Backfill

All stormwater and sewer line trenches were backfilled without engineering supervision. As such, these trenches should be considered as comprising "uncertified" fill. Therefore, any future proposed foundations and/or structures within a 45° envelope rising from 0.5 metres below pipe inverts will require specific foundation design.

7. Underfill Subsoil Drains

We understand that an underfill drain was installed in each of the forked tributaries of the southern gully, under Westpoint Drive and extending for a short distance under Lot 5. The drains comprise 150mm diameter drainage coil, wrapped in filtersock in turn surrounded with drainage metal.

The surveyed position of the underfill subsoil drain is shown on the appended As-Built Survey: Cut/Fill Isopach (ref AB07/002). The drawing shows an approximately 5 metres section of drain that just enters the south-western corner of Lot 5 covered with around 4 metres of engineered fill.

We consider future excavations for foundations should not encounter these drains, provided the sites are not excavated beyond the limitations stated later in this report. In the unlikely event these drains are encountered, advice from a Geo-Professional familiar with the contents of this report should be sought so that the function of this drain is not impaired.





8. Timber Pole Retaining Wall

A timber pole retaining wall was constructed along the western boundary supporting engineered fill which in turn supports the public road reserve. We were engaged to inspect the soils exposed in the holes drilled for this retaining wall as well as the structural components. Our construction inspections are documented in a Producer Statement that has been supplied to Council as part of the certification for the retaining wall.

9. Overland Flow Path

Overland flow paths (OLFP) were formed along the western boundaries of Lots 1 through 4 (OLFP1), connecting into OLFP2 and along the northern boundaries of Lot 1, 9 and 10 as shown on the appended As-Built Survey: Overland Flow Path (ref AB07/003). We understand that easements have been formed over the OLFP to ensure that their function is not impaired by future development.

10. Topsoil Depths & Post Construction Hand Augers

As part of our GCR assessment, we undertook the drilling of hand augers across the site in a grid formation, spacing the boreholes in approximate 25 metre intervals, to provide an indication of the depth of topsoil which had been placed, as well as confirmation on the strength and consistency of the underlying natural and/or man-made fill material to provide further evidence and quality control of satisfactory engineered fill placement. We have appended the borehole logs which were drilled, to between 0.5 to 2.0 metres depth. We stress that we have measured topsoil at isolated locations and there could be variation in the reported depths between each location.

11. Expansive Soils Site Classification

Due to the August/September 2021 Auckland Level 4 Lockdown, we were unable to return to the site to undertake soil sampling across the site.

Based on knowledge of similar soil types and knowledge of previous sites in the area, we have adopted the visual-tactile method to estimate the level of soil expansivity of the site.

On the basis of our observation and experience with similar soils as well as acknowledging that it is the differential effects of soil moisture and volume changes (shrink/swell) across a building footprint that can cause damage to buildings, we have set the primary expansive soil classifications as defined in clause 7.5.13.1.2, as introduced to NZS3604 by Amendment 19 of NZBC Structure B1/AS1 as follows:

• Class H (Highly) Expansive Soils, with a value of y_s of 78mm for ALL lots.





These expansive soils will require mitigation by deepened perimeter footings and specifically designed concrete slab. Foundation design recommendations are given in the appropriate Conclusions and Recommendations section 15 below.

Lot-specific testing can be undertaken for each individual development at Building Consent stage.

12. Settlement of Engineered Fill

Given the depth of fill placed through the north-western gully that underlies the western portions of Lots 8 and 10, and the accessway for Lot 9, 2 (no.) settlement markers, comprising concrete barriers, were placed in the westernmost, and deepest point of the filled area on Lot 10. The survey marker at each location comprised two survey points on opposite sides of the concrete block.

The markers were first measured on 23rd April 2021 with the last measurement taken 3 months later on 29th July 2021. We have taken an average of the two readings and plotted these trends below.

Table below with settlement results for concrete barriers.						
NAME	POSI	TION	SU	RVEYED LEVE	LS	
	946 		23-Apr-21	7-Jul-21	29-Jul-21	TOTAL SETTLEMENT
MARK 1	807676.33	388070.05	42.73	42.72	42.72	-0.01
MARK 2	807673.08	388071.54	42.84	42.82	42.82	-0.02
MARK 3	807659.64	388077.48	43.31	43.31	43.31	0.00

43.40

43.40

43.40

0.00

The results of the surveyed data are shown in the table below supplied by Benchmark Survey Group Limited:

Regards

Adam Geck Director Project Surveyor

MARK 4

807656.15

Figure 4. Settlement Results sent by Benchmark Survey Group Limited via email dated 30.9.2021

388078.92

The results show that there was no settlement measured on Marker 3 and 4 over the 3-month period. A total of 10mm and 20mm of total settlement was recorded on Marker 1 and 2 respectively, with no movement from the 7th of July 2021 to the 29th of July 2021. We therefore consider that the risk of differential settlement affecting future structures resulting from fill induced settlement to be significantly low.





13. Specific Investigation and Design Zones (SIDZ)

As described in Section 4 above, we have identified 4 no. zones across the subdivision which will require site specific investigation and/or design recommendations by a Geo-Professional familiar with the contents of this report, to determine the "at-risk" foundations and/or structures (including structures such as retaining walls and pavements) and provide specific design/remediation recommendations and soils parameters at the time of future lot development. We have identified the approximate extent of these zones on the appended Drawing GS101.

- <u>13.1 Reduced Bearing Capacity Lots 1 & 2 Specific Investigation Design Zone 1 (SIDZ1)</u> is intended to highlight the extent of where some of the fill encountered comprised shear strength readings below the required specification along the common boundary of Lots 1 and 2, in the eastern portion of the site. We recommend adopting a reduced ultimate bearing capacity of 200kPa as discussed later herein.
- <u>13.2 Weak Backfill Northern Pond on Lot 10 Specific Investigation Design Zone 2 (SIDZ2)</u> is intended to highlight the weak fill that was placed to backfill the northern portion of the pond within the north-western corner of Lot 10.
- **13.3** Disturbed Ground/Weak Fill Lots 8, 9 & 10 Specific Investigation Design Zone 3 (SIDZ3) is intended to highlight the extent of weak fill that was placed following the undercutting and backfilling of the disturbed areas over the majority of Lot 10, accessway of Lot 9 and through the eastern portion of Lot 8. We have also highlighted a narrow strip that runs across the eastern boundary of Lot 10 and around 10 metres into Lot 9.
- <u>13.4 Weak Fill- Old Haul Road Lots 4 & 5 Specific Investigation Design Zone 4 (SIDZ4)</u> is intended to highlight the extent of recent fill that was placed following the undercutting and backfilling of the haul road where shear strengths below the required specification for engineered fill were measured, along the eastern ends of Lots 4 and 5.

14. Site Stability

As shown on the appended As-Built Survey: Finished Contour Plan (ref AB07/001), the overall finished gradients across the lots surface are very gently sloping, with gradients typically not exceeding 1V:25H. We are therefore satisfied the risk of slope instability affecting the subject lots in their current form to be satisfactorily low.





There are however steep batter gradients formed at around 18° (1V:3H) as shown on Drawing GS102, affecting the following lots:

- North-western corner of Lot 8
- Western end of Lot 9 accessway
- Western boundary of Lot 10
- Western boundaries of Lots 1 through 4
- Eastern boundaries of Lots 6 through 9
- Northern boundary swale of Lots 1, 9 and 10.

Any future foundations and/or structures situated on or within 5 metres of slopes greater than 14° (1V:4H) will require specific foundation design by a Structural Engineer familiar with the contents of this report.

In similar fashion, any excavation into the toe slope below a batter should not be undertaken, unless endorsed by specific assessment by a Geo-Professional and/or Structural Engineer, as such excavations could result in the batter becoming undermined and in turn any structures, foundations or the OLFP.

We recommend that at the time of formulating individual lot development proposals, these sites should be subject to a review by a Geo-Professional to more accurately determine the "at-risk" foundations and/or structures (including structures such as retaining walls) along with the depth of soil creep risk as well as specific design recommendations and soils parameters.

We stress that the extent and details of such specifically designed foundations and/or retaining walls will need to be addressed for each individual development at Building Consent stage.

15. Conclusions and Recommendations

On the basis of our geotechnical investigation, our inspections, observations, laboratory and insitu testing as described herein, it is our Professional Opinion that all lots within the 10 Lot Light-Industrial subdivision at 86 and 88 Hobsonville Road, as covered under this report, and indicated on the appended drawings, are generally suitable in terms of section 2 "Earthworks & Geotechnical Requirements" of NZS4404:2010 "Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure", as well as section 2 "Earthworks and Geotechnical Requirements" of the Auckland Council Code of Practice for Land Development & Subdivision (Version 1.6 dated 24 September 2013), for the development of Light-Industrial Buildings to be constructed with foundation loads not exceeding Ultimate Limit State Pressures of 150 kPa (i.e. 300 kPa Geotechnical Ultimate Bearing Capacity, subject to the following recommendations:





15.1 Land Stability

We generally consider that the completed works give due regard to land slope and foundation stability considerations given the very gently graded lots with the exception of localised batters formed at gradients of around 18° (1V:3H), as shown on Drawing GS102, affecting the following lots:

- North-western corner of Lot 8
- Western end of Lot 9 accessway
- Western boundary of Lot 10
- Western boundaries of Lots 1 through 4
- Eastern boundaries of Lots 6 through 9
- Northern boundary swale of Lots 1, 9 and 10.

Any future foundations and/or structures situated on or within 5 metres of slopes greater than 14° (1V:4H) will require specific foundation design by a Structural Engineer familiar with the contents of this report.

In similar fashion, any excavation into the toe slope below a batter should not be undertaken, unless endorsed by specific assessment by a Geo-Professional and/or Structural Engineer, as such excavations could result in the batter becoming undermined and in turn any structures or foundations.

We recommend that at the time of formulating individual lot development proposals, these sites should be subject to a review by a Geo-Professional to more accurately determine the "at-risk" foundations and/or structures (including structures such as retaining walls) along with the depth of soil creep risk as well as specific design recommendations and soils parameters.

We stress that the extent and details of such specifically designed foundations and/or retaining walls will need to be addressed for each individual development at Building Consent stage.

15.2 Specific Investigation and Design Zones

As described in Section 4 above, we have identified 4 (no.) zones across the subdivision which will require site specific investigation and design recommendations by a Geo-Professional familiar with the contents of this report, to determine the "at-risk" foundations and/or structures (including structures such as retaining walls and pavements) and provide specific design/remediation recommendations and soils parameters at the time of future lot development. We have identified the approximate extent of these zones on the appended Drawing GS101.





15.2.1 Reduced Bearing Capacity – Lots 1 & 2 Specific Investigation Design Zone 1 (SIDZ1) is intended to highlight the extent of where some of the fill encountered comprised shear strength readings below the required specification along the common boundary of Lots 1 and 2, in the eastern portion of the site. We recommend adopting a reduced ultimate bearing capacity of 200kPa as discussed later herein.

15.2.2 Weak Backfill - Northern Pond on Lot 10 - Specific Investigation Design Zone 2 (SIDZ2)

is intended to highlight the weak fill that was placed to backfill the northern portion of the pond within the north-western corner of Lot 10.

15.2.3 Disturbed Ground/Weak Fill Lots 8, 9 & 10 Specific Investigation Design Zone 3 (SIDZ3)

is intended to highlight the extent of weak fill that was placed following the undercutting and backfilling of the disturbed areas over the majority of Lot 10, accessway of Lot 9 and through the eastern portion of Lot 8. We have also highlighted a narrow strip that runs across the eastern boundary of Lot 10 and around 10 metres into Lot 9.

15.2.4 Weak Fill- Old Haul Road Lots 4 & 5 - Specific Investigation Design Zone 4 (SIDZ4) is

intended to highlight the extent of recent fill that was placed following the undercutting and backfilling of the haul road where shear strengths below the required specification for engineered fill were measured, along the eastern ends of Lots 4 and 5.

15.3 Foundation Bearing Recommendations

Subject to the clauses herein, we recommend limiting applied building loads from <u>shallow footings</u>, <u>pads and ground beams</u> so that a Geotechnical Ultimate Bearing Capacity (GUBC) of 300kPa is not exceeded, with the exception of Lot 1 and 2 (SIDZ1) where we recommend adopting a reduced ultimate bearing capacity of 200kPa, due to the presence of weaker fill materials provided that:

- \circ topsoil and any other deleterious material is removed and or penetrated,
- o founding on or within competent natural soils and or competent engineered fill,
- o careful inspections of the exposed subgrade are undertaken,
- \circ there is no weakening or deterioration of the subgrade, as well as
- o taking into account the expansive soil classification described in Section 15.4 below,

To the above GUBC should be applied an appropriate factor of safety, such as 2.0 for Factored Load Design to calculate the Dependable Load Capacity, or 3.0 for Working Strength Design to calculate the Allowable Load Capacity.





15.4 Specific Foundation Design for Mitigation of Expansive Soils

As described earlier in this report, we have estimated a classification of:

Class H (Highly) expansive soils, with a value of y_s of 78mm. Lots 1 through 10 inclusive.

All <u>conventional</u> footings as well as foundation piers/piles should be fully founded within competent engineered fill or natural ground and extend to a minimum depth of **900 mm below finished ground level for Class H (Highly) expansive soils.**

15.5 Floor Loads for Light-Industrial Buildings

We recommend limitations on future UDL Floor Loads of no greater than 15 kPa (Dead + Live Loads) to mitigate the risk of consolidation of the subsoils which could result in settlement of the building/s as well as differential settlement effects UNLESS future lot-specific investigation and settlement analyses are undertaken which prove otherwise.

15.6 Foundations in Proximity to Buried Service Lines

When finalising individual lot development proposals, it should be checked that all foundations lie outside 45° envelopes rising from 0.5 metres below the invert of (particularly paralleling) service trenches, <u>unless</u> such foundation details are found by specific design, to be satisfactory.

Piled foundations should not only extend below that envelope, but to sufficient embedment below that, to be able to generate enough cantilever action to structurally withstand lateral earth pressures acting on them over a width of three pile diameters and extending down to the top of the passive wedge defining the start of that cantilever action.

It is our understanding that service trenches have not typically been backfilled to any engineered standard. As a general 'rule of thumb' it may be considered that soil within close proximity of such service trenches, could be prone to a soil creep-like loss of lateral support, as trench backfill consolidates.

We recommended specific investigation to target the areas where piles are required to provide accurate undrained soil shear strength (Su) for calculating embedment using Broms theory.





15.7 NZS1170.5:2004 Site Subsoil Classification

We consider the Lots to be underlain with a Class C – Shallow Soil stratigraphy.

15.8 Subgrade Preparation/Protection

Because of the importance of the issue of expansive soils, once the exposed subgrade has been inspected by a Geo-Professional, it should be covered with 100mm of granular fill such as GAP40 basecourse as soon as possible. The granular layer will not only provide protection from the drying effects of wind and sun, but the voids within it will also serve as a reservoir of additional moisture to recharge the subgrade, being careful to form a cross-fall on the subgrade to minimise undue ponding.

Likewise footing inverts should be poured as soon as possible once inspected by a Geo-Professional or covered with a protective layer of site concrete.

If subgrade degradation occurs by:

- \circ $\;$ excessive drying out resulting in desiccation shrinkage cracking or
- o subgrade softening after a period of wet weather,

it is likely to be more practical and will be more immediate and have greater surety, to undercut the depth of the degraded zone and replace that material immediately with granular fill.

While it is accepted that "all concrete slabs crack" (most often due to shrinkage as they cure), failure to take sufficient care of the underlying subgrade before pouring the concrete slab, could result in:

- swelling of extensively cracked and/or desiccated subgrade beneath the slab, in turn causing a "hogging" of the slab or
- shrinkage of significantly wet and/or weakened subgrade in turn causing a settlement of the ground supporting the slab.

Although minor movement within the slab may be of little structural significance, it can still have adverse aesthetic effects on exposed floors, or areas of "brittle" floor tiling. Excessive "hogging" of the slab has been known to also lift footings, leading to structural distortions in walls.





15.9 Foundation Care & Maintenance

The recommendations given above to mitigate the risk of expansive soils do not necessarily remove the risk of external influences affecting the moisture in the subgrade supporting the foundations and floors.

All owners should also be aware of the detrimental effects that significant trees can have on building foundation soils, viz

- i. their presence can induce differential consolidation settlements beneath foundations through localised soil water deprivation, or conversely
- ii. foundation construction too soon after their removal can result in soil swelling and raising foundations and/or slabs as the soils rehydrate.

To this end, care should be taken to avoid

- (a) having significant trees positioned where their roots could migrate beneath the house foundations, and
- (b) constructing foundations on soils that have been differentially excessively desiccated by nearby trees, whether still existing, or recently removed.

15.10 Future Cuts/Fills Limitations

Given the potential sensitivity of the underlying subsoils to increase in surcharge pressures which could result in consolidation resulting in settlement, we stress that future fills greater than 0.5 metres depth (~10 kPa) should not be undertaken on the future lots without further review by a Geo-Professional who is familiar with the contents of this report and express approval in writing of the Council.

In a like fashion, cuts in excess of 0.5 metres that could remove the "crust" of competent soils and/or support to adjacent slopes and/or structures should also be restricted unless specifically reviewed by a Geo-Professional.

Given that the sites are predominantly gently sloping and with the limitations of cutting and filling, we anticipate that each lot will require a site-specific geotechnical assessment at the time of formulating development proposals. We will however also need to limit such cuts to a maximum height of 2.5 metres, with all cuts in excess of 1 metre requiring support by engineer designed retaining walls unless they can be safely battered back to no steeper than 1V:4H.





15.11 Stormwater Control

All stormwater runoff from roofs and paved areas, plus any water tank overflows, should be collected in sealed pipes and be disposed of into Council's reticulation system. Likewise, overland flows should be directed away from the building footprint.

Uncontrolled stormwater flows must not be allowed to run onto or over site slopes, or to saturate the ground, so as to adversely affect slope stability or foundation conditions.

Under no circumstances should concentrated overflows from any source discharge into or onto the ground in an <u>uncontrolled</u> fashion.

15.12 Construction Monitoring for Building Consent

The foregoing statements are Professional Opinion, based on a limited collection of information, some of which is factual, and some of which is inferred. Generally, any investigation is deemed less complete until the applicability of its inferences and the Professional Opinions arising out of those are checked and confirmed during the construction phase, to an appropriate level.

Because soils are not a homogeneous, manufactured building component, there always exists a level of risk that inferences about soil conditions across the greater site, which have been drawn from isolated "pin-prick" locations, may be subject to significant variations. As a result, deciding whether or not the foundation excavation inspections are a critical element to the integrity of a building and the health and safety of its occupants, and what is an appropriate level of monitoring, becomes a subjective consideration that must be evaluated by a suitably qualified and experienced "Licensed Building Practitioner", with due consideration to, inter alia, the likelihood, significance and consequence of possible variations in ground conditions from those inferred.

Therefore we recommend that all excavations, including those for bulk earthworks, shallow foundations and any piles, be inspected during construction by a Geo-Professional to check that the conditions encountered are consistent with those expected from the investigations and adopted for the design as discussed herein. If anomalies or uncertainties are identified, then further Professional advice should be sought.

It should be noted that a further requirement under the Building Act 2004, is the seeking and obtaining of a modification to the Building Consent when there are construction variations, so that when Council issue the Consent Compliance Certificate (CCC) under section 92, the construction actually ties in with the Consent.





<u>This underlines the importance of carrying out these construction inspections</u>. Furthermore, where <u>Building Consents are issued with conditions requiring a Producer Statement – Construction Review</u> (PS4), it is necessary for those inspections to have been carried out, in order for the PS4 to be able to <u>be issued</u>.

16. Statement of Professional Opinion as to Suitability of Land for Building Development

Owner/Developer:	AUSTINO HOBSONVILLE 2 LIMITED
Location:	86 & 88 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville
Development	Light-Industrial Lots 1 to 10 (inclusive)

I, Damir Soric of Wilton Joubert Limited trading as Geotek Solutions (Geotek), hereby confirm that:

 I am a Geo-Professional experienced in the field of geotechnical engineering (as defined in clause 1.2.2 of NZS4404:2010) and that Geotek Solutions was retained by the Owner/Developer as the Geo-Professional on the above development.

The extent of preliminary investigations are described in the Geotechnical Investigation Report (GIR) prepared by Geotek Services Limited entitled *"Geotechnical Site Investigation for the Proposed 10-Lot Light Industrial Subdivision at 86 and 88 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville" dated 27 May 2019 (reference 7273)*. Geotek Solutions have subsequently undertaken inspections and observations during earthworks construction and have revisited the Conclusions and Recommendations made in the GIR, and duly reconsidered these in preparation of this statement and the enclosing Geotechnical Completion Report (GCR).

- 2. In my Professional Opinion, not to be construed as a guarantee, I consider that:
 - (a) The appended Cut/Fill As-Built Plan by Benchmark Survey Group Limited shows the approximate extent of bulk earthworks undertaken on the subject site.
 - (b) The earth fills shown within each of the subject lots, as indicated on the appended Cut/Fill As-Built Plan, (Drawing No. AB07/002) have been placed in compliance with the requirements of the aforementioned GIR as well as the requirements NZS4431:1989 and those of Auckland Council.





- (c) The completed works within each lot take into account land slope and foundation stability considerations, subject to adherence to the foundation design recommendations and future lot development restrictions and Specific Investigation and Design Zones as described in the GCR, all of which should be read in conjunction with the appended Specific Investigation and Design Zone Plans GS101 & GS102 which include the following Specific Investigation and Design Zones:
 - 1. SIDZ1 Reduced Bearing Capacity Lots 1 & 2
 - 2. SIDZ2 Weak Fill Northern Pond on Lot 10
 - 3. SIDZ3 Disturbed Ground/Weak Fill on Lots 8, 9 & 10
 - 4. SIDZ4 Weak Fill Old Haul Road on Lots 4 & 5
 - 5. Batter Slope SDZ Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 10
- 3. Both the original ground, and the engineered filled ground within each lot, are generally suitable for the erection thereon of Light-Industrial Buildings, <u>subject to the following recommendations</u>
 - Foundations for all future developments within the subdivision are subject to specific engineering design by a Chartered Professional Engineer who is familiar with the findings and recommendations of this Geotechnical Completion report.
 - ii) Careful inspections by a Geo-Professional of the exposed subgrade should be undertaken to confirm the underlying ground conditions comprise either competent natural soils and/or engineered fill subgrade which has not undergone deterioration, and to check that all topsoil, non-engineered fill, mulch, vegetation and any other deleterious material have been removed.
 - iii) Geotechnical Ultimate Bearing Capacity (GUBC) for shallow foundations is limited to a 300 kPa, with the exception of the SDZ1 within Lots 1 and 2 which should be limited to a GUBC of 200kPa.
 - iv) All structures have their floors and foundations designed and built to mitigate the effects expansive soils defined as follows:

Lots 1 through 10 inclusive designed to mitigate Class H (Highly) expansive soils as defined in B1 Amendment 19, with an upper bound y_s value of 78mm.

v) Future UDL Floor Loads restricted to no greater than 15 kPa (Dead + Live Loads) to mitigate the risk of consolidation of the subsoils which could result in settlement of the building/s as well as differential settlement effects UNLESS future lot-specific investigation and settlement analyses are undertaken which prove otherwise.





- vi) Unless subject to assessment by a Geo-Professional familiar with this report, future cuts and/or fills across all lots should be limited to no more than 0.5 metres depth. Given that the sites are predominantly gently sloping and with the limitations of cutting and filling, we anticipate that each lot will require a site-specific geotechnical assessment at the time of formulating development proposals. We will however also need to limit such cuts to a maximum height of 2.5 metres, with all cuts in excess of 1 metre requiring support by engineer designed retaining walls unless they can be safely battered back to no steeper than 1V:4H.
- 4. All foundations and/or structures not located outside of the 45-degree envelope of influence rising from 0.5 metres BELOW the invert of the adjacent service trenches, must be specially designed to avoid surcharging pipes and/or loss of lateral soil support on account of consolidation of non-engineered trench backfill material.
- 5. This Professional Opinion is furnished to the local Territorial Authority and the current owner/developer, for their purposes alone, on the express condition that it will not be relied upon by any other person and does not remove the necessity for the normal inspection of foundation conditions at the time of erection of any structure.
- 6. <u>This statement shall be read in conjunction with the enclosing GCR which provides more</u> <u>detailed foundation design recommendations and shall not be copied nor reproduced except</u> <u>in conjunction with a full copy of this report as well as its associated enclosures.</u>

17. Limitations

Except to the extent that Council may rely on it in order to issue an associated Consent, this report and Statement of Professional Opinion has been commissioned solely for the benefit of our client, **AUSTINO HOBSONVILLE 2 LIMITED** specifically in relation to the project as described herein, and to the limits of our engagement. Any variations from the development proposals as described herein as forming the basis of our appraisal should be referred back to us for further evaluation. Copyright of Intellectual Property remains with Geotek Solutions, and this report may NOT be used by any other entity, or for any other proposals, without our written consent. Therefore, no liability is accepted by this firm or any of its directors, servants or agents, in respect of any other geotechnical aspects of this site, nor for its use by any other person or entity, and any other person or entity who relies upon any information contained herein does so entirely at their own risk, with the exception that the local Territorial Authority may rely on it to the extent of its appropriateness, conditions and limitations, when issuing the subject consent. Where other parties may wish to rely on it, whether for the same or different proposals, this permission may be extended, subject to our satisfactory review of their interpretation of the report. Although this report and Statement of Professional Opinion may be submitted to a





local authority in connection with an application for a consent, permission, approval, or pursuant to any other requirement of law, this disclaimer shall still apply and require all other parties to use due diligence where necessary, and does not remove the necessity for the normal inspection of site conditions and the design of foundations as would be made under all normal circumstances.

Although regular site visits have been undertaken for observation, for providing guidance and instruction and for testing purposes, the geotechnical services scope did not include full time site presence. To this end, our report and Statement of Professional Opinion-also relies on the Contractors' work practices and assumes that when we have not been present to observe the work, it has been completed to high standards and in accordance with the drawings, instructions and consent conditions provided to them. Similarly, it assumes that all as-built information and other details provided to the Client and/or Geotek Solutions by other members of the project team are accurate and correct in all respects.

WILTON JOUBERT LIMITED TRADING AS GEOTEK SOLUTIONS















	197	37 39
	[195]	
	[191]	
D	[189]	
RO	[187]	
	185	[14]
NNC	[183]	
BSC	181	12
Э Н	[179]	0 8
	[177]	1/4
	175	
Ĺ		6]
1	WESTPARK	
{		
-	<u> </u>	1
	169	
	167	3
		G DATE 10.08.2021
	SCALE (A3)	CONTRACT No.

	1:1250		
	BMG REF. No. 011-A20)-001	IS
N	DWG. No. AB07	//001	



I CERTIFY THAT THESE AS-BUILT PLANS ARE AN ACCURATE RECORD OF THE POSITIONS AND LEVELS OF THE FEATURES SHOWN, AS AT THE TIME OF SURVEY. -THE COORDINATES (X,Y) ARE IN TERMS OF NZTM ON NZGD2000 AND ARE WITHIN +/- 50mm -THE LEVELS ARE IN TERMS OF AUCKLAND VERTICA DATUM 1946 AND ARE WITHIN +/-10mm Martingen SIGNED: (LICENSED CADASTRAL SURVEYOR) DATE: 10.11.2021 NAME: DAVID THOMPSON EMAIL: dm.thompson@xtra.co.nz 021 820 706 PH 190 5 $/\!/$ 8 191 ROAD 189 6 2 187 ONVILLE 185 14 16 183 [12 181 8 [10] 179 114 175 6 2A 2 WESTPARK DRIVE 1 3 [1] 169 167 3

l	CAD FILE ABO7.DWG	DATE 10.	08.2021
	SCALE (A3)	CONTRACT No	
ļ	1.1250		
	BMG REF. No. 011-A20	0-001	ISSUE
	DWG. No. AB07	/002	١





PYRIGHT - This drawing has been prepared by and is convright to Benchmark Survey Group Ltd	

COF

2.

3

4

5.

6

7

8

AS-BUILT SURVEY: OVERLAND FLOW PATH

DWG. No

AB07/004




COPYRIGHT - This drawing has been prepared by an	d is convright to Benchmark Survey Group



AS-BUILT SURVEY: WASTEWATER DRAINAGE

CAD FILE AB02.DWG	DATE 26	.05.2021
SCALE (A3) 1:500	CONTRACT N	D.
BMG REF. No. 011	-A20-001	ISSUE
DWG. No.	AB02/002	-





Shown	mN	mE
WWMH BF	5925084.78	1745393.46
WWMH BG	5925076.36	1745400.70
WWMH BH	5925045.05	1745391.20
WWMH BI	5925012.96	1745385.61
WWMH GD	5925153.81	1745390.01
WWMH GE	5925138.15	1745388.88
WWMH GF	5925108.82	1745403.03



	Schedule of Coordinates Wastewater		
	Shown	mN	mE
	WWMH BI	5925012.96	1745385.61
	WWMH BJ	5924980.33	1745384.98
	WWMH BK	5924947.90	1745388.51
	WWMH BL	5924922.41	1745394.56
	WWMH BM	5924850.05	1745421.39
/ _	WWMH CA	5924950.12	1745412.24
	WWMH DA	5924858.44	1745443.56
	WWMH DA	5924858.44	1745443.56

CAD FILE	AB02.DWG	date 26.	05.2021
SCALE (A3)	1:500	CONTRACT No	
BMG REF. No. 011-A20-001		ISSUE	
DWG. No.	AB02	2/004	I



	LOT 3	
	WWMH CE	MNC
55.8m 1500 @1.86%	1050Ø LL:48.05m //L:46.13m	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
		-1.7m
S: COORDINATES ARE IN TE MERCATOR PROJECTION EVELS ARE IN TERMS OF	RMS OF NZGD2000 TI	RANSVERSE
DRIGIN: SM 131 SO 49234 RL:47.74m NFORMATION WHERE NO 3Y M3 CIVIL I TD AND IS N	(CDXV) 5925021.13ml T SURVEYED HAS BE	EEN SUPPLIED
CERTIFICATION PROPOSED BOUNDARY IN AND DEVELOPMENT SER AND IS SUBJECT TO FINAL ROM AUCKLAND COUNC NDICATIVE PURPOSES OF	IFORMATION IS FROM VICES LTD FILE "Lot L SURVEY. EXISTING IL GIS RECORDS ANE NLY	/I CHURCHILL Boundaries.dwg" BOUNDARIES) IS FOR
ALL SERVICES TO BE LOC FUTURE WORKS ID LEVELS ARE CORREC SUBJECT TO FURTHER CO JSED AS A CONSTRUCTIO	CATED AND PROTECT	ED BEFORE ANY Y BUT ARE S. NOT TO BE VERIFICATION
	CAD FILE AB02.DWG	DATE 26.05.2021
	SCALE (A3) 1:500	CONTRACT No.

SCALE (A3) 1:500	CONTRACT NO	-
BMG REF. No. 011-A20)-001	ISSUE
DWG. No. ABO2	2/005	-





CORVEIGHT. This drawing has been prepared by and is or	onvright to Benchmark Survey Group Ltr



LOT 9 SW	SWMH 105 LL:40.90 SWM L:38	A5 00 6m .17m .17m .17m .17m .17m .17m .17m .17	NOO SNV 38.25m NL 39.25m NL 39.25m N
INT DR	NE Sched	WW WW ule of Coord	ww linates
WW	Shown	mN	mF
	SWCP E1	5924923.03	1745398.33
	SWCP F1	5924926.48	1745410.30
	SWMH A2	5924950.96	1745390.42
	SWMH A3	5924954.06	1745407.76
	SWMH A4	5924931.17	1745412.63
	SWMH A5	5924890.68	1745426 82
			IT TO ILO.OL
AT	SWOT A1	5924953.01	1745375.50
A1 ALL NEW DIAMETE ALL NEW DUTY DL ALL NEW UNLESS MH SYMI ALL NEW UNLESS RAIN GAI ALL RAIN CONCRE RAIN GAI PER H&G	SWOT A1 STORMWATER I MANHOLES AF RS AS SHOWN MANHOLE LID ICTILE IRON STORMWATEF OTHERWISE SF OLS NOT DRAV SW CONNECT OTHERWISE SF RDEN CONNEC I GARDENS ARI TE UNLESS OT RDEN MEDIA AN DESIGN DRAW	5924953.01 DRAINAGE NOTI RE PRE-CAST C S ARE HYNDS T S ARE HYNDS T OWN WN TO SCALE IONS ARE 300Ø HOWN TIONS ARE 300Ø HOWN TIONS ARE 150r E 2.32m x 3.30m HERWISE SHOW ND INTERNAL D VING 144443-01-	1745375.50 ES: ONC. WINO HEAVY RRJ CLASS 4 uPVC SN16 nm uPVC SN16 PRECAST VN RAINAGE AS 480 REV D
A1 ALL NEW DIAMETE ALL NEW DUTY DL ALL NEW UNLESS MH SYMI ALL NEW UNLESS RAIN GAI ALL RAIN CONCRE RAIN GAI PER H&G	SWOT A1 STORMWATER I MANHOLES AF RS AS SHOWN MANHOLE LID ICTILE IRON STORMWATEF OTHERWISE SH OTHERWISE SH RDEN CONNECT OTHERWISE SH RDEN CONNECT I GARDENS ARI TE UNLESS OT RDEN MEDIA AN DESIGN DRAW	5924953.01 DRAINAGE NOTI RE PRE-CAST C S ARE HYNDS T R PIPES ARE RC HOWN WN TO SCALE IONS ARE 300Ø HOWN TIONS ARE 300Ø HOWN TIONS ARE 150r E 2.32m x 3.30m HERWISE SHOW ND INTERNAL DI VING 144443-01- E AB03.DWG A30	1745375.50 ES: ONC. WINO HEAVY RRJ CLASS 4 uPVC SN16 PRECAST VN RAINAGE AS 480 REV D DATE 26.05.2021 CONTRACT NO.

DWG. No.

AB03/002



	Schedule of Coordinates Stormwater		
	Shown	mN	mE
	SWCP G1	5924879.05	1745414.38
V MANHOLE	SWCP H1	5924883.66	1745425.99
	SWCP I1	5924837.01	1745430.46
MANHOLE	SWCP J1	5924841.61	1745442.06
V PIPE PIPE	SWMH A5	5924890.68	1745426.82
W PIPE PIPE	SWMH A6	5924845.75	1745444.44
E REMOVED	SWMH C2	5924808.81	1745440.21
AIN GARDEN	SWMH C3	5924815.03	1745456.32

CAD FILE AB03.DWG	date 26.	05.2021
SCALE (A3) 1:250	CONTRACT No	
BMG REF. No. 011-A20	0–001	ISSUE
DWG. No. ABO	3/003	1



$\begin{array}{c} 1.44. \\ 8.11 \\ 8.11 \\ 8.11 \\ 8.11 \\ 8.11 \\ 8.11 \\ 8.11 \\ 8.11 \\ 8.11 \\ 8.11 \\ 1$		48031005 4001004	
1			
mm TOP ENT	RY	\setminus	
4.70m			
0 4.2m 225Ø (5 07m D/S II ⁺4	@10.48% 4 63m		
+ + + + M1 m m	Sched		linates
	Scheu	Stormwater	inales
	Shown	mN	mE
	SWCP K1	5924795.07	1745446.60
	SWCP L1	5924799.52	1745458.26
	SWCP M1	5924760.26	1745460.32
	SWCP N1	5924765.23	1745471.63
	SWMH C2	5924808.81	1745440.21
	SWMH C3	5924815.03	1745456.32
	SWMH C4	5924770.23	1745473.01
	SWOUT C1	5924801.33	1745421.24
S: OORDINATES A IERCATOR PRO EVELS ARE IN 1	ARE IN TERMS O DJECTION TERMS OF AUCK	F NZGD2000 TRA	ANSVERSE DATUM 1946

ORIGIN: SM 131 SO 49234 (CDXV) 5925021.13mN 17457 RL:47.74m

INFORMATION WHERE NOT SURVEYED HAS BEEN SUPPLIED BY M3 CIVIL LTD AND IS NOT INCLUDED IN ANY DRAWING CERTIFICATION

PROPOSED BOUNDARY INFORMATION IS FROM CHURCHILL LAND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES LTD FILE "Lot Boundaries.dwg" AND IS SUBJECT TO FINAL SURVEY. EXISTING BOUNDARIES FROM AUCKLAND COUNCIL GIS RECORDS AND IS FOR INDICATIVE PURPOSES ONLY

ALL SERVICES TO BE LOCATED AND PROTECTED BEFORE ANY FUTURE WORKS

LID LEVELS ARE CORRECT AT TIME OF SURVEY BUT ARE SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONSTRUCTION WORKS. NOT TO BE USED AS A CONSTRUCTION DATUM WITHOUT VERIFICATION

CAD FILE AB03.DWG	date 26.	05.2021
SCALE (A3) 1:250	CONTRACT No	
^{BMG REF. №.} 011-A20	0–001	ISSUE
DWG. No. ABO	3/004	1



COPVRIGHT - This drawing has been prepared by and is co	pyright to Benchmark Survey Group Ltd



Schedule of Coordinates Stormwater Shown mN mE 11DEG BEND 5924654.67 1745612.82 SWCP U1 5924665.27 1745576.87 SWCP V1 5924680.39 1745569.59 SWCP V1 5924694.71 1745531.51 SLASS 4 SWMH C10 5924694.71 1745543.50 SWMH C11 5924685.46 1745565.15 SWMH C12 5924674.01 1745604.32 SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00	L:47.92 SW 19.1m 3150 SW SW 19.1m 3150 SW 20003 SW 20003 SW 20005 MOVED	EX SWCP 200 EX SW 200 RE 25.2m NEW 35500 4.7m EX 3000 CC 500 SNU LL:5 500 CC 500 SNU LL:5 500 CC 500	0213544 0575656 EMOVED (AVE) DD PE DNC) WH C13 DØ 0.47m (L:48.58m AB03/006 AB03/007	750 @7.97% SW IL:49.60m
Stormwater Shown mN mE 11DEG BEND 5924654.67 1745612.82 SWCP U1 5924665.27 1745576.87 SWCP V1 5924680.39 1745569.59 SWCP V1 5924694.71 1745531.51 SLASS 4 SWMH C10 5924694.71 1745543.50 SN16 SWMH C11 5924685.46 1745565.15 SWMH C12 5924674.01 1745604.32 SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00		Sched	ule of Coord	inates
Shown mN mE 11DEG BEND 5924654.67 1745612.82 SWCP U1 5924665.27 1745576.87 SWCP V1 5924680.39 1745569.59 SWMH C9 5924694.71 1745531.51 SWMH C10 5924685.46 1745543.50 SN16 SWMH C11 5924685.46 1745565.15 SWMH C12 5924674.01 1745604.32 SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00			Stormwater	
11DEG BEND 5924654.67 1745612.82 SWCP U1 5924665.27 1745576.87 SWCP V1 5924680.39 1745569.59 SWMH C9 5924701.49 1745531.51 CLASS 4 SWMH C10 5924694.71 1745543.50 SN16 SWMH C11 5924674.01 1745604.32 SVMH C12 5924674.01 1745599.00 AGE AS SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00		Shown	mN	mE
SWCP U1 5924665.27 1745576.87 SWCP V1 5924680.39 1745569.59 SWMH C9 5924701.49 1745531.51 CLASS 4 SWMH C10 5924694.71 1745543.50 SN16 SWMH C11 5924685.46 1745565.15 SWMH C12 5924674.01 1745604.32 SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00		11DEG BEND	5924654.67	1745612.82
SWCP V1 5924680.39 1745569.59 O HEAVY SWMH C9 5924701.49 1745531.51 CLASS 4 SWMH C10 5924694.71 1745543.50 S SN16 SWMH C11 5924685.46 1745565.15 S SWMH C12 5924674.01 1745604.32 S SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00]	SWCP U1	5924665.27	1745576.87
SWMH C9 5924701.49 1745531.51 CLASS 4 SWMH C10 5924694.71 1745543.50 S SN16 SWMH C11 5924685.46 1745565.15 SVC SN16 SWMH C12 5924674.01 1745604.32 CAST SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00		SWCP V1	5924680.39	1745569.59
CLASS 4 SWMH C10 5924694.71 1745543.50 S SN16 SWMH C11 5924685.46 1745565.15 SVC SN16 SWMH C12 5924674.01 1745604.32 CAST SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00	D HEAVY	SWMH C9	5924701.49	1745531.51
SWMH C11 5924685.46 1745565.15 PVC SN16 SWMH C12 5924674.01 1745604.32 CAST SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00	CLASS 4	SWMH C10	5924694.71	1745543.50
SWMH C12 5924674.01 1745604.32 CAST SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00 AGE AS SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00	C SN16	SWMH C11	5924685.46	1745565.15
CAST SWMH C13 5924654.49 1745599.00	PVC SN16	SWMH C12	5924674.01	1745604.32
AGE AS	CAST	SWMH C13	5924654.49	1745599.00
EV D SWMH W1 5924677.35 1745530.48	AGE AS REV D	SWMH W1	5924677.35	1745530.48

CAD FILE AB03.DWG	date 26.	05.2021
SCALE (A3) 1:250	CONTRACT No	
^{BMG REF. №.} 011-A20)-001	ISSUE
DWG. No. ABO3	3/006	1



NEW 355ØOD PE CONNECTION TO EX. 300mm CONC SW	I CERTIFY THAT THESE AS-BUILT PLANS ARE AN ACCURATE RECORD OF THE POSITIONS AND LEVELS OF THE FEATURES SHOWN, AS AT THE TIME OF SURVEY. .THE COORDINATES (X,Y) ARE IN TERMS OF NZTM ON NZGD2000 AND ARE WITHIN +/- 50mm -THE LEVELS ARE IN TERMS OF AUCKLAND VERTICAL DATUM 1946 AND ARE WITHIN +/- 10mm SIGNED:
STORMWA ALL NEW MANHOL DIAMETERS AS SH ALL NEW MANHOL DUTY DUCTILE IRC ALL NEW STORMV UNLESS OTHERWI MH SYMBOLS NOT ALL NEW SW CON UNLESS OTHERWI RAIN GARDEN COI ALL RAIN GARDEN CONCRETE UNLES RAIN GARDEN MEI PER H&G DESIGN	ATER DRAINAGE NOTES: LES ARE PRE-CAST CONC. HOWN. LE LIDS ARE HYNDS TWINO HEAVY ON VATER PIPES ARE RCRRJ CLASS 4 ISE SHOWN T DRAWN TO SCALE NECTIONS ARE 300Ø uPVC SN16 ISE SHOWN NNECTIONS ARE 150mm uPVC SN16 IS ARE 2.32m x 3.30m PRECAST SS OTHERWISE SHOWN DIA AND INTERNAL DRAINAGE AS DRAWING 144443-01-480 REV D
S: COORDINATES ARE IN TER MERCATOR PROJECTION EVELS ARE IN TERMS OF ORIGIN: SM 131 SO 49234 RL:47.74m NFORMATION WHERE NO SY M3 CIVIL LTD AND IS NO CERTIFICATION AND DEVELOPMENT SER AND IS SUBJECT TO FINAL ROM AUCKLAND COUNCI NDICATIVE PURPOSES OF ALL SERVICES TO BE LOC UTURE WORKS ID LEVELS ARE CORRECT SUBJECT TO FURTHER CO JSED AS A CONSTRUCTION	RMS OF NZGD2000 TRANSVERSE AUCKLAND VERTICAL DATUM 1946 (CDXV) 5925021.13mN 1745749.97mE T SURVEYED HAS BEEN SUPPLIED OT INCLUDED IN ANY DRAWING FORMATION IS FROM CHURCHILL VICES LTD FILE "Lot Boundaries.dwg" SURVEY. EXISTING BOUNDARIES IL GIS RECORDS AND IS FOR NLY ATED AND PROTECTED BEFORE ANY T AT TIME OF SURVEY BUT ARE DNSTRUCTION WORKS. NOT TO BE DN DATUM WITHOUT VERIFICATION
	CAD FILE AB03.DWG DATE 26.05.2021 SCALE (A3) CONTRACT NO. CONTRACT NO. BMG REF. NO. 011-A20-001 ISSUE DWG. NO. AB03/007 -



1:500		-
BMG REF. No. 011-A20)-001	ISSUE
DWG. No. ABO3	8/008	-

C	JEO	TE	<	THOROUGH 1/55 Druces Road Phone: 01 Email: 01	ANALYSIS • DEI d, Manukau Central 9 261 0 169 eotek@geotek.co.nz	PENDABLE ADVIO	ce V	WILT	ON BERT					
CLIENT Austino	SOLOTIONS www.geotek.co.nz CLIENT AT ADDRESS Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville								Fill Te Page 1	sts of 5				
		Air Voids % (calculated using Nuclear Densometer) Average:Air Voids % (calculated using Nuclear Densometer) Maximum:Shear strength kPa (BS1377 Calibrated) Average :Shear strength kPa (BS1377 Calibrated) Minimum :									10 12 140 110	Soil Density & Air Voids Determined by Test 5.1.3 of NZS 4402:1986. Water Test 2.1 of NZS 4402:1986.	[,] Sampling Tube r Content deter	Method, nined by
TEST NO.	DATE	LOCATION	Nuc/ Oven	BULK DRY WATER AIR SHEAR STRENGTHS ^n DENSITY DENSITY CONTENT VOIDS SHEAR STRENGTHS 1 (t/m³) (t/m3) (%) (%) (%) BS1377 (Kpa)							HS	ENGINEER'S COMMENTS	DATE ASSESSED	PASS/ FAIL
1	03/03/20	See Plan	θ	1.778	1.321	34.6	5.37	200+	200+	200+	200+		05/03/20	Pass
2	03/03/20	See Plan	0	1.757	1.290	36.2	5.52	200+	200+	200+	200+		05/03/20	Pass
3	03/03/20	See Plan	0	1.754	1.294	35.5	6.10	200+	200+	200+	200+		05/03/20	Pass
4	04/03/20	See Plan	θ	1.781	1.320	<u>34.9</u>	5.03	200+	200+	200+	200+		05/03/20	Pass
5	04/03/20	See Plan	0	1.778	1.318	34.9	5.19	200+	200+	200+	200+		05/03/20	Pass
6	04/03/20	See Plan	0	1.778	1.314	35.3	4.94	200+	200+	200+	200+		05/03/20	Pass
7	05/03/20	See Plan	Q	1.881	1.430	31.5	1.96	200+	200+	200+	200+		06/03/20	Pass
8	05/03/20	See Plan	Ð	1.836	1.393	31.8	4.11	200+	200+	200+	200+		06/03/20	Pass
9	05/03/20	See Plan	0	1.901	1.441	31.9	0.64	200+	200+	200+	200+		06/03/20	Pass
10	06/03/20	See Plan	0	1.843	1.392	32.4	3.34	200+	200+	200+	200+		09/03/20	Pass
11	06/03/20	See Plan	0	1.832	1.369	33.8	3.01	200+	200+	200+	200+		09/03/20	Pass
12	06/03/20	See Plan	0	1.867	1.408	32.6	1.95	200+	200+	200+	200+		09/03/20	Pass
13	10/03/20	See Plan	0	1.847	1.349	36.9	0.25	200+	200+	200+	200+		11/03/20	Pass
14	10/03/20	See Plan	0	1.769	1.310	35.0	5.60	200+	200+	200+	200+		11/03/20	Pass
15	10/03/20	See Plan	θ	1.835	1.379	33.1	3.30	200+	200+	200+	200+		11/03/20	Pass
16	10/03/20	See Plan	0	1.846	1.381	33.7	2.33	200+	200+	200+	200+		11/03/20	Pass
17	12/03/20	See Plan	0	1.838	1.369	34.3	2.37	200+	200+	200+	200+		13/03/20	Pass
18	12/03/20	See Plan	θ	1.817	1.371	32.5	4.64	200+	200+	200+	200+		13/03/20	Pass
19	16/03/20	See Plan	0	1.826	1.366	33.7	3.39	200+	200+	200+	200+		17/03/20	Pass
20	16/03/20	See Plan	0	1.814	1.370	32.4	4.86	200+	200+	200+	200+		17/03/20	Pass

0	GEO		NS	THOROUGH / 1/55 Druces Road Phone: 05 Email: ge Website: w	ANALYSIS • DEP , Manukau Central 261 0169 kotek@geotek.co.nz ww.geotek.co.nz	PENDABLE ADVIC	e V		N RT					
CLIENT Austino	Hobsonville 2 Lt	:d		AT ADDRES	SS ville Road, H	obsonville			Fill Te Page 2	sts 2 of 5				
REQUIRED PASS RESULTS: Air Voids % (calculated using Nuclear Dense Air Voids % (calculated using Nuclear Dense Shear strength kPa (BS1377 Calibrated) Av Shear strength kPa (BS1377 Calibrated) Min							someter) A someter) M verage : inimum :	verage laximun	: 1:		10 12 140 110	Soil Density & Air Voids Determined by Test 5.1.3 of NZS 4402:1986. Water Test 2.1 of NZS 4402:1986.	/ Sampling Tube r Content deten	Method, nined by
TEST NO.	DATE	LOCATION	Nuc/ Oven	BULK DENSITY (t/m ³)	DRY DENSITY (t/m3)	WATER CONTENT (%)	AIR VOIDS (%)	Sł	IEAR S ⁻ BS137	TRENGT 7 (Kpa)	HS	ENGINEER'S COMMENTS	DATE ASSESSED	PASS/ FAIL
21	16/03/20	See Plan	0	1.788	1.318	35.7	4.16	200+	200+	200+	200+		17/03/20	Pass
22	16/03/20	See Plan	0	1.792	1.337	34.0	5.00	200+	200+	200+	200+		17/03/20	Pass
23	30/04/20	See Plan	0	1.791	1.293	38.5	2.32	200+	200+	200+	200+		01/05/20	Pass
2 4	30/04/20	See Plan	Ð	1.793	1.305	37.4	2.86	200+	200+	200+	200+		01/05/20	Pass
25	30/04/20	See Plan	0	1.823	1.350	35.0	2.72	200+	200+	200+	200+		01/05/20	Pass
26	30/04/20	See Plan	0	1.793	1.305	37.4	2.86	200+	200+	200+	200+		01/05/20	Pass
27	30/04/20	See Plan	0	1.801	1.332	35.2	3.77	200+	200+	200+	200+		01/05/20	Pass
<u>28</u>	12/05/20	See Plan	Ð	1.874	1.374	36.4	-0.90	185	<u>192</u>	200+	200+		13/05/20	Pass
29	12/05/20	See Plan	Ð	1.783	1.295	37.7	3.23	171	179	181	200+		13/05/20	Pass
30	<u>12/05/20</u>	See Plan	Q	1.847	1.344	37.4	-0.06	200+	200+	200+	200+		13/05/20	Pass
31	12/05/20	See Plan	0	1.820	1.337	36.1	2.20	181	181	200+	200+		13/05/20	Pass
32	12/05/20	See Plan	0	1.841	1.374	34.0	2.40	185	200+	200+	200+		13/05/20	Pass
33	15/05/20	See Plan	0	<u>1.832</u>	1.4 11	<u>29.8</u>	5.67	172	180	180	180		18/05/20	Pass
34	15/05/20	See Plan	0	1.851	1.357	36.4	0.34	200+	200+	200+	200+		18/05/20	Pass
35	15/05/20	See Plan	0	1.795	1.313	36.7	3.18	200+	200+	200+	200+		18/05/20	Pass
36	15/05/20	See Plan	0	1.826	1.337	36.6	1.57	200+	200+	200+	200+		18/05/20	Pass
37	15/05/20	See Plan	0	1.830	1.395	31.2	4.82	200+	200+	200+	200+		18/05/20	Pass
38	15/05/20	See Plan	0	1.845	1.379	33.8	2.32	200+	200+	200+	200+		18/05/20	Pass
39	15/05/20	See Plan	0	1.847	1.366	35.2	1.32	200+	200+	200+	200+		18/05/20	Pass
40	18/05/20	See Plan	0	1.801	1.329	35.5	3.59	165	168	170	178		19/05/20	Pass

(GEO	TE	<	THOROUG 1/55 Druces R Phone:	Coad, Manukau Centr 09 261 0169	DEPENDABLE AD al			LTON					
_	SC	DUTIO	NS	Email: Website:	geotek@geotek.co www.geotek.co.	.nz N z	<u>y</u>	Consul	UBERI					
CLIENT]	AT ADDRES	SS				Fill Te	sts				
Austino	Hobsonville 2 Lt	a		86 Hobson	VIIIe Road, H	obsonville			Page 3	5 OT 5				
REQUIR	ED PASS RESULT	S:	Air Vo	oids % (calcı	ulated using	Nuclear Dens	someter) A	verage	:		10			
			Air Vo	oids % (calcu	ulated using	Nuclear Dens	someter) N	laximur	n:		12	Soil Density & Air Voids Determined by	/ Sampling Tube	Method,
			Shear	strength kP	a (BS1377)	Calibrated) A	inimum :				110	Test 5.1.3 of NZS 4402:1986. Wate	r Content deter	nined by
					<u> </u>	- /								
TEST			Nucl	BULK	DRY	WATER	AIR	S	HEAR S	TRENGT	нѕ		DATE	PASS/
NO.	DATE	LOCATION	Oven	DENSITY (t/m ³)	DENSITY (t/m3)	CONTENT (%)	VOIDS (%)		BS137	7 (Kpa)		ENGINEER'S COMMENTS	ASSESSED	FAIL
41	18/05/20	See Plan	Ð	1.799	1.321	36.2	3.26	180	182	182	182		19/05/20	Pass
42	18/05/20	See Plan	θ	1.800	1.320	36.4	3.09	182	188	200+	200+		19/05/20	Pass
43	18/05/20	See Plan	٥	1.765	1.299	35.9	5.27	168	180	184	184		19/05/20	Pass
44	18/05/20	See Plan	0	1.806	1.343	34.5	3.94	182	200+	200+	200+		19/05/20	Pass
45	18/05/20	See Plan	0	1.833	1.374	33.4	3.22	200+	200+	200+	200+		19/05/20	Pass
46	18/05/20	See Plan	0	1.851	1.388	33.4	2.26	200+	200+	200+	200+		19/05/20	Pass
47	20/05/20	See Plan	0	1.782	1.319	35.1	4.85	176	180	180	180		19/05/20	Pass
48	20/05/20	See Plan	0	1.822	1.340	36.0	2.15	178	180	180	180		19/05/20	Pass
49	20/05/20	See Plan	0	1.745	1.284	35.9	6.35	155	160	162	162		21/05/20	Pass
50	20/05/20	See Plan	0	1.769	1.289	37.2	4.28	172	172	180	180		21/05/20	Pass
51	20/05/20	See Plan	θ	1.737	1.276	36.1	6.66	160	164	164	168		21/05/20	Pass
52	20/05/20	See Plan	٥	1.756	<u>1.281</u>	37.1	5.04	182	182	190	192		21/05/20	Pass
53	20/05/20	See Plan	Ð	1.790	1.317	35.9	3.93	176	178	<u>182</u>	200+		21/05/20	Pass
54	20/05/20	See Plan	Ð	1.801	1.324	36.0	<u>3.28</u>	200+	200+	200+	200+		21/05/20	Pass
55	20/05/20	See Plan	Ð	1.823	1.381	32.0	4.66	200+	200+	200+	200+		21/05/20	Pass
56	22/05/20	See Plan	Ð	1.811	1.353	33.9	4.06	200+	200+	200+	200+		25/05/20	Pass
57	22/05/20	See Plan	0	1.832	1.401	30.8	4.99	200+	200+	200+	200+		25/05/20	Pass
58	22/05/20	See Plan	Ð	1.740	1.290	34.9	7.21	158	160	160	160		25/05/20	Pass
59	22/05/20	See Plan	Ð	1.767	1.310	34.9	5.77	160	164	164	166		25/05/20	Pass
60	22/05/20	See Plan	0	1.751	1.293	35.4	6.32	155	160	164	170		25/05/20	Pass

C	SEO1	THOROUGH 1/55 Druces Roa Phone: 0 Email: g Website: w	ANALYSIS • DEPE d, Manukau Central 9 261 0169 eotek@geotek.co.nz rww.geotek.co.nz	INDABLE ADVICE	¥	Z WII JOL	LTON JBERT							
CLIENT Austinc	Hobsonville 2 Lt	S ville Road, H	obsonville			Fill Te Page 4	sts of 5							
REQUIRED PASS RESULTS: Air Voids % (calculated using Nuclear Densomete Air Voids % (calculated using Nuclear Densomete Shear strength kPa (BS1377 Calibrated) Average Shear strength kPa (BS1377 Calibrated) Minimun								verage laximun	: n:		10 12 140 110	Soil Density & Air Voids Determined by Test 5.1.3 of NZS 4402:1986. Wate Test 2.1 of NZS 4402:1986.	/ Sampling Tube r Content deter	Method, nined by
TEST NO.	DATE	LOCATION	Nuc/ Oven	BULK DENSITY (t/m ³)	DRY DENSITY (t/m3)	WATER CONTENT (%)	AIR VOIDS (%)	Sł	IEAR S BS137	FRENGT 7 (Kpa)	HS	ENGINEER'S COMMENTS	DATE ASSESSED	PASS/ FAIL
61	22/05/20	See Plan	Ð	1.750	1.285	36.2	5.90	176	178	178	180		25/05/20	Pass
62	22/05/20	See Plan	0	1.820	1.348	35.0	2.88	200+	200+	200+	200+		25/05/20	Pass
63	22/05/20	See Plan	0	1.837	1.366	34.5	2.29	200+	200+	200+	200+		25/05/20	Pass
64	22/05/20	See Plan	0	1.835	1.358	35.1	2.02	200+	200+	200+	200+		25/05/20	Pass
65	22/05/20	See Plan	0	1.844	1.390	32.7	3.09	200+	200+	200+	200+		25/05/20	Pass
66	12/06/20	See Plan	θ	1.717	1.239	38.6	6.30	152	154	154	158		15/06/20	Pass
67	12/06/20	See Plan	Ð	1.716	1.227	39.8	5.69	146	146	150	150		15/06/20	Pass
68	12/06/20	See Plan	θ	1.767	1.295	36.4	4.87	166	166	168	176		15/06/20	Pass
69	12/06/20	See Plan	Ð	1.780	1.301	36.8	3.93	168	172	<u>172</u>	172		15/06/20	Pass
70	15/06/20	See Plan	θ	1.706	1.196	42.7	4.67	144	146	150	152		16/06/20	Pass
71	15/06/20	See Plan	0	1.707	1.218	40.2	5.96	140	148	148	148		16/06/20	Pass
72	15/06/20	See Plan	0	1.752	1.267	38.3	4.56	148	150	150	152		16/06/20	Pass
73	17/09/20	See Plan	θ	1.809	1.326	36.4	2.60	165	170	170	172		18/09/20	Pass
74	17/09/20	See Plan	Ð	1.841	1.374	34.0	2.40	180	180	180	182		18/09/20	Pass
75	17/09/20	See Plan	θ	1.799	1.333	35.0	4.00	162	165	165	170		18/09/20	Pass
76	22/09/20	See Plan	N	1.814	1.327	36.7	2.15	98	100	100	108	Shears low, material too wet	22/09/20	Fail
77	22/09/20	See Plan	N	1.782	1.291	38.0	3.10	100	104	110	110	Shears low, material too wet	22/09/20	Fail
78	22/09/20	See Plan	N	1.772	1.276	38.9	3.12	110	118	120	120	Shears low, material too wet	22/09/20	Fail
79	02/10/20	See Plan	0	1.758	1.273	38.1	4.35	150	152	152	168	Retest of 76	05/10/20	Pass
80	02/10/20	See Plan	0	1.785	1.295	37.8	3.06	140	150	158	158	Retest of 77	05/10/20	Pass

G	SOL			THOROUG 1/55 Druces R Phone: Email: Website:	H ANALYSIS • DEI cad, Manukau Central 09 261 0169 geotek@geotek.co.nz www.geotek.co.nz	PENDABLE ADVICE		¥ 1		RT ers				
CLIENT Austino	Hobsonville 2 Lt	:d]	AT ADDRES 86 Hobson	SS ville Road, H	obsonville			Fill Te Page 5	sts 5 of 5				
REQUIRI	REQUIRED PASS RESULTS: Air Voids % (calculated using Nuclear Air Voids % (calculated using Nuclear Shear strength kPa (BS1377 Calibrate Shear strength kPa (BS1377 Calibrate							Average Iaximur	: n:		10 12 140 110	Soil Density & Air Voids Determined k Test 5.1.3 of NZS 4402:1986. Wat Test 2.1 of NZS 4402:1986.	by Sampling Tube er Content deter	Method, mined by
TEST NO.	DATE	LOCATION	Nuc/ Oven	BULK DENSITY (t/m ³)	DRY DENSITY (t/m3)	WATER CONTENT (%)	AIR VOIDS (%)	Sł	HEAR S BS137	TRENGT 7 (Kpa)	HS	ENGINEER'S COMMENTS	DATE ASSESSED	PASS/ FAIL
81	02/10/20	See Plan	θ	1.805	1.309	37.9	1.91	162	162	170	170	Retest of 78	05/10/20	Pass
82	06/10/20	See Plan	Ð	1.769	1.278	38.4	3.58	158	162	162	164		07/10/20	Pass
83	06/10/20	See Plan	Ð	1.780	1.330	33.8	5.76	150	150	162	164		07/10/20	Pass
84	06/10/20	See Plan	Ð	1.817	1.361	33.5	4.00	162	164	166	166		07/10/20	Pass
85	06/10/20	See Plan	Ð	1.753	1.281	36.8	5.38	174	186	186	186		07/10/20	Pass
86	06/10/20	See Plan	Ð	1.751	1.284	36.4	5.73	186	188	190	190		07/10/20	Pass
87	12/10/20	See Plan	Ð	1.847	1.401	31.8	3.56	200+	200+	144	158		12/10/20	Pass
88	12/10/20	See Plan	Ð	1.865	1.456	28.1	5.16	200+	164	200+	200+		12/10/20	Pass
89	12/10/20	See Plan	Ð	1.886	1.449	<u> 30.2</u>	2.57	200+	160	156	200+		12/10/20	Pass
90	15/01/21	See Plan	0	1.771	1.300	36.2	4.77	125	142	146	152		18/01/21	Pass
91	15/01/21	See Plan	0	1.752	1.285	36.3	5.73	136	148	152	154		18/01/21	Pass
92	18/01/21	See Plan	0	1.774	1.280	38.6	3.19	200+	200+	200+	200+		19/01/21	Pass
93	18/01/21	See Plan	0	1.828	1.334	37.0	1.21	200+	200+	200+	200+		19/01/21	Pass
94	19/01/21	See Plan	0	1.858	1.393	33.4	1.90	140	146	150	150		20/01/21	Pass
95	19/01/21	See Plan	0	1.799	1.343	34.0	4.63	152	152	158	166		20/01/21	Pass
96	20/01/21	See Plan	0	1.753	1.262	38.9	4.16	152	154	154	162		21/01/21	Pass
97	20/01/21	See Plan	0	1.816	1.328	36.7	2.04	160	162	162	162		21/01/21	Pass



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Email Inspection (#1) Record

From: Eugene Crestanello
Sent: Friday 28/02/2020 4:29 PM
To: David Churchill <David.Churchill@clds.co.nz>
Cc: Russel Strahle <russel@austino.com.au>; Adam Churchill <Adam.Churchill@clds.co.nz>; markfg@m3civil.co.nz;
chris@geosciences.co.nz; jake@bobhick.co.nz
Subject: RE: Westpoint Site Items

Hi David

I met with Jake (Bob Hick) this afternoon and inspected the gully stripping to the south of the proposed roadway. Approximate area as indicated in the screenshot below.

There was a layer of around 0.5m thick marginal strength material which still needs to be removed so that stiff competent soils are exposed – these materials can be conditioned and blended back into the general fill.

Although the "gully" did not show any seepages, it would be prudent to install an underfill drain along the invert.

A defined trench measuring say 1m wide and 0.6m deep should be excavated along the invert and backfilled comprising 160mm punched coil wrapped in filtersock and surrounded with scoria (or GAP40).

I will inspect further subgrade stripping at 8am on Monday.

Cheers Eugene



Eugene Crestanello

Mobile: 0275 436 835 DD: 09 261 0533 Email: <u>eugene@geotek.co.nz</u>

 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 P O Box 217-172 Botany Junction Auckland 2164

 Phone:
 09 261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 15:00 03/03/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 03
In presence of: Jake – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Overcast

1. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

2. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Clay filling has commenced in the base of the recently stripped gully, just north of the southern pond & under future Spine Road0.
- Scraped several flat pads to NDM test compaction of fill.
- Air voids calculated at <7% for this round of testing.
- Cu's measured at >200kPa across fill surface.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 2 & 3.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple.

Inspection by: C BURNET

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO

This inspection report is to advise that we have carried out an inspection as required by our client (or client's agent) on this project. It is NOT intended to serve as any form of certificate or producer statement, as that must be signed by a Chartered Professional Engineer once the project is completed.



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 11:30 04/03/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 04
In presence of: Jake – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Overcast

3. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

4. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Further lifts of clay fill placed in base of southern gully & under future Spine Road.
- Scraped several flat pads to NDM test compaction of fill.
- Air voids calculated at <6% for this round of testing.
- Cu's measured at >200kPa across fill surface.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 5-6.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple.



Photo of site showing site conditions at time of inspection.

Inspection by: T JACKSON

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 15:00 05/03/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 05
In presence of: Jake – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

5. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

6. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Filling continues, moving north from the southern gully & under future Spine Road.
- Scraped several flat pads to NDM test compaction of fill.
- Air voids calculated at <5% for this round of testing.
- Cu's measured at >200kPa across fill surface.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Test 9.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple.

Inspection by: C BURNET

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO

This inspection report is to advise that we have carried out an inspection as required by our client (or client's agent) on this project. It is NOT intended to serve as any form of certificate or producer statement, as that must be signed by a Chartered Professional Engineer once the project is completed.



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 12:00 06/03/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 06
In presence of: Jake – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

7. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

8. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Clay filling continues on area north of the southern gully.
- Scraped several flat pads to NDM test compaction of fill.
- Air voids calculated at <4% for this round of testing.
- Cu's measured at >200kPa across fill surface.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 10-12.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple.



Photo of site showing site conditions at time of inspection.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Email Inspection (#7) Record

From: Eugene Crestanello
Sent: Tuesday 10/03/2020 10:54 AM
To: David Churchill <David.Churchill@clds.co.nz>; markfg@m3civil.co.nz
Cc: Russel Strahle <russel@austino.com.au>; Adam Churchill <Adam.Churchill@clds.co.nz>; jake@bobhick.co.nz; Chris
Burnet <chrisburnet@geotek.co.nz>
Subject: RE: Westpoint Site Items

Hi David/Mark

I inspected the site yesterday just as the rain began – I noted that there had been some recent filling further up the hill over a dusty topsoil layer in turn overlying what looks to be older fill which had been exposed when the contractor dug a temporary cut-off swale – more-or-less around the stormwater manhole which has yet to be removed.

This will need to be stripped back and the buried topsoil removed as well as the underlying older fill which can be reused – see marked photo below.

I also noted some odds and ends exposed along the downslope of the fill batter – concrete and pipework – we will also need to see this batter face stripped back and the engineered fill exposed - see photo.

I also noted that around to the northern side of the gully, there has been more topsoil/fill exposed which will need to be further explored.

My colleague Chris is heading out today – are you happy for us to issue instructions directly to the contractor to remedy the situation/s? We will follow up with a file note and site sketch.

Jake - can you please send through your photos of the underfill drain installation?

David – can we also please get a copy of the underfill drain survey/stripping prior to filling – this will help is locate ourselves in the file notes.

Any questions or queries please let me know.

Cheers Eugene



Eugene Crestanello

Mobile: 0275 436 835 DD: 09 261 0533 Email: <u>eugene@geotek.co.nz</u>



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 15:00 10/03/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 08
In presence of: Jake – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

9. Purpose of Visit:

Test compaction of recently placed clay fill. Inspect removal of topsoil previously identified in the sides of cut-off swale.

10. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Majority of topsoil layer below fill previously identified in the sides of a cut-off swale through the southern part of the site had been chased out and the ground stripped to the competent underlying natural subgrade. Small wedge left to strip out on eastern side of cut-off swale.
- Small amount of clay fill placed on area north of the southern gully.
- Scraped several flat pads to NDM test compaction of fill.
- Air voids calculated at <6% for this round of testing.
- Cu's measured at >200kPa across fill surface.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 13, 14 & 16.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple, and stripped area in yellow.



Photo of site showing area where topsoil previously identified under fill has been stripped out (centre). A small wedge left to remove in the side of cut of swale at right.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELL



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 14:30 12/03/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 09
In presence of: Jake – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

11. Purpose of Visit:

Test compaction of recently placed clay fill and inspect subgrade stripping.

12. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Small amount of clay fill placed on area north of the southern gully.
- Scraped several flat pads to NDM test compaction of fill.
- Air voids calculated at <5% for this round of testing.
- Cu's measured at >200kPa across fill surface.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Test 17.
- Western part of southern gully stripped out to competent natural and drain coil placed in base.
- Large part of south-eastern area stripped to natural ground. Exposed subgrade across this section very dry, should be scarified / wet before placing any fill.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple, and stripped area in yellow. Red line east of south-western gully stripped area is concrete and pipework in side of fill batter.



Photo of site showing stripped western part of southern gully.



Photo of site showing the dry south-eastern stripped area.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 15:00 16/03/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction, Stripping	INSPECTION LOG #: 10
In presence of: Andrew – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Overcast

13. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test compaction of recently placed clay fill and inspect subgrade stripping.

14. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Clay fill placed across recently stripped base of western part of southern gully.
- Scraped several flat pads to NDM test compaction of fill.
- Air voids calculated at <6% for this round of testing.
- Cu's measured at >200kPa across fill surface.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 19-22.
- Future fill area in north-western corner, east of future Spine Road stripped of topsoil and ~1.5m of gully alluvium. All into competent natural ground.
- Several rainy days following this visit led to this being the last inspection before lockdown.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple, and stripped area in yellow.



Photo of site showing stripped north-western area.



Photo of site showing south-eastern fill area.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 09:00 29/04/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction, Stripping	INSPECTION LOG #: 11
In presence of: Andrew – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

15. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Walkover of site after lockdown to check ground conditions.

16. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Roughly a month and a half since previous inspection.
- Appeared as though fill had been sealed up over lockdown, no degradation of the fill areas evident.
- Shallow lift of clay fill placed across large south-eastern area. Drilled through and confirmed that there was no soft / degraded material below.
- No NDM tests on this fill but Cu's measured at >200kPa across fill surface.
- Northern sediment pond built across previously stripped north-western area.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple.


Photo of site showing new sediment pond in north-western corner.



Photo of site showing south-eastern fill area.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 12:45 30/04/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 12
In presence of: Damian – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

17. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

18. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Clay filling continues across large south-eastern area.
- Scraped several flat pads to NDM test compaction of fill.
- Air voids calculated at <4% for this round of testing.
- Cu's measured at >200kPa across fill surface.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 23, 25, 26 & 27.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple.



Photo of site showing site conditions at time of inspection.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 12:45 12/5/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 13
In presence of: Damian – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

19. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

20. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- ~2 weeks of rain since last inspection. Appeared as though fill had been sealed up well, no soft or wet spots evident when on site.
- Clay filling continues across large south-eastern area.
- Scraped several flat pads to NDM test compaction of fill. Drilled through fill in places and confirmed that no wet material remained after the rainy period.
- Air voids calculated at <4% for this round of testing.
- Cu's measured at >171kPa across fill surface.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 31 & 32.
- Cut has started through the centre-western portion of site, a few pockets of buried rubbish had been removed and placed in unsuitables piles.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple.



Photo of site showing start of cut area where rubbish had been removed.



Photo of site showing south-eastern fill area.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 08:00 13/05/20
Inspection of: Subgrade Stripping	INSPECTION LOG #: 14
In presence of: Damian – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

21. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Inspect stripping through central-western and north-eastern parts of site.

22. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Central western area has been cut down to approx finished level, all of the old rubbish seen yesterday has been removed with the cut. All into competent natural subgrade.
- Stripping mostly completed near north-eastern corner of site, with a small area in the process of being stripped back while on site. Excavated several test pits through the topsoil in the area that had not yet been fully stripped. Where exposed, all into competent natural ground, OK to proceed, later received photos of this area fully stripped from the contractors.



Plan showing approximate location of stripped areas marked in yellow.

This inspection report is to advise that we have carried out an inspection as required by our client (or client's agent) on this project. It is NOT intended to serve as any form of certificate or producer statement, as that must be signed by a Chartered Professional Engineer once the project is completed.



Photo of site showing cut area after additional stripping since yesterday.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 09:00 15/05/20
Inspection of: Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 15
In presence of: Damian – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

23. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Inspect stripping in southern part of site, test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

24. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Clay filling continues across large southern area and commenced in the north-eastern corner.
- Cu's all >200kPa, air voids all <5%.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 34-39.
- Area under the far north-western section of the future Spine Road stripped back to competent natural ground.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple, stripped area in yellow.



Photo of site showing start of fill being placed in north-eastern corner.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO

This inspection report is to advise that we have carried out an inspection as required by our client (or client's agent) on this project. It is NOT intended to serve as any form of certificate or producer statement, as that must be signed by a Chartered Professional Engineer once the project is completed.



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 13:00 18/05/20
Inspection of: Stripping / Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 16
In presence of: Damian – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

25. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

26. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Clay filling continues across large north-eastern area and commenced in the north-western corner.
- In the fill areas, Cu's all >168kPa, air voids all <6%.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 43-46.
- Area under the far north-western section of the future Spine Road stripped back to competent natural ground.





Fill progress in north-eastern corner.



Fill batter being formed in north-western part of site under future Spine Road.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO

This inspection report is to advise that we have carried out an inspection as required by our client (or client's agent) on this project. It is NOT intended to serve as any form of certificate or producer statement, as that must be signed by a Chartered Professional Engineer once the project is completed.



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 09:30 20/05/20
Inspection of: Stripping / Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 17
In presence of: Damian – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

27. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Inspect stripping through centre of site, test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

28. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Access road being decommissioned, stripped, and the underlying clay cut. Stripping of bank south-west of northern pond. Small stripped area along western boundary.
- Clay fill to finished level in north-eastern corner behind future western retaining wall. Filling finishes in northeastern corner.
- In the fill areas, Cu's all >155kPa, air voids all <7%.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 47-50.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple and stripped area in yellow.



Fill progress in north-eastern corner.



Stripping along western boundary under approx. edge of Spine Rd carriageway.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 12:30 22/05/20
Inspection of: Stripping / Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 18
In presence of: Damian – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

29. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Inspect stripping in southern part of site, test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

30. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Clay fill placed to finished level south-west of northern pond, and a thin layer to the east of the pond as well.
- In the fill areas, Cu's all >200kPa, air voids all <4%.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 62-65.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple.



Filling along western boundary under approx. future Spine Rd carriageway.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 15:00 15/06/20
Inspection of: Stripping / Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 20
In presence of: Damian – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

31. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Inspect stripping along western boundary, test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

32. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Stripping along western boundary around half-way between the northern and southern ponds. Some water pooling from recent rain along boundary cut, instructed contractors to shape base of cut so that water drains down towards the natural gully.
- Clay filling along western boundary just north of southern pond to finished level, battered down towards boundary. Fill Test results still passing but shears / bulk density trending lower & air voids & moisture trending higher than previously.
- In the fill areas, Cu's all >140kPa, air voids all <7%.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 71 & 72.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple and stripped area in yellow.



Fill progress near the western boundary, north of the southern pond.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 15:00 17/06/20
Inspection of: Stripping / Clay Fill Compaction	INSPECTION LOG #: 21
In presence of: Damian – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Clear

33. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Inspect areas of bulk cutting, test compaction of recently placed clay fill.

34. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Final walkover before earthworks being put on hold until warmer weather. Large bulk cut being undertaken towards the central / northern area of site. Some at finished level but still significant cuts to go in other parts. All into competent natural material already.
- Shallow wedge of fill placed along previously stripped area along western boundary. Shear vane tests all >140kPa.



Plan showing approximate location of fill placed marked in purple and major cut area in yellow.



Extent of fill placed near western boundary.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 12:30 24/09/20
Inspection of: Bulk Cutting	INSPECTION LOG #: 27
In presence of: Jordan – Bob Hick Earthmoving	Weather: Overcast

35. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Walkover of northern bulk cut area.

36. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Filling on hold for the day due to weather. Walkover inspecting the bulk cut area in the central-northern part of site.
- Much of this area almost at finished cut height, generally into an orange, very stiff residual material. Large strip of what appears to be a grey alluvial soil evident in current cut surface approx 10m wide by 30m long. Cu's in the surface of this area all >60kPa.



Plan showing approximate location of the bulk cut taking place, with the approx. extent of the alluvial material in green.



Bulk cut area in the northern part of site with grey alluvial material visible at centre left



Another part of the bulk cut area with the more typical orange clay exposed

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 10:45 14/01/21
Inspection of: Sediment Pond Stripping	INSPECTION LOG #: 33
In presence of: Dom – M3 Civil	Weather: Clear

37. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Inspect stripping of northern sediment pond.

38. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Southern 2/3 of northern sediment pond (including forebay area) mucked out, exposing the underlying natural subgrade.
- Cu's across stripped surface measured at >95kPa.
- OK to begin backfilling across this area. Remaining northern third of the pond to remain in use as a decant for now.



Plan showing approximate location of stripped area in yellow.



Part of southern part of pond stripped.



Forebay area stripped - the loose bits tracked in by roller visible at rear were cleaned up while on site.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 10:45 15/01/21
Inspection of: North Pond Filling	INSPECTION LOG #: 34
In presence of: Dom – M3 Civil	Weather: Clear

39. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test clay fill compaction in northern pond fill area.

40. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- First lift of fill placed across the southern end of the pond.
- Sidewalls being stripped of vegetation progressively as the fill height rises. Sidewall bunds all either natural or stabilised engineered fill.
- In the fill areas, Cu's all >125kPa (average >140kPa), air voids all <6%.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 90-91.



Plan showing approximate location of fill area in purple.



First lift of fill in the southern end of the pond.



First lift of fill in the southern end of the pond.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 10:45 18/01/21
Inspection of: North Pond Filling	INSPECTION LOG #: 35
In presence of: Dom – M3 Civil	Weather: Clear

41. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test clay fill compaction in northern pond fill area.

42. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Next lift of fill placed across the southern end of the pond, roughly 0.5m depth since previous test.
- In the fill areas, Cu's all >200kPa, air voids all <4%.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 92-93.



Plan showing approximate location of fill area in purple.



Fill placed in the southern end of the pond.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO

This inspection report is to advise that we have carried out an inspection as required by our client (or client's agent) on this project. It is NOT intended to serve as any form of certificate or producer statement, as that must be signed by a Chartered Professional Engineer once the project is completed.



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185	
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 12:30 19/01/21	
Inspection of: North Pond Filling	INSPECTION LOG #: 36	
In presence of: Dom – M3 Civil	Weather: Clear	

43. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test clay fill compaction in northern pond fill area.

44. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Next lift of fill placed across the southern end of the pond, roughly 0.5m depth since previous test.
- In the fill areas, Cu's all >140kPa, air voids all <5%.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 94-95.



Plan showing approximate location of fill area in purple.



Fill placed in the southern end of the pond.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185	
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 14:30 20/01/21	
Inspection of: North Pond Filling	INSPECTION LOG #: 37	
In presence of: Dom – M3 Civil	Weather: Overcast	

45. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Test clay fill compaction in northern pond fill area.

46. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Last lifts of clay fill placed across southern end of the northern pond area. NDM tests performed on 20/01/21, later briefly walked over the site on 27/01/21 to see the area had been trimmed to finished fill height, with just the northern area remaining in use as a decant pond.
- In the fill areas, Cu's all >152kPa, air voids all <5%. Cu's on trimmed surface on 27/01/21 all >200kPa.
- See Fill Test Summary Table for more details. Tests 96-97.



Plan showing approximate location of fill area in purple.



Fill surface on 20/01/21.



Trimmed fill surface on 27/01/21.

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: E CRESTANELLO



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09 261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185	
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 11am	3/6/21
Inspection of: Site Walkover	INSPECTION LOG #: 38	
In presence of: Dominic – M3 Civil	Weather: Overcast	

1. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Site Walkover

2. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Site work is focussed on stripping large stockpile for Lot 10 and stockpiling it to the south of the road. Significant rutting/disturbed ground noted around the stockpile and into the accessway of Lot 9 and northern portion of Lot 8.
- Small decant pond still present in north-western corner of Lot 10.
- The hardfill surface has been removed from the haul road (Eastern ends of Lots 2 to 5). Buried topsoil and weak fill remains. Further stripping required.
- A stockpile is being removed from the western side of the haul road.
- Yard still present in eastern portion of Lots 2 and 3.

3. Photos



Looking at the stockpile on Lot 10, with the small decant pond in the left-hand side of the frame.

Rutting evident to the south of the Lot 10 stockpile.



Track from the Lot 10 stockpile across Lots 6 and 7. Note the tracks have only disturbed the topsoil layer.



Yard on Lots 2 and 3.



Photo of haul road on Lot 5 looking to the south

4. Instructions

Strip all topsoil and unsuitable material from the haul road so we can inspect the stripped surface. Undercut any disturbed material associated with the Lot 10 stockpile so we can inspect the stripped ground. Strip the yard back so we can inspect the underlying ground.

Inspection by Senior Geo-Professional: M FOSTER

This inspection report is to advise that we have carried out an inspection as required by our client (or client's agent) on this project. It is NOT intended to serve as any form of certificate or producer statement, as that must be signed by a Chartered Professional Engineer once the project is completed.



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09 261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185	
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 9am	23/6/21
Inspection of: Site Walkover	INSPECTION LOG #: 39	
In presence of: Dominic – M3 Civil	Weather: Sunny	

5. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Inspect Haul Road

6. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Large stockpile from Lot 10 has now been fully removed.
- Significant rutting/disturbed ground noted on Lot 10 as well as the accessway of Lot 9 and northern portion of Lot 8.
- Small decant pond still present in north-western corner of Lot 10.
- The haul road has been stripped back to competent natural ground. Buried topsoil underling fill remains along the western and eastern sides of the haul road. Further stripping required to chase out the topsoil.
- The stockpile is still being removed from the western side of the haul road.
- The hardfill hardstand that was placed to form the yard remains in the eastern portion of Lots 2 and 3.

=

7. Photos



Looking at the decant pond in the north-western corner of Lot 10.



Looking from the old stockpile towards Lot 8 at the significant rutting.

Stockpile fully removed from Lot 10.



Yard on Lots 2 and 3 - note the hardfill surface still present.



Photo of haul road on Lot 5 looking to the south. Note the topsoil visible in the sidewalls.

8. Instructions

Strip all topsoil and unsuitable material from the sides of the haul road so we can inspect the stripped surface. Undercut any disturbed material associated with the Lot 10 stockpile so we can inspect the stripped ground. Strip the yard back so we can inspect the underlying ground.

Inspection by Senior Geo-Professional: M FOSTER


 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185	
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 8:30am	25/06/21
Inspection of: Site Stripping	INSPECTION LOG #: 40	
In presence of: Dominic – M3 Civil	Weather: Overcast/Light	rain

9. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Inspect removal of filled materials & buried topsoil near Hobsonville Road.

10. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Filled materials & buried topsoil has been cut away in areas as seen on site plan below.
- Exposed material is of natural origin.
- Peak Cu's across the area all measured >80kPa (Direct dial GV2433).
- Some old fencepost holes filled with loose materials found and excavated while on site.
- Some loose materials and topsoil remain across the cut area.

11. Site Plan



12. Photos



Photos of cut area, all looking North.

13. Instructions

Ensure all loose materials are removed before commencing clay filling.

Inspection by: J MEEHL

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: M FOSTER



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185	
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 1:00pm	15/07/21
Inspection of: Fill Check	INSPECTION LOG #: 41	
In presence of: Dominic – M3 Civil	Weather: Overcast	

14. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Inspect quality of fill & ensure removal of buried topsoil near Hobsonville Road.

15. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Buried topsoil has been stripped from Hobsonville road toward fill stockpile and fill placed and compacted up to finished level in area as seen in photos and marked on site plan.
- Some areas where buried topsoil is said to be stripped out was not inspected before placing fill.
- Fill quality is less than satisfactory, appears to have high moisture content.
- Peak Cu's across the area measured consistently below 110kPa.
- Deepest fill (up to 1.7 metres in depth) closest to corner of Spine Road & Hobsonville road, diminishing to the North (~1.0m).
- As discussed with Dominic on site, some of the fill is marginal. Some will be treated with lime stabilisation while other areas may be re-cut & compacted to achieve better results.
- Two test pits dug while on site to ensure topsoil had been removed.





17. Photos



Photo of fill to the South toward cnr Spine & Hobsonville, as well as remaining stockpile to the right.

18. Instructions

Once the stockpile has been fully removed, we will return to dig pits across the area to ensure all buried topsoil has been removed.

Low quality fill must be remedied and retested.

Inspection by: J MEEHL

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: M FOSTER

This inspection report is to advise that we have carried out an inspection as required by our client (or client's agent) on this project. It is NOT intended to serve as any form of certificate or producer statement, as that must be signed by a Chartered Professional Engineer once the project is completed.



 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185	
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 10:00am	21/10/21
Inspection of: Extent of Weak Fill	INSPECTION LOG #: 42	
In presence of: Dominic – M3 Civil	Weather: Overcast	

1. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Investigation into extent of weak fill along old haul road & yard area used during construction across lots 3, 4 & 5.

Investigation into extent of weak fill across lots 1 & 2.

2. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Haul road investigation:
 - 5 deep test pits were excavated along the old haul road through the upper weak fill into the lower competent engineered fill/natural materials.
 - Stiff, weak fill was encountered in Test pits 1 through 4 to depths between 0.7 and 1.0 metres.
 - o Competent subdivisional fill was encountered in the western end of each pit.
 - No weak fill was encountered in Test pit 5.
 - A ~250mm thick layer of buried topsoil was encountered in Test pit 3 between 0.6 and 0.8 metres depth.
 - 10 hand augers were drilled surrounding Test Pit 3 to investigate the extent of the buried topsoil. The topsoil was only encountered in two boreholes, indicating the extent of the thick buried topsoil layer does not extend outside of the Test pit 3 area.
 - The approximate extent of the weak fill & buried topsoil along the haul road is highlighted on the appended site plan (GS105).
 - 11 shallow test pits were excavated around the old yard used during construction, stripping the topsoil to expose the fill below.
 - No weak fill was encountered in any of the shallow test pits. All peak shear strengths measured >140kPa.
- Lot 1 & 2 investigation:
 - Weak fill was encountered in our original final investigation around hand auger J3 to 1.3 metres depth.
 - 8 hand augers were drilled radiating out in 7.5 metre intervals to the north, south, east & west of J3 through the weak fill into competent engineered fill/natural materials. Similar strength fill as originally encountered in J3 was encountered in borheoles J3-A, J3-B & J3-C, J3-D, J3-F & J3-H. Refer to appended hand auger logs for results.
 - The extent of the weak fill has been highlighted on the appended site plan (GS106).



Figure 1 - Test Pit 1 (1.0m Weak Fill)



Figure 2 - Test Pit 2 (0.8m Weak Fill)



Figure 3 - Test Pit 3 (Buried Topsoil)



Figure 4 - Test Pit 4 (0.7m Weak Fill)



Figure 5 - Test Pit 5 (No Weak Fill)







Figure 7 - Western end of test pit 2 (competent fill).

Inspection by: J MEEHL

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: M FOSTER

This inspection report is to advise that we have carried out an inspection as required by our client (or client's agent) on this project. It is NOT intended to serve as any form of certificate or producer statement, as that must be signed by a Chartered Professional Engineer once the project is completed.





tours	SCALE 1:400 @ A3
	JOB No. 94185 DRAWN BY JM
	DATE November 2021 SHEET GS106 REV02

LIENT:	Austino Hobso	nville 2		LOGGE	D: JN	1	SHE	ET: 1	of 1	13	JOB REF:	94185
CATION :	86-88 Hobson	/ille Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-						
	Hobsonville				ER: 50	mm /วววว	DAT VAN	E: E FAC	21/10/ TOR·	2021	HAND AUGER	TP1
				Ground	Level:		a)	a)	1014		110.	
Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
Topsoil WEAK F brown, gr plastic ENGINE very stiff,	TLL: Clayey SILT ey and orange mot ERED-FILL: Cla moist, very slight	T, yellowish bro tles, stiff, very yey SILT, bro y plastic	own with dark moist, slightly wnish orange,				84 84 96 116 157 160 174					
End of tex No groun	st pit @ 1.4m (Targe dwater encountered	t Depth) during drilling o	r on completion		1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0							
	LIENT: DCATION : Topsoil Fill WEAK F brown, gr plastic ENGINE very stiff, End of tes No groun	LIENT: Austino Hobso DCATION : 86-88 Hobsony Hobsonville SOIL DESCF Topsoil Fill Clay Silt Topsoil WEAK FILL: Clayey SILT brown, grey and orange mot plastic ENGINEERED-FILL: Cla very stiff, moist, very slight End of test pit @ 1.4m (Targe No groundwater encountered	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Soll DESCRIPTION Fill Silt Sand Gravel Topsoil WEAK FILL: Clayey SILT, yellowish br brown, grey and orange mottles, stiff, very plastic ENGINEERED-FILL: Clayey SILT, brov very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic End of test pit @ 1.4m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling of Hobsonville Hobsonville	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Topsoil WEAK FILL: Clayey SILT, yellowish brown with dark brown, grey and orange mottles, stiff, very moist, slightly plastic ENGINEERED-FILL: Clayey SILT, brownish orange, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic End of test pit @ 1.4m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 CATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Topsoil WEAK FILL: Clayey SILT, yellowish brown with dark brown, grey and orange mottles, stiff, very moist, slightly plastic ENGINEERED-FILL: Clayey SILT, brownish orange, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic End of test pit @ 1.4m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Slit Sand Cravel Rock Topsoil Clay SILT, yellowish brown with dark brown, grey and orange mottles, stiff, very moist, slightly plastic ENGINEERED-FILL: Clayey SILT, brownish orange. very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic End of test pit @ 1.4m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 0.5 2.0 2.0 4.0 4.5 5.0	LENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL DESCRIPTION Clay Sand Fill: Clay Sand WEAK FILL: Clayey SILT, yellowish brown with dark brown, grey and orange mottles, stiff, very moist, slightly plastic ENGINEERED-FILL: Clayey SILT, brownish orange, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic End of test pir @ 1.4m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion August and a start of the start of t	LENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Clay Fill SULT: Clayey SILT, yellowish brown with dark brown, grey and orange mottles, stiff, very moist, slightly plastic ENGINEERED-FILL: Clayey SILT, brownish orange, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic Find of test pit @ 1.4m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion Austing drilling or on completion Source and the state of t	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Rad Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil WEAK FILL: Clayey SILT, yellowish brown with dark brown, grey and orange mottles, stiff, very moist, slightly plastic End of test pit @ 1.4m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion A.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Clay Sand Topsoil Topsoi	LIENT: Austine Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOLL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil ENGINEERED-FILL: Clayey SILT, brownish orunge, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic ENGINEERED-FILL: Clayey SILT, brownish orunge, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic End of test pit (@) 1.4m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion Automation Automation Automation Solution	LIENT: Austine Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Solit DESCRIPTION Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Topsoil Solit DESCRIPTION Topsoil Topsoi



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: JN	1	SHE	ET: 2	2 of ²	13	JOB REF:	94185
LC	CATION :	86-88 Hobsonv	/ille Road				- mm	ΠΑΤ	<u> </u>	21/10/	/2024		
		Hobsonville				: GV	/2223	VAN	⊏. E FAC	21/10/ TOR:	2021	No:	TP2
		SOIL DESCE			Ground	Level:		a)	a)			•	
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
	_ Topsoil				\mathbb{N}	_							
	WEAK F	TLL: Clayey SILT	, yellowish br	own with dark	$\times \times$	_		61					
	brown, gr	ey and orange mot	tles, stiff, very	moist, slightly	XXX	_		70					
E					×- × ->	0.5		19					
	F				X-X- -X-			76					
	ENGINE	ERED FILL: Silt	y CLAY, oran	ge with grey and		_		202+					
	yellowish	brown mottles, ve	ry stiff, moist,	slightly plastic		 1.0		202+					
	 End of tes No group 	st pit @ 1.0m (Targe dwater encountered o	t Depth) during drilling c	or on completion		_		2021					
	_					-							
						— — 15							
	-					_ 1.5							
						_							
	-												
						2.0							
	-					-							
						_							
	-				·	- 2.5							
						_							
	-					_							
	E.					- 3.0							
	-					_							
	F					_							
	E					- 							
	F					— 3.5 —							
						_							
	-					_							
						4.0							
	-					_							
	L												
	┝-					— 4.5							
	L										1		
	┝					—							
	E					<u> </u>							
						5.0							
											1		
					1			I	1				



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGG	ED: JN	Λ	SHE	ET: 3	3 of ⁻	13	JOB REF:	94185
LC	CATION :	86-88 Hobsonville Road	CHEC	KED: MI	F						
		Hobsonville		IER: 50	mm	DAT VAN	E: E FAC	21/10/ TOR:	2021	HAND AUGER	TP3
			Groun	d Level:	v 2223	a)	a)	1014		110.	11.0
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Sand Peat Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
Fill	WEAK F yellowish slightly pl	ILL: Clayey SILT with intermixed topsoil, brown with dark brown mottles, stiff, very mot astic	st, X-			87					
			<u> </u>	0.5		90					
V		opson									
TGN	NATURA very slight	L: Clayey SILT, dark orange, very stiff, moist tly plastic		1.0		142					
				4		157					
	End of tes	st pit @ 1.2m (Target Depth)		E		137					
	No ground	dwater encountered during drilling or on completion		- 1.5							
	- TGM = T	Tauranga Group Materials		E							
	F			F							
	E			E							
	F			$F^{2.0}$							
	-			┝							
	F			F							
	 			2.5							
	F			F							
	-			┝		<u> </u>					
	-			- 0.0							
	E			E							
	-			F							
				3.5							
	_			┝							
	E			E							
				4.0							
				E							
	F			F							
	-										
	F			4.5							
	F			F		<u> </u>					
	F			F							
	┝━			5.0		<u> </u>					



CI	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED:	JM		SHE	ET: 4	l of 1	13	JOB REF:	94185
LC	CATION: 86-88 Hobsonville Road	CHECKED:	MF							
	Hobsonville	DIAMETER:	50n	nm	DAT VAN	E: e fac	21/10/ TOR·	2021		три
		Ground Lev	el:	2223			TOIX.		INO.	11 4
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
III Fill	Topsoil WEAK FILL: Clayey SILT, yellowish brown with dark brown, orange and grey mottles, stiff, very moist, slightly plastic NATURAL: Clayey SILT, dark orange, very stiff, moist		0.5		58 61 84					
LGM	NATURAL: Clayey SILT, dark orange, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic End of test pit @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0							
			4.0 4.5 5.0							



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobso	nville 2		LOGGE	D: JN	1	SHE	ET: 5	5 of 1	13	JOB REF:	94185
LC	OCATION :	86-88 Hobsonv	ville Road		CHECK	ED: MF	=		- .				
		Hobsonville				• GV	mm /2223	VAN	E: E FAC	21/10/ TOR:	2021	No:	TP5
	Topsoil	SOIL DESCR	RIPTION Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Ground	Tepth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
TGM Fill	Topsoil ENGINE stiff, mois NATURA slightly pl End of bo No ground TGM = T	ERED FILL: Cla t, very slightly pla L: Clayey SILT, of astic rehole @ 0.6m (Tar dwater encountered of Fauranga Group M	yey SILT, dark stic orange, very st get Depth) during drilling o aterials	c orange, very iff, moist, very r on completion		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0							



СІ	LIENT:	Austino Hobsor		LOGGE	D: JN	1	SHE	ET: (3 of ′	13		94185	
LC	CATION :	86-88 Hobsonv	ille Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-						
		Hobsonville				ER: 50	mm vooooo	DAT VAN	E: f fac	21/10/	2021		13-0
					Ground	Level:		e contraction de la contractio				NO.	00 /
			Marian Maria		g	Ê	Level	Peak h (kPa	iould h (kPa	sitivity		Comments Samples	
		Clay	Sand	v⊮ Peat	eger	pth	Vater	Su - rengt	Ren	Sens		Other Test	
	Topsoil		Graver	ROCK		De	>	ŭ	st				
	WEAK F	TLL: Clayey SILT	, yellowish bro	own with grey	X×	_							
	and orang	e mottles, very stiff	f, very moist, s	slightly plastic	× ·	_		157	81	1.9			
	_ Becomir	ng stiff			XXX	- - 0 5		90	58	1.6			
Г	F Bocomir	-			XX	— 0.5 —		116	61	1.0			
EIL		ig very still			× # × # -×	_		110	01	1.9			
	F				K ×X	_		131	64	2.0			
	—					1.0		128	70	1.8			
	F					_		110	50	2.2			
					X×X	_		113	52	2.2			
M		L: Silty CLAY, m	edium grey w	ith light grey	× × × × × ×	- 1.5		169	79	2.1			
ЪГ		e mottles, very still	i, moist, siight	ly plastic	* * * * * *	_		180	96	1.9			
	End of bo	orehole @ 1.7m (Targ	get Depth)			_							
	No groun	dwater encountered d	luring drilling o	r on completion		- 							
	- TGM = 1	Fauranga Group Ma	aterials			<u> </u>							
	L					_							
	–					_							
						2.5							
	–					_							
	L					_							
	–					- 3.0							
	E					_							
	F					_							
	E					- 							
	F					— 3.5 —							
	E					_							
	F					_							
	—					4.0							
Í	F					_							
	F					_							
	F					<u> </u>							
	–					_							
	F					_							
Í	┝					-							
						- 5.0							
⊢													
					TUOD	AUCH		ALV	CIC	- 00		IDADLE AD	VICE





CL	IENT:	Austino Hobs	onville 2		LOGGE	D: JN	1	SHE	ET: 8	3 of 1	3		94185
LC	CATION :	86-88 Hobsor	ville Road		CHECK	ED: MF	=				-	JOB KEL	01100
		Hobsonville				ER: 50	mm	DAT VAN	E: e fac	21/10/2 TOR:	2021	HAND AUGER	13-C
					Ground	Level:		e (NO.	00-0
	Topsoil		Sand Grave	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
IGM Fill	Topsoil Fill WEAK F brown, gruplastic No groun TGM = 1	Clay Silt ILL: Clayey SIL ey and orange mo AL: Silty CLAY, ery stiff, moist, sl orehole @ 1.2m (Ta dwater encountered Fauranga Group N	E Sand Gravel T, yellowish I ottles, very stit	A Peat Rock brown with dark ff, moist, slightly with light grey g or on completion		(È, the second	Water Lev	read	64 61 70			Comments Samples Other Test	eneer
						5.0							



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor		LOGGE	D: JM	1	SHE	ET: 9	of 1	13	JOB REF:		94185	
LC	CATION :	86-88 Hobsonv	ille Road		CHECK	ED: MF	=	.					055	
		Hobsonville				ER: 50	mm /2223	VAN	E: E FAC	21/10/ TOR:	2021	No:	IGER	J3-D
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	<u>-</u>	< Pa)	a)	~				
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Peal Strength (kl	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivit		Com San Othe	ments iples r Test	
Fill	Fill Fill WEAK F grey and of Becomin ENGINE with oran, plastic End of be No groun	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	Peat Rock		(i) the off of the off off off off off off off off off of	Water Lev	read - nS 1151 113 87 166 172 166 172 166 172 166 172 166 172	84 58 44 79 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67			Comi Sarr Othe	ments nples r Test	
						- - - - - - - 5.0								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor		LOGGE	D: JN	1	SHE	ET: ´	10of ⁻	13	JOB REF:	94185	
LC	CATION :	86-88 Hobsonv	ille Road			ED: MF	-		<u>г</u> .	04/40	10001		
		Hobsonville			SV DIAI	ER: 50	//////////////////////////////////////	VAN	E: E FAC	21/10/ TOR:	2021	No:	J3-E
	Topsoil Fill	SOIL DESCR	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Ground	Tepth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
Fill	ENGINE with brow slightly pl	ERED FILL: Clay n, orange and grey astic	vey SILT, yello mottles, very	owish brown stiff, moist,		0.5		202+ 125 131 142 148	64 58 61 70	2.0 2.3 2.3 2.1			
	End of bo	orehole @ 1.3m (Tar ndwater encountered	get Depth) during drilling o	or on completion		- 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - 2.5 - 3.0 - 3.5 - 4.0 - 4.5 4.5 							



С	LIENT:	Austino Hobsor		LOGGE	D: JN	1	SHE	ET: 1	11of 1	3	JOB REF:	94185	
LC	CATION :	86-88 Hobsonv	ille Road		CHECK	ED: MI	F						
		Hobsonville				ER: 50	mm /2223	VAN	E: E FAC	21/10/ TOR:	2021	HAND AUGER	J3-F
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:		a)	a)				
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Kock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Tes	s t
	_ Topsoil				\mathbb{N}	_							
Fill	WEAK FI	LL: Clayey SILT l grey mottles, very	, yellowish bro y stiff, moist, s	own with brown, slightly plastic		- - - - 0.5		131	67 52	2.0			
	E					_ _ _		113	44	2.6			
	F				λ	<u> </u>		116	76	1.5			
GM	NATURA light grey s	L: Silty CLAY, m streaks, very stiff,	edium grey w moist, slightly	ith oragne and plastic	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	_		160	90	1.8			
<u> </u>	End of bor	ehole @ 1.5m (Targ	ret Depth)		XIXIXI	 1.5		202+					
	 No ground 	lwater encountered d	luring drilling o	r on completion		_							
	$- TGM = T_{2}$	auranga Group Ma	aterials			_							
	F					2.0							
	L					_							
	–												
	F					_ 2.5							
						_							
	E					- 							
	E					_ 0.0							
	F					_							
	–					- 							
	F					_							
	L					_							
	—					4.0							
	F					_							
	F					_							
						— 4.5							
	┝					_							
	F					_							
	—					— 5.0							
-					I		I	<u> </u>	1				



CL	IENT: Austir	no Hobson		LOGGE	D: JM	-	SHE	ET: 1	12of ²	13	JOB REF:	94185	
LC	CATION : 86-88	3 Hobsonvi	lle Road			EB: 50	- mm	ΠΔΤ		21/10/	2024		
	Hobs	onville			SV DIAL	: GV	2223	VAN	E FAC	21/10/ TOR:	2021	No:	J3-G
	SOIL	DESCR	IPTION		Ground	Level:	/el	ik (Pa)	d Pa)	ty		0	
	Topsoil	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	vr ₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Pea Strength (k	Remould Strength (k	Sensitivi		Comments Samples Other Tes	s t
	Topsoil		av SILT vall	wich brown		_							
Fill	with orange and g	grey mottles,	, very stiff, m	bist, slightly		- - -		202+					
		CLAV	. 1:	:41- 1: -1-4	×- × -×	- 0.5 -		000.					
TGM	streaks, very stiff,	y CLAY, me , moist, sligh	ntly plastic	ith light grey	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	_ _ _		148	73	2.0			
-					×××	- 1.0		169	76	2.2			
	 End of borehole @ No groundwater et 	1.0m (Targe ncountered during the second	et Depth) uring drilling o	r on completion		_							
		C N		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-							
	- TGM = Tauranga	a Group Ma	teraials			– – 1.5							
	_					_							
	_					-							
	- -					- 							
						- 2.0							
	_					_							
	<u> </u>					- 25							
	—					- 2.5 -							
	-					_							
	-					-							
	—					<u> </u>							
	_					_							
	F					_							
	—					- 3.5							
	_					-							
	_ _					_							
	—					-4.0							
	-					_							
						_							
						- 							
						_							
	-					_							
	_ _					- 							
						5.0							
					· · · · · ·								



CL	IENT:		LOGGE	D: JN	1	SHE	ET: 1	13of [·]	13	JOB REF	94185		
LC	CATION :	86-88 Hobsonv	rille Road		CHECK	ED: MI	F						
		Hobsonville				ER: 50	mm	DAT νανι	E: e fac	21/10/	2021		ІЗ₋Н
					Ground	Level:	/2223					INO.	00-11
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
	Topsoil	ULL Classer CILT	vallarrich hm	arrie trith light	\sim	_							
	grey and o	orange mottles, ver	y stiff, moist, s	slightly plastic		_		160	87	1.8			
Fill	- Becomir	ng stiff			× × × ×	0.5		87	47	1.9			
	ENGINE with medi	ERED FILL: Clay	yey SILT, yell grey streaks, v	owish brown ery stiff, moist,	×× × k	_		148	73	2.0			
	slightly pl	astic	• • •		_		145	67	2.2				
	- End of bo	prehole @ 1.0m (Targ	get Depth)	1.4		<u> </u>		177	73	2.4			
	No groun	dwater encountered o	during drilling of	r on completion		_							
	-					-							
	F					1.5							
	-					_							
	F					_							
						2.0							
	_					_							
						_							
	—					- 2.5							
						_							
	-					_							
	<u> </u>					- 							
	-					_ 0.0							
						_							
	-					-							
	—					<u> </u>							
	-					_							
	F					_							
						4.0							
	_					_							
	E					_							
	 					— 4.5			\vdash				
	E					_							
	┝					_							
						— — 5 0							
						5.0							
	1							I					





 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central

 Phone:
 09261 0169

 Email:
 geotek@geotek.co.nz

 Website:
 www.geotek.co.nz

Site Inspection Report

Client: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	Ref: 94185	
Site Address: 86 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville	Time/Date: 10:00am	27/10/21
Inspection of: Extent of Weak Fill	INSPECTION LOG #: 43	
In presence of: Dominic – M3 Civil	Weather: Rain	

1. <u>Purpose of Visit:</u>

Investigation into extent of weak fill across Lot 8 & Lot 9 accessway.

2. Excavations & Ground Conditions Observed

- Long test pits were excavated within lot 8, parallel with the northern boundary, exposing weak fill nestled between competent natural materials. Deep topsoil (>400mm) was often found overlying the weak fill.
- The weak fill is likely to be associated with deep rutting caused by heavy machinery when moving the large stockpile from lot 10.
- Peak shear strengths in the exposed surface weak fill measured between 80 & 110kPa.
- The depth of the weak fill is approximately 1.0 metre below topsoil.
- Test pits excavated to the south (Test pits 19-22) uncovered competent natural material.
- The approximate extent of the weak fill is highlighted on the appended site plan (GS107).
- 8 hand auger boreholes were drilled along the eastern boundary of lot 10, to investigate whether the weak fill from lot 10 extended into lot 9.
- Competent natural materials were encountered in all boreholes, except for HA10-C, where disturbed, weak material was encountered to a depth of 0.7 metres. HA10-C was positioned 10 metres north of the Lot 10 south eastern corner boundary.
- A subsequent 3 boreholes were drilled in 5 metre increments to the east, finding decreasing depths of disturbed material to a minimum of 0.4 metres in HA10-K, 15 metres east of the Lot 10 boundary.
- The extent of the head of disturbed soil is highlighted on the appended site plan (GS107).

3. <u>Photos</u>



Figure 1 - Test Pit 17.



Figure 2 - Test Pit 18.



Figure 3 - Road cone buried in the side of Test Pit 18.

Inspection by: J MEEHL

Reviewing Senior Geo-Professional: M FOSTER

This inspection report is to advise that we have carried out an inspection as required by our client (or client's agent) on this project. It is NOT intended to serve as any form of certificate or producer statement, as that must be signed by a Chartered Professional Engineer once the project is completed.



С	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED:	JM	SHEE	ET: 1	of 72	JOB REF 94185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKED:	MF				
	Hobsonville	DIAMETER:	50mm	DATE	E: :	23/09/20	^{D21} HAND AUGER
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground Leve		a)	a)		
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test
Fill	ENGINEERED FILL: Silty CLAY, mottled grey, orange and brown, very stiff, moist, slightly to moderately plastic		0.5	157 148 145 163 195 157 142 142	81 67 81 87 87 87 79	1.9 1.8 2.2 2.0 2.2 1.8 1.8 1.8	Original hole drilled through WW pipe trench 29/06/2021, re-drilled on 23/09/21
	End of borehole @ 2.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion		2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5				
		THOROU	GH AN	VALY	sis	• DEP	PENDABLE ADVICE



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED:	: JM		SHE	ET: 2	of 7	2	JOB REF:	94 ⁻	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKEE	D: MF								
	Hobsonville		R: 50n 243	nm 33	DAT	E:	28/07/2	2021	HAND AUG No:	JER	B1
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground Le	evel:	-	a)	a)					
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
Fill	Fill Silt Gravel Rock Topsoil ENGINEERED FILL: Silty CLAY with frequent fine gravels, light grey with black specks and brownish orange streaks, very stiff, very moist, moderately plastic End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion		 ● >								
	- - -		- 5.0								



CL	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: JM		SHE	ET: 3	of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF	-							
	Hobsonville		ER: 50r	nm	DAT	E:	28/07/	2021	HAND AUC	GER	B2
		Ground	Level:	-	a)	a)			110.		02
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comm Samj Other	nents oles Test	
Fill	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Peat ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, yellowish brown with orange and light grey mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic Silty CLAY, light grey with orange streaks, very stiff, moist, moderately plastic Silty CLAY, light grey with orange streaks, very stiff, moist, moderately plastic End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion No		1.0 - - - - - - - -	Water	157 148 147 157 148 147 157 1	Laberty of the second s			Other	Test	
			0.0								



C	LIENT:	Austino Hobso		LOGGE	D: JM		SHE	ET: 4	of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185	
LC	OCATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road			ED: MF	-						CER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	.: 24	33	DAT	E:	29/06/	2021	No:	GLK	B3
		SOIL DESCE			Ground	Level:		, ⊃a)	a)	>		•		
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	v⊮ Peat ∑Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivit		Comr Sam Other	nents ples ⁻ Test	
Fill	Topsoil ENGINE specks ar moist, me	ERED FILL: Silt ad brownish orange oderately plastic	y CLAY, light /red streaks, ve	grey with black ery stiff, very		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		140 145 160 142 142	59 51 61 79 87 79 81	2.4 2.4 2.4 2.0 1.6 1.8	Bord	ehole further to 1.8m on 28	drilled 8/07/202	from 21
	End of b No groun	orehole @ 1.8m (Tar, ndwater encountered	get Depth) during drilling o	r on completion		2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0								
					THOR	ОЛСН	ΔΝ		sis	• DF	PFN	IDARI F	ΔΠ	/ICF



UUG

CLIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 LOGG CHECK							l -	SHE	ET: 5	of 7	72	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road			ED. IVIF	- mm		_			HAND AU	GER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	.: 243	33	DAT	E:	28/07/	/2021	No:	-	C1
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	vel	ak <pa)< td=""><td>d ¢Pa)</td><td>ity</td><td></td><td>Com</td><td>nonto</td><td></td></pa)<>	d ¢Pa)	ity		Com	nonto	
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Le	Su - Pea Strength (I	Remoul Strength (H	Sensitiv		Sam Other	ples Test	
II:H	ENGINE light grey stiff, mois - Occasion End of bc No groun	ERED FILL: Clay with orange streaks t, slightly plastic nal fine gravels rehole @ 1.0m (Targ dwater encountered d	vey SILT with s and brown n et Depth) luring drilling o	trace fine sand, nottles, very		- 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - 3.0 - 3.5 - 4.0 - 4.5 - 5.0					-Bc of	ecoming natu 'borehole	Iral near	· end



CI	LIENT:			D: JM	 =	SHE	ET: 6	of 7	72	JOB REF:	94	185			
LC	DCATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		DIAMET	ER: 50r	mm	DAT	E.	28/07/	/2021	HAND AU	GER		
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL Ground	.: 243	33	-				No:		C2	
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	v Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test		
TGM	Fill Fill Fill Fill Fill Fill Fill Fill	LL: Clayey SILT ange and light brow LL: Silty CLAY, o <u>and the plastic</u> orehole @ 1.0m (Targ dwater encountered of Fauranga Group Ma	Gravel	Rock hal fine gravels, , slightly plastic t grey, very stiff, r on completion		a					We	ak Fill			



CLIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2			LOGGED: JM			ET: 7	of 7	′2 JO	JOB REF: 94185		
LOCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road			CHECKED: MF								
	Hobsonville	DIAMETER: 50mm			DATE: 28/07/2021				AND AUG o [.]	ier (C3
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground	Level:	_	a)	a)					
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Commo Samp Other T	ents les Test	
	Topsoil ENCINEERED FILL: Clavey SILT light brown with		-								
	light grey streaks, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic				183	99	1.9				
	-	X-X-X	-		172	93	1.8				
E	=	×-×->	-0.5 -								
	-		-		174	87	2.0				
	- Becoming light grey with brown and orange mottles				160	87	1.8				
	End of horabolo (2) 1.0m (Torgot Dorth)		1.0		166	81	2.0				
	 No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 		_								
	-	.	- 1.5								
	-										
	-		_								
			- 20								
	-		_ 2.0								
	-		-								
	-		- 2.5								
			_								
			3.0								
	-		_								
	-										
	—	·	- 3.5								
	-		-								
			4.0								
	-		- 4.5								
	-		\vdash								
	—		- 5.0								



CLIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2		LOGGED: J	SHEET: 8 of 72				JOB REF: 94185			
LC	OCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKED: N								
	Hobsonville	SV DIAL 2	DATE: 29/06/2021				No:	JER	C4	
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground Level:		a)	a)					
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comm Samı Other	ients ples Test	
TGM Fill	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Topsoil Silt Pack Rock Topsoil ENGINEERED FILL: Silty CLAY, mottled brown, grey, orange, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic NATURAL: Silty CLAY, light grey with orange streaks, very stiff, moist, moderately plastic End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		Water L	a. gb gb 177+ 177+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+ 1171+	81 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 8			Sam	bles Test	
		5.0								



CLIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2		LOGGED: AH			SHEET: 9 of 72				JOB REF: 94185					
LOCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road			CHECKED: MF								050			
Hobsonville			DIAMETER: 50mm			DATE: 28/06/2021				HAND AU No:	GER	D1		
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	_	a)	a)					
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	wr Peat ∑Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comr Sam Other	nents iples Test	
TGM	Topsoil NATURA mottles an plastic	L: Clayey SILT, 5 d light grey streak	vellowish brow s, stiff, very m	vn with orange oist, slightly	× × × × × × * × ×	 		85	41	2.1				
	End of box No ground TGM = T	rehole @ 0.5m (Targ lwater encountered o auranga Group Ma	get Depth) luring drilling o aterials	r on completion		0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - - 3.0 - - 3.5								
						4.0 								


CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: AH	ł	SHE	ET: 1	0 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF	-							
	Hobsonville		ER: 50r	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AUC	SER	20
		Ground	_:208	828	(e	(E			NO.		DZ
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comm Samp Other	ents oles Test	
TGM	Topsoil NATURAL: Clayey SILT with trace fine to medium sand, light grey, very stiff, very moist, slightly plastic End of borehole @ 0.5m (Target Depth)	× × × - × - × - * - × - * - × - × - ×	- - - - 0.5		131	56	2.3				
	End of borehole @ 0.5m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		-0.5 -1.0 -1.5 -1.5 -2.0 -2.5 -2.5 -3.0 -3.5 -3.5 -4.0 -4.0								



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: AH	I	SHE	ET: 1	1 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF	-						<u> </u>	
	Hobsonville		ER: 50r	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AUC	GER	ъз
		Ground	Level:	528	(e	(E			NO.		00
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comm Samı Other	nents ples Test	
Fill	Topsoil NATURAL: Clayey SILT with trace fine to medium sand, light grey with orange mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic	× × × × × × * × × *	- - - - 0.5		109	56	1.9				
	End of borehole @ 0.5m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		- 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - 3.0 - 3.5 - 4.0 - 4.5								





CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor		LOGGE	D: AH	ł	SHE	ET: 1	3 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185	
LC	CATION :		CHECK	ED: MF										
		Hobsonville			· 208	828	DAT	E:	29/06/	2021	No:	JER	D5	
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	_	a)	a)	`		-		-
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivity		Comm Sam Other	nents ples Test	
Fill	Topsoil ENGINEI blackish bi plastic End of boi Unable to	ERED FILL: Clay rown streaks, infer rehole @ 0.6m (Targ	yey SILT, dark red very stiff, get Depth 1.0m)	c grey with moist, slightly		 0.5 		UTP						
	End of boy Unable to No ground	rehole @ 0.6m (Targ penetrate through ha iwater encountered of	get Depth 1.0m) ard obstruction during drilling o	r on completion		1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 -1 3.5 -1								
						- - - - - - 5.0								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor		LOGGE	D: JL		SHE	ET: 1	4 of 7	' 2		94	185	
LOCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road					CHECK	ED: MF	-							
		Hobsonville				ER: 50	mm	DAT	E:	29/06/	2021	HAND AU	GER	De
					Ground	LEvel:	90	(T	Â			INO.		00
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	wr Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comr Sam Othei	nents iples r Test	
Tauranga Group Materials	Topsoil Fill Topsoil ENGINE specks and moderately MATURA mottles, ve End of bo No ground No ground Topsoil	ERED FILL: Silty d brownish orange y plastic L: Silty CLAY, we ery stiff, moist, mo rehole @ 1.0m (Targ dwater encountered of	A CLAY, light streaks, very s hitish grey wi derately plasti tet Depth) huring drilling o	Peat Rock		(ii) theorem (iii) theorem (iiii) theorem (iiii) theorem (iii) theorem (iii) theorem (Water Lev	217+ 217+ 189 149 149	Strendth (k			Comr Sam Other	nents ples · Test	
	- - -					 5.0								



CL	LIENT:	Austino Hobsor		LOGGE	D: ST	"L -	SHE	ET: 1	5 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185	
LC	DCATION :	86 Hobsonville		DIAMET	ED. Mr ER: 50	mm					HAND AU	GER		
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	.:	62	DAT	=:	28/06/	2021	No:		E1
		SOIL DESCR	RIPTION		Ground	Level:	svel	ak kPa)	lid kPa)	/ity		Comm	nents	
	Topsoil Fill	Clay XSilt	Sand	wr Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m	Water Le	Su - Pe Strength (Remou Strength (Sensitiv		Sam Other	ples Test	
TGM	Topsoil Topsoil NATURA grey and o	ملا: Clayey SILT w orange, very stiff, r	vith minor sand noist, very slig get Depth) during drilling o aterials	d fraction, light shtly plastic		0.5 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0								



CLIE	NT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED: ST	ΓL	SHEI	ET: 1	6 of 7	2		94185
LOC	ATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKED: M	F						-
	Hobsonville	DIAMETER: 50	mm	DATI	E:	28/06/2	2021 HA	AND AUGE	R F2
		Ground Level:		a)	a)				
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Commer Sample Other Te	nts es est
	Topsoil NATURAL: Clayey SILT with minor sand fraction, orange with light grey specks, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor		LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 1	7 of 7	2		94	185	
LC	LOCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road					ED: MF	=							
		Hobsonville				ER: 50	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AUG	GER	E3
					Ground	Li Zot	<u>02</u>	a)	a)			INO.		L0
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comm Sam Other	nents ples Test	
ļļ	_ Topsoil				\mathbb{N}	_								
F	Topsoil in	ntermixed with fill			$\mathbf{\nabla}$									
		AL: Fine sandy clay	vey SILT, orar	nge and grey,	XXX	_								
V	Clavev SI	LT with minor san	c d fraction. ora	nge and grev.		- 0.5		90	43	2.1				
S	stiff, mois	st, very slightly plas	stic		XX									
E	–					_								
	_				XX	_								
	End of bo	orehole @ 1.0m (Targ	get Depth)			- 1.0		102	45	2.3				
	 No groun 	dwater encountered d	luring drilling o	r on completion		-								
	TGM = 1	Γauranga Group M ք	aterials											
	 					- 1.5								
	E					_								
	_					_								
	-					_								
						2.0								
	-					-								
	L													
	┝━					- 2.5								
						_								
	F					_								
	-					-								
						- 3.0								
	-					-								
	┝━					- 3.5								
	E													
	F					L								
	<u>–</u>													
						4.0								
	–					-								
	E					E								
	 					- 4.5								
	-					-								
	F													
	┝					-								
	—					- 5.0								



CL	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2		LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 1	8 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	DCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-							
	Hobsonville			ER: 50r	nm 32	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AUC	JER	F4
	SOIL DESCRIPTION		Ground	Level:	<u>_</u>	a)	a)					
	Topsoil Clay Sand Fill Silt Gravel	Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comm Samj Other	nents ples Test	
	_ Topsoil		\mathbf{X}	-								
Fill	ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, brownish overy stiff, moist, very slightly plastic	orange,		- - -		142	79	1.8				
TGM	NATURAL: Fine sandy clayey SILT, orange and grey, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic - Becoming pink, orange and light grey	l light		- - -		150	73	2.0				
	 End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on content 	mpletion		1.0 		162	49	3.3				
	TGM = Tauranga Group Materials			_								
				 1.5								
	-			-								
	F			_								
	F			2.0								
	-			_								
	F			_								
	F			— 2.5 —								
	E			_								
	-			-								
	F			- 3.0 -								
	E			_								
	<u>E</u>		ŀ	- 25								
	+			- 5.5								
	F			_								
	<u>L</u>			- - 40								
	E											
	F			_								
	F			- 								
	E			_								
	E			_								
	F											
	1											



LOCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil T	CL	IENT:		LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 1	9 of 7	2		94	185		
Hobsonville DIAMETER: Sorth Date: 28060221 Material SolL DESCRIPTION Image: Sorth Im	LC	LOCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road					ED: MF	=	_				JOB REL		100
Sy UNL: 2002 Sy UNL: 2002 Sy UNL: 2002 Topsoil Open: Colspan="2">Contrasting open: Colspan="2">Contrasting Contrasting Open: Colspan="2">Contrasting Contrasting Open: Colspan="2">Contrasting Cont			Hobsonville			DIAMET	ER: 50	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AU	GER	5
SOL DESCRIPTION Topeol Commente Stat Commente Stat Topeol Stat Sand Peat 0.5 Topool NutrRAL: Fine sandy clayey SILT, orange, light grey and dark orange, very stif, mosix, very slightly plastic Fine to modium sandy clayey SILT, orange, light grey addark orange, very stif, mosix, very slightly plastic 0.5 199 73 2.7 Fine to modium sandy clayey SILT, orange, light grey addark orange, very stif, mosix, very slightly plastic 1.0 210+ 1.0 Togo of the ordium sandy clayey SILT, orange, light grey addark orange, very stif, mosix, very slightly plastic 1.0 210+ Fine to modium sandy clayey SILT, orange, light grey addark orange, very stif, mosix, very slightly plastic 1.0 210+ Togo of the orange dark orange, very stif, mosix, very slightly plastic 1.0 210+ Togo and the orange dark orange, light grey addark orange, light grey addark orange, light grey addark orange, light grey slightly plastic 1.0 210+ Togo and the orange dark o						SV DIAL Ground	<u>286 286 286</u>	62	-				NO:		EU
Topsoil		Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	wr Peat € Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
	TGM	Topsoil NATURA and dark of Clayey SI and dark of Fine to me dark orang End of be No groun TGM = T	AL: Fine sandy clay orange, very stiff, n LT with minor sand orange, very stiff, moist edium sandy clayey ge, very stiff, moist rehole @ 1.0m (Targ dwater encountered d Fauranga Group Ma	vey SILT, oran noist, very slig d fraction, oran <u>noist, very slig</u> y SILT, orange , very slightly get Depth) luring drilling o aterials	nge, light grey htly plastic nge, light grey htly plastic , light grey and plastic r on completion		- 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - 3.0 - 3.5 - 4.0 - 4.5 - 5.0								



CL	LIENT:		LOGGE	D: JM	1	SHE	ET: 2	0 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185		
LC	LOCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road				CHECK	ED: MF	=							
		Hobsonville				ER: 501	mm 33	DAT	E:	28/07/	2021	HAND AUG	jΕR	F6
					Ground	Level:		a)	a)			110.		
	Topsoil	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
TGM	Topsoil NATURA grey with End of bc No groun	L: Clayey SILT w orange mottles, ver orehole @ 1.0m (Targ dwater encountered o	vith minor san ry stiff, moist, get Depth) huring drilling o	d fraction, light slightly plastic					0 41 55					
						- 3.0 - 3.5 - 4.0 - 4.5 								



CLIENT:Austino Hobsonville 2LOCATION :86 Hobsonville Road						D: AF	ł =	SHE	ET: 2	1 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :		DIAMET	TER: 50	mm		 -	20/00/	0004	HAND AU	GER			
		Hobsonville			SV DIAI	_: 20	828	DAT	=:	28/06/	2021	No:		E7
		SOIL DESCR	RIPTION		Ground		evel	iak (kPa)	lld (kPa)	/ity		Comm	nents	
	Topsoil	Clay	Sand	₩ Peat	gend	oth (m	ater Le	su - Pe ength (Remor	Sensitiv		Sam Other	ples Test	
	Fill		Gravel	Rock	Le .	Der	3	St.	Str –					
					$\langle \rangle \rangle \rangle$	L								
S	-				$\langle \rangle \rangle \rangle$	-								
H	NATURA streaks, v	AL: Clayey SILT, ery stiff, moist, slig	light brown wi ghtly plastic	th light grey	-×-* ×-*->	- 0.5		119	41	2.9				
	_ End of bo	orehole @ 0.6m (Tar	get Depth)			F		134	56	2.4				
	No groun	dwater encountered	during drilling o	or on completion		E								
	$\mathbf{TGM} = \mathbf{T}$	Fauranga Group M	aterials			1.0								
						F								
	-					-								
						1.5								
						L								
	-					-								
						2.0								
	L					E								
	_					-								
						2.5								
	_					_								
	F					F								
	-													
	F					F								
	E													
	_					- 3.5								
						F								
	<u> </u>					E								
	F					$F^{4.0}$								
						L								
	_					\vdash								
	F					− ^{4.5}								
	E					E								
	┝					┝								
	—					5.0								



CLIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED: AH	SHEET: 22 of 72	JOB REF 94185
LOCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKED: MF		
Hobsonville	DIAMETER: 50mm	DATE: 28/06/2021	
	Ground Level:	a (a)	
Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend Depth (m) Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP- Remould Strength (kP- Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test
Fill Clay Gravel Rock Fill Rock For a constraint of the second	Bool Here 0.5 0.5 1.0 1.1.5 2.0 2.0 2.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0		Other Test



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: JM	I	SHE	ET: 2	3 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94 [·]	185
LC	CATION : 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF								
	Hobsonville		· 24	mm 33	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	EK	F1
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground	Level:		a)	a)					
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comm Samp Other	ents bles Test	
L	Topsoil	$\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}$	\vdash								
TGN	NATURAL: Silty CLAY with minor sand fraction, orangish brown with light grey mottles, stiff, moist, slightly plastic		- - -		90	45	2.0				
	End of borehole @ 0.5m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion		_		98	47	2.1				
	TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		_								
	-		- 1.0								
	—		_								
	-		- 1.5								
	–										
	<u>–</u>		-								
	-		- 2.0								
	-		_ 								
	-		- 2.5								
	-		-								
	-		- 3.0								
	–										
	–		-								
	-		- 3.5								
	–										
	–		-								
			4.0								
	–		-								
			4.5								
			-								
	—		- 5.0								
-											



CL	JENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: AH	ł	SHE	ET: 2	4 of 7	2		94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-			_		JOB REL	01	100
		Hobsonville			DIAMET	ER: 50	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AU	GER	E0
					SV DIAL Ground	<u>208</u> Level:	828	-				NO:		ΓZ
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	wr Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
Ι	_ Topsoil				$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	_								
TGN	NATURA orangish b slightly pl	L: Clayey SILT worown with whitish astic	vith minor sand grey streaks,	d fraction, stiff, moist,	X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-	- - -		88	38	2.3				
	End of bo	orehole @ 0.5m (Targ dwater encountered c	get Depth) luring drilling o	r on completion				115	44	2.6				
	- TGM = 1	l'auranga Group Ma	aterials			- -								
	E					_								
	—					-								
	–					- 								
	F					_								
	F					_								
	 					-2.0								
	F					_								
	F					-								
						— 2.5 —								
	F					_								
	F					_								
	—					- 3.0								
						_								
	F					- 								
	F					— 3.5 —								
	F					_								
						- - 10								
	-					- 4.0								
	F					_								
	L					- 								
	F													
	┝					_								
	F					- 								



СІ	LIENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: AH	ł	SHE	ET: 2	5 of 7	2		94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-	_				JOB KELL	01	100
		Hobsonville			DIAMET	ER: 50	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AU	GER	E2
					SV DIAL Ground	<u>208</u> Level:	828	-				NO:		ГJ
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	wr ₽eat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
I	_ Topsoil					_								
TGN	NATURA orangish t	L: Clayey SILT worown, very stiff, m	vith minor san noist, slightly j	d fraction, plastic	×***	_ _ _		129	35	3.7				
	End of bo	orehole @ 0.5m (Targ dwater encountered of	get Depth) luring drilling o	or on completion		0.5 		165	47	3.5				
		l auranga Group Ma	ateriais			- 								
	F					_								
	F					-								
	—					- 1.5 -								
						_								
	L					_ 20								
						_ 2.0								
	F					_								
	F					2.5								
	F					-								
	F					-								
						<u> </u>								
	L					_								
	<u> </u>					_ 								
	F					3.5 								
	F					-								
	–					- 								
	E					_								
	E													
	—					4.5								
	F					_								
	F					-								
						— 5.0								
-														



CL	LIENT:	Austino Hobso	onville 2		LOGGE	D: AH	ł -	SHE	ET: 2	6 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	OCATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road			ED: MF	- mm					HAND AUG	GER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	.: 208	828	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	-	F4
		SOIL DESCI	RIPTION		Ground	Level:	svel	ak kPa)	ld kPa)	ity		Comm	nents	
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m	Water Le	Su - Pe Strength (Remou Strength (Sensitiv		Samı Other	ples Test	
И	_ Topsoil				\sum	_								
TGN	NATURA	AL: Clayey SILT	with minor san	d fraction,	****	_ _ _		118	56	2.1				
	End of bo	prehole @ 0.5m (Tai adwater encountered	get Depth) during drilling c	or on completion	<u>, 1.41^,</u>	0.5 		159	40	4.0				
	TGM = 7	Fauranga Group M	laterials			_								
	<u> </u>					1.0								
	-					_								
	F													
	F					- 1.5 -								
	F					-								
	–					- 2.0								
	-					_								
	–					-								
	—					<u> </u>								
	-					_								
						- 								
						_								
	F					_								
						 3.5								
	_					_								
	F													
						4.0								
	F					-								
	–					— 4.5								
	F					-								
	F													
						— 5.0								



LOCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville DIAMETER: 50mm SV DIAL: 20828 DATE: 2806/2021 HAND AUGER No: F SOIL DESCRIPTION Fill Topsoil Clay Sand Gravel Topsoil Sit Comments Samples Other Test NATURAL: Clayey SILT, light grey, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic X X X 100 0.5 88 44 2.0 TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 1.0 148 50 3.0 148 50 3.0 Composition 2.5	SHEET: 27 of 72 JOB REF: 94185	SHEET: 27	H SH	LOGGED: AH	ENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	CL
Hobsonville SV DIAL: 20828 DR. I. Zoldover No: F SOIL DESCRIPTION Graund Level: B	DATE: 28/06/2021 HAND AUGER		mm DA	DIAMETER: 50r	CATION : 86 Hobsonville Road	LC
SOIL DESCRIPTION Other Test Topsoil Clay Sand Peat	No: F5		828	SV DIAL: 208	Hobsonville	
Topsoil	Strength (kPa) Strength (kPa) Sensitivity Sensitivity Other Lest	Su - Peak Strength (kPa) Remould Strength (kPa)	Water Level Su - Peak	Depth (m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Fill Clay Silt Gravel Gravel	
	0 0 0 0 14 0 88 44 20 0 148 50 0 0 148 50 0 0 148 50 148 50 140 0 141 0 142 0 143 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 144 0 145 0 146 0 147 0 148 0 149 0 141 0 <	0 0 1 1 88 44 148 50 148 50 148 50 1 1 148 50 1 1 148 50 1 1 148 50 1 1 1			Topsoil NATURAL: Clayey SILT, light grey, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic End of borehole @ 0.6m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials	TGM



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: JM	1	SHE	ET: 2	8 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-							
		Hobsonville				ER: 501	mm 23	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AUG	jΕR	F6
		SOIL DESCE			Ground	Level:		a)	a)					
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
LGM	Topsoil Fill NATURA very stiff, End of be No groun TGM = 7	Clay Silt AL: Clayey SILT, I moist, slightly plat orehole @ 0.6m (Targ adwater encountered of Fauranga Group Ma	ight grey with stic	Peat Rock			Water Lev	Image: Strength (k) Image: Strength (k)	64 23 64 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1	3 8 Sensitivit		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
						4.5 - - - - - 5.0								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: JM	I	SHE	ET: 2	9 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-					UOD HELL	• •	
		Hobsonville				ER: 50r	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AUC	GER	F7
					Ground	Level:	23	a)	(e			NO.		17
	Topsoil		Sand Gravel	v⊮ Peat Rock	Legend)epth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comm Samj Other	ients bles Test	
TGM Fill	Topsoil Fill ENGINE moist, ver NATURA very stiff, End of be No groun	Clay Silt	y CLAY, light plastic ight grey with stic get Depth) during drilling of	Peat Rock		L) (Hidd DO	Water L	d - nS 204+	Strength	Sensiti		Sam Other	oles Test	



CL	LIENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: AH	l -	SHE	ET: 3	0 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road				- mm						GER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	.: 208	828	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	0LIN	F8
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	e	< Pa)	l >a)	~				
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	wr ₽eat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Peal Strength (kl	Remoulc Strength (kł	Sensitivit		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
Fill	Topsoil Fill ENGINE dark brow plastic End of bc Unable to No groun	ERED FILL: Clay m mottles and red a prehole @ 0.7m (Targ penetrate due to har dwater encountered of	Sand Gravel	t brown with iff, wet, slightly r on completion		1 = 0.5 1 = 0.5 1 = 0.5 1 = 1.0 1 = 1.5 1 = 2.0 1 = 2.5 1 = 3.0 1 = 3.5 1 = 4.0 1 = 4.5 1 = 4.5 1 = 5.0	Water		Rem OD Strengt			Sam Other	ples Test	
	_					— 5.0								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	ville 2			D: AH	1 =	SHE	ET: 3	1 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	DCATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		DIAMET	ER: 50	mm		_			HAND AU	GER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAI	_: _208	828	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	-	G1
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	/el	k Pa)	d Pa)	ty		0		
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Pea Strength (k	Remould Strength (k	Sensitivi		Comr Samı Other	nents ples Test	
Μ	Topsoil													
DL	NATURA stiff, mois	AL: Clayey SILT, l st, slightly plastic	ight grey with	orange streaks,	×-×-→ -×-→	_ 		88	49	1.8				
	End of bc No groun	orehole @ 0.5m (Targ dwater encountered d	et Depth) luring drilling o	or on completion		 		62	50	1.2				
	TGM = 1	Րauranga Group Ma	aterials											
	- -					_								
	L					E								
	—					- 1.5								
	F													
	 					2.0								
	F					F								
	—					- 2.5								
	_					F								
	F													
						- 3.0								
	F					F								
						3.5								
	F					_								
						4.0								
	┝					\vdash								
	F					E								
						4.5								
	┝					–								
	F					F								
	┝━					5.0								



CI	LIENT:	Austino Hobso	nville 2			D: AH	1 =	SHE	ET: 3	2 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		DIAMET	ER: 50	mm	БАТ		28/06/	2021	HAND AUG	GER	
	1	Hobsonville			SV DIAL	<u>.: 208</u>	828	DAT		20/00/	2021	No:		G2
	Topsoil Fill	SOIL DESCI	RIPTION Sand Gravel	w Peat Rock	Fegend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Comm Sam Other	nents ples Test	
TGM	Topsoil NATUR yellowish	AL: Clayey SILT brown with light	with minor san grey streaks, st	d fraction, iff, moist,	×:×	- - -		91	50	1.8				
	yellowish slightly pl End of bc No groun TGM = 7	brown with light astic prehole @ 0.5m (Tar dwater encountered Fauranga Group M	grev streaks, st get Depth) during drilling c laterials	or on completion		- 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - 3.0 - 3.0								
						3.5 								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobs	onville 2			D: AH	ł =	SHE	ET: 3	3 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonvill	e Road		DIAMET	ER: 50	mm	DAT		20/06/	2024	HAND AU	GER	
	1	Hobsonville			SV DIAI	.: 208	828	DAT	=:	20/00/	2021	No:		G3
	Topsoil	SOIL DESC	RIPTION	₩ Peat Rock	Fegend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
TGM	Topsoil NATUR orangish	L: Clayey SILT brown <u>with pinki</u>	with minor san sh red streaks, y	d fraction, light very stiff, moist,	×:×	- - - - 05		206+						
	NATURA orangish slightly pl - End of bc - No groun - TGM = 7	L: Clayey SILT brown <u>with pinki</u> astic orehole @ 0.5m (Ta dwater encountered Fauranga Group P	with minor san sh red streaks, v rget Depth) i during drilling o Materials	d fraction, light very stiff, moist, or on completion		- 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - 3.0 - 3.5 - 3.5 - 3.5 - 4.0								
						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -								



CI	LIENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: AH	ł	SHE	ET: 3	4 of 7	2		94	185
LC	LOCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road				CHECK	ED: MF	-					UOD INEL !	01	
	Hobsonville				DIAMET	ER: 50r	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AU	GER	C1
					SV DIAL Ground	<u>208</u> Level:	828	-	$\widehat{}$			NO:		64
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	wr Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comr Sam Other	ments iples r Test	
TGM	Topsoil Fill NATURA plastic End of bo No groun TGM = T	Clay Silt	grey, very stiff get Depth) during drilling o aterials	Peat Rock	Legend	(iii)	Water Le	ad - nS 206+	Remou Strength (i	Sensitiv		Sam Other	iples r Test	
						4.0 4.5 4.5 5.0								



С	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED	D: AH	l	SHE	ET: 3	5 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
L	OCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKE	D: MF								
	Hobsonville		2012 R: 50r	nm 328	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AU	GER	G5
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground I	Level:	10	< Pa)	a)	~				
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Peal Strength (kl	Remoulc Strength (kł	Sensitivit		Comr Sam Other	nents ples ⁻ Test	
LGM	Iopsoil NATURAL: Clayey SILT, with minor sand fraction, dark brown with orange mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic - Becoming brown with black streaks Clayey SILT, grey, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		- 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - 3.0 - 3.5 - 4.0 - 4.5 								



СГ	LIENT:	Austino Hobsor	ville 2		LOGGE	D: JL		SHE	ET: 3	6 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF								
		Hobsonville				ER: 501	mm an	DAT	E:	29/06/	2021	HAND AUG No:	JER	G6
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:		a)	a)					
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
V	- Topsoil				\sum	-								
TGN	NATUR streaks, v	AL: Silty CLAY, ye ery stiff, very moist	ellowish brow , moderately j	n with light grey plastic	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	-		160	67	2.4				
	End of bo	orehole @ 0.5m (Targ adwater encountered d	et Depth) luring drilling o	r on completion		— 0.5 — —		148	48	3.1				
	TGM = 7	Tauranga Group Ma	iterials			_								
	F					<u> </u>								
						_								
	E					- 								
	F					— 1.5 —								
	F					_								
	<u>–</u>					- 								
	F					_								
	–					- 								
						_								
	_					_								
	F					- 3.0								
	F					_								
						-								
	 -					- 3.5								
	F					_								
						_								
						4.0								
	-					-								
	F					_								
	—					— 4.5 —								
	F					_								
	┝													
						- 5.0								
	1													



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED	D: JM		SHE	ET: 3	7 of 7	2	JOB REF:	941	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKE	D: MF	-							
	Hobsonville		R: 50r	nm 22	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AUC	έER	G7
		Ground L	_evel:	-	a)	a)			110.		0,
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comm Samp Other	ients bles Test	
GM	NATURAL: Silty CLAY, light grey with orange and		-		204+						
Ĺ	reddish oranke streaks, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic		- 		204+						
	No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion		-		2041						
	TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		-								
	-	ļ	- 1.0								
	–		-								
	–	-	-								
	-	I F	- 1.5 -								
			-								
	–	-	-								
	-		- 2.0								
	–		-								
	–	-	-								
	-		-2.5 -								
	–		-								
	–	-	-								
			- 3.0 -								
	–		-								
	-	-	-								
	— —		- 3.5 -								
			-								
	–	-	-								
	-		- 4.0								
			-								
		I F	_								
	-		- 4.5								
			-								
	F		-								
	H		- 5.0								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: JL		SHE	ET: 3	8 of 7	2		94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-					UOD INEL !	01	100
		Hobsonville				TER: 501	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AU	GER	G8
					Ground	Li 199	90	(m	(F			INU.		00
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	wr ₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comm Sam Other	nents ples Test	
HFA	End of be No groun	ERED FILL: Clay ks, very stiff, mois lusions	yey SILT, brow t, slightly plast get Depth) during drilling o	wn with light tic, frequent		0.5 0.5 1.0 1.0 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0								



JENT:	Austino Hobs	onville 2		LOGGE	D: JN	1	SHE	ET: 3	9 of 7	72	JOB REF:	94	185
CATION :	86 Hobsonvil	le Road		CHECK	ED: M	F							
	Hobsonville				ER: 50	mm 23	DAT	E:	28/06/	/2021	HAND AU No:	IGER	G9
	SOIL DESC			Ground	Level:		a)	a)					
Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Grave	w Peat €I Sock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comr Sarr Other	ments iples r Test	
Topsoil Fill gravels, m moist, ver	Clay Silt ERED FILL: S nottled brown an y slightly plastic	arget Depth 1.0 gravel obstruction d during drillin	h very frequent s, very stiff, very m) ons g or on completion			Water Le	ad - no second s	Remound		Shea inflate of fille	Sarr Other	es may gh gra	/ be vel content
					4.5 								
	JENT: CATION : Topsoil Fill ENGINE gravels, m moist, ver End of bc Unable to No groun End I I I I I I I I I I I I I	LIENT: Austino Hobs DCATION : 86 Hobsonville SOIL DESC Topsoil Fill ENGINEERED FILL: S gravels, mottled brown an moist, very slightly plastic End of borehole @ 0.9m (T Unable to penetrate due to g No groundwater encountered No groundwatered No groundwater encountered No groundwatered No groundwa	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 CATION : 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Fill Clay Silt ENGINEERED FILL: Silty CLAY wi gravels, mottled brown and dark browns moist, very slightly plastic End of borehole @ 0.9m (Target Depth 1.0 Unable to penetrate due to gravel obstruction No groundwater encountered during drilling No groundwater encountered during drilling Hobsonville Hobsonville Hobsonville Hobsonville Hobsonville Hobsonville Soil DESCRIPTION Sand Grave Hobsonville Soil DESCRIPTION Sand Grave Hobsonville Soil DESCRIPTION Sand Hobsonville Soil DESCRIPTION Sand Grave Sold	LENT: : Austino Hobsonville 2 CATION : 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Soll DESCRIPTION Consoliding Clay Sold Gravel Peat Rock Fill Clay Sold CLAY with very frequent gravels, mottled brown and dark browns, very stiff, very moist, very slightly plastic End of borehole @ 0.9m (Target Depth 1.0m) Unable to penetrate due to gravel obstructions No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion	LENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Fill Clay Clay Cravel Gravel Cravel	JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SVDIAL::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Gravel Gravel ENGINEERED FILL: Silty CLAY with very frequent gravels, motted brown and dark browns, very stiff, very moist, very slightly plastic End of borehole @ 0.9m (Target Depth 1.0m) Unable to penetrate due to gravel obstructions No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion Source Structure due to gravel obstructions Source Structure due to gravel obstructions Source Structure during drilling or on completion Source Structure during drilling or on completion	JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 LOGGED: JM SHE DCATION: 86 Hobsonville No ME DAT SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL DESCRIPTION Image: Soil Clay Image: Soil Clay	JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 UCGGED: JM SHEET: 3 DCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road DIAMETER: 50mm DATE: SOIL DESCRIPTION SUBJECT: 30mm Date: 2223 Forpool Soil DESCRIPTION B B B B D SOIL DESCRIPTION B B B B B B B B B D <td>JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 LCOGED: JM CATION: 66 Hobsonville Road DATE: 2806 Mosonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Grand Level: DATE: 2806 Topsoli Clay Sand Peat Peat</td> <td>JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoli Clay Solit DESCRIPTION gravels Topsolit Clay Brain Gravel gravels Gravel moist, very slightly plastic 0.5 Unable to penetrate due to gravel obstructions No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 1.0 1.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.5 4.0</td> <td>JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 COATION: 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Clay Sand Peat Rock Soll DESCRIPTION Clay Sand Peat Rock Soll DESCRIPTION Clay Clay Sand Peat Rock Cound seet: 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9</td> <td>JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville Some SOLL DESCRIPTION Structure Structure 30 REF: Soll DESCRIPTION Structure Structure 30 REF: Base Structure 30 REF: Structure 30 R</td>	JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 LCOGED: JM CATION: 66 Hobsonville Road DATE: 2806 Mosonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Grand Level: DATE: 2806 Topsoli Clay Sand Peat Peat	JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoli Clay Solit DESCRIPTION gravels Topsolit Clay Brain Gravel gravels Gravel moist, very slightly plastic 0.5 Unable to penetrate due to gravel obstructions No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 1.0 1.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.5 4.0	JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 COATION: 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Clay Sand Peat Rock Soll DESCRIPTION Clay Sand Peat Rock Soll DESCRIPTION Clay Clay Sand Peat Rock Cound seet: 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	JENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 DCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville Some SOLL DESCRIPTION Structure Structure 30 REF: Soll DESCRIPTION Structure Structure 30 REF: Base Structure 30 REF: Structure 30 R



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 4	0 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LO	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF	=							
		Hobsonville				EK: 501	mm 62	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	σER	H1
		SOIL DESCE			Ground	Level:	_	a)	a)			L		
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	v⊮ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
TUDE	Topsoil Fill NATURA stiff, mois End of be No groun TGM = 7	Clay Silt AL: Clayey SILT, I at, slightly plastic orehole @ 0.5m (Targ dwater encountered of Fauranga Group Ma	Sand Gravel	Peat Rock		(iii) Hideo 	Water Lev	150 180 180 180	Strength (kr			Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
	 					- - - - - 5.0								



CL	LIENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 4	1 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-							
		Hobsonville				ER: 50	mm 82	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AU	GER	H2
		SOIL DESCE			Ground	Level:	<u>_</u>	a)	a)			110.		
	Topsoil	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
		L: Clayev SILT.	prange and ligh	nt grev, verv	X- X - >	-								
ΒM	stiff, mois	st, slightly plastic			- * - × - * - × - * - × - *	- - 05								
T	Clayey SI	LT with minor san eaks, very stiff, mo	d fraction, ligh bist, slightly pl	astic	- * * ×- × - > - × - ×	_		139	66	2.1				
	<u> </u>					_ 		165	07	1.0				
	 End of bo No groun 	orehole @ 1.0m (Targ dwater encountered of	get Depth) luring drilling o	r on completion		_		100	07	1.9				
	- TGM = 7	Fauranga Group Ma	aterials			- 								
	E													
	- 					- 2.0								
						_ _ _								
						_ 2.5								
						_								
						- 								
	-					-								
						- 								
	- -					_								
						4.0								
	E													
	 -					<u> </u>								
	E													
	 -					- 5.0								





CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 4	3 of 72	JOB REF: 94185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF	-				
	Hobsonville		ER: 50r	nm 32	DAT	E:	28/06/20	021
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground	Level:	-	a)	a)		
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test
Γ	_ Topsoil	$\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}$	-					
TGN	 NATURAL: Clayey SILT with trace fine sand, orange and light grey, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic Becoming pink and light grey 	X- X -> - X -X- *-X-> -X- X	- - - 0.5					
	 End of borehole @ 0.5m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 		_		154	75	2.1	
	TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		F					
			1.0					
	-		-					
			F					
			<u> </u>					
	-		-					
	_		F					
			2.0					
	_		-					
			F					
	-		2.5					
	_		-					
	—		F					
			3.0					
	_		-					
	—		F					
	_		3.5					
	_		-					
	—							
	_		4.0					
	-		-					
	-		F					
			4.5					
	-		-					
	—		F					
	—		- 5.0					
							$\left - \right $	



CLIENT:	Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 4	4 of 72	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LOCATION :	86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF	-							
	Hobsonville		ER: 50r	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/2	2021	HAND AUC	SER	Н5
		Ground	Level:	02 	a)	a)			NO.		110
Topsoil Fill	Clay Sand Peat Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comm Samı Other	ients oles Test	
E Topsoil NATUR light grey Clayey S orange, v End of b No groun TGM =	AL: Clayey SILT with trace fine sand, orange and , very stiff, moist, slightly plastic ILT with minor sand fraction, light grey, pink and ery stiff, moist, slightly plastic orehole @ 0.5m (Target Depth) adwater encountered during drilling or on completion Tauranga Group Materials		- 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - 3.0 - 3.5 - 4.0 - 4.5 - 5.0								



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ.	SHE	ET: 4	5 of 7	2 JOB REF 94185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF	=				
	Hobsonville		ER: 50r	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/2	HAND AUGER
		Ground	_: 286 Level:	02	(F	Ē		
		pu	(m)	er Level	- Peak jth (kPa	mould tth (kPa	sitivity	Comments Samples
	Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Lege	Depth	Wate	Su Streng	Rei Strenç	Ser	Other Test
Till	_ Topsoil intermixed wtih fill	\mathbb{N}	_					
-	NATURAL: Clayey SILT with trace fine sand, light grey,	\times						
	pink and orange, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic	* × · × · >						
N	—	X- * ->	-0.5		102	49	2.1	
DL	Clayey SILT with minor sand fraction, light grey and							
	orange, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic		-					
	-		- 1.0					
	 End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 		-		117	45	2.6	
	TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		_ 1 5					
	-		– 1.5 –					
	-		-					
	—		-2.0					
	-							
	-		-					
	- -		- 2.5					
	-		-					
	-							
	-		-					
			- 3.0					
	-		-					
	-							
	—		- 3.5					
	-							
	-		-					
	- -	.	40					
	-		-					
	-							
	-							
	=		— 4.5					
	-		┝ │					
	-							
	<u> </u>		- 5.0					


CLIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED: JL	SHEET: 46 of 72	JOB REF: 94185
LOCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKED: MF		
Hobsonville	SV DIAL: 1990	DATE: 28/06/2021	No: HAND AUGER
	Ground Level:	a) (a)	
Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend Depth (m)	Su - Peak Strength (kP Remould Strength (kP Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test
Topsoil NATURAL: Silty CLAY, light grey with orange streaks, very stiff, moist, moderately plastic End of borehole @ 0.5m (Target Depth)	× × × – × × × – * × × – * × × – * × • × – • 0.5	140 68 2.0 124 71 1.7	
TGM = Tauranga Group Materials			
	- 1.5 		
	- - 2.5 - - -		
	- 3.0 		
	4.0		
	- - - - - - 5 0		



CLIE	NT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: JL		SHE	ET: 4	7 of 7	2	JOB REF	94	185
LOC	ATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-							
		Hobsonville				ER: 50r	mm on	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AU	GER	H8
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	<u> </u>	a)	a)			110.		
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comr Sam Other	nents ples ⁻ Test	
	Fill Topsoil ENGINE with light very stiff, - Lense of End of bo No ground	ERED FILL: Clay grey streaks, orang moist, slightly plas	rey SILT, yell ge mottles and stic avels get Depth) turing drilling of	Rock		ta 	Wat							
						4.5 5.0								
I							1							



CLIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2		LOGGE	D: JN	1	SHE	ET: 4	8 of 7	2		94	185
LOCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-							
Hobsonville			ER: 50	mm วว	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AU	GER	н9
		Ground	Level:		a)	a)			110.		110
Topsoil Clay Sand Fill Silt Grave	w Peat I Sock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
Topsoil ENGINEERED FILL: Silty CLAY, date or ange and grey mottles, very stiff, mois plastic End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling Image: State of the state of	rk brown with t, moderately		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0								



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: JM	1	SHE	ET: 4	9 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94 ⁻	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF	=							
	Hobsonville		ER: 501	mm 33	DAT	E:	28/07/	2021	HAND AUG No:	έER	11
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground	Level:		a)	a)					
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comm Samp Other	ients bles Test	
l	_ Topsoil	\mathbf{X}	_								
Fil	ENGINEERED FILL: Silty CLAY, mottled browns, very		_								
	stiff, moist, slightly plastic NATURAL: Clayev SILT, brownish orange, very stiff.	× # × # × • - X - *	_		202+						
И	moist, slightly plastic	X X - X X - X -	— 0.5 —								
G	-		-		145	70	2.1				
	- Becoming orange with red streaks, very slightly plastic	_X_X_ X_X_X	_								
	End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth)	<u>-×-</u> ×	1.0		134	38	3.5				
	 No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 		_								
	TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		_								
	—		 1.5								
			_								
			_								
			2.0								
	-		_								
	-		_								
			- 2.5								
			_								
	-		_								
	– _		- - 30								
	-		_ 0.0								
			_								
			- - 2 F								
	-		— 3.5 —								
	-		_								
	-		_								
			4.0								
	-		-								
			_								
			4 .5								
	–		<u> </u>								
	–		- 5.0								
_											



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED	: AH	l	SHE	ET: 5	0 of 7	2		94	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKE	D: MF								
	Hobsonville		R: 50r	nm 328	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AUG	GER	12
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground L	evel:	-	a)	a)					
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
TGM Fill	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Topsoil Silt Sand Rock Fill Silt Sand Rock Forsoil ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, light brown with orange mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic NATURAL: Clayey SILT with minor sand fraction, yellowish brown, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic End of borehole @ 1.2m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials		(E) Hadd	Water Le	38d - no 135 191 115 106 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 100 <	65 91 62 56 56			Sam Other	ples Test	
			- 5.0								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor		LOGGE	D: AH	l -	SHE	ET: 5	1 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185	
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road			ED: MF	- mm						GER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	_: _208	828	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:		13
		SOIL DESCR	RIPTION		Ground	Level:	/el	k Pa)	d Pa)	ty		2		
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	wr Peat ∭ Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Pea Strength (k	Remould Strength (k	Sensitivi		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
GM	_ Topsoil _													
)T	NATUR orange str	AL: Silty CLAY, y reaks, very stiff, mo	ellowish brow	n with grey and y plastic	x			206+						
	End of bo No groun TGM = 7	orehole @ 0.5m (Targ adwater encountered o Fauranga Group Ma	get Depth) during drilling o aterials	r on completion				166	101	1.6				
	 -					1.0 								
	F					_								
						1.5								
	-					_								
	- 					- 2.0								
						_								
	E					25								
	F					_								
	- -					-								
	—					3.0								
						_								
	 -					- 3.5 -								
	- -					-								
						4.0								
	E					E								
						- 4.5								
	- -					_								
	F													
						5.0								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobso	nville 2		LOGGE	D: AF	l -	SHE	ET: 5	2 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road			ED: Mr	- mm						GER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	.: _20	828	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:		14
		SOIL DESC	RIPTION		Ground	Level:	vel	ak kPa)	d (Pa)	ity		Comm	anta	
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Pea Strength (k	Remoul Strength (k	Sensitivi		Sam Other	ples Test	
V	_ Topsoil													
G	_				$\langle \rangle \rangle \rangle$	-								
Ĺ	NATURA streaks, ve	L: Silty CLAY, l ery stiff, moist, mo	ight greyish broderately plasti	own with orange c	× × × × × ×	0.5		101	62	1.6				
	End of bo	orehole @ 0.6m (Tar dwater encountered	get Depth) during drilling o	r on completion		_ _		121	87	1.4				
	- TGM = 7	fauranga Group M	laterials			- 								
	L													
	_					_								
						 1.5								
						_								
	_					_								
						2.0								
	L					_								
	_					_								
						2.5								
	-					_								
						- 3.0								
	_					-								
	<u> </u>					3.5								
						_								
	L					_								
	F					4.0								
	E													
	–					4.5								
	F					F								
	E					F								
	 					- 5.0								



CI	LIENT:	Austino Hobsor	D: AF	1 =	SHE	ET: 5	3 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185			
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		DIAMETER: 50mr							HAND AU	GER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	_: _20	828	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	-	15
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	/el	k Pa)	d Pa)	ty		0		
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Pea Strength (k	Remould Strength (k	Sensitivi		Comr Samı Other	ients bles Test	
L	_ Topsoil				\sum	-								
5					$\overline{)}$	E								
E	- NATURA	AL: Silty CLAY, li d streaks very stift	ght greyish br f moist mode	own with rately plastic	× × × × × × × ×	-		150	90	1.7				
	End of bo	prehole @ 1.0m (Targ	get Depth)	futery plustic		0.5		132	75	1.8				
	No groun	dwater encountered of	during drilling c	or on completion		_								
	TGM = 7	Tauranga Group Ma	aterials											
	—				.	1.0		<u> </u>						
	–					-								
	E					<u> </u>								
	–													
	–					_								
						2.0								
	–					_		<u> </u>						
	—				·	2.5								
	E													
	-					_								
						- 30								
	-					- 0.0								
	E													
	-					_								
						3.5								
	-					-								
	E													
	 					4.0								
	E													
	┝					-		<u> </u>						
	E.					45								
	┝					F								
	F					F								
	┝					-								
						5.0								
								<u> </u>						



СГ	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 5	4 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-							
		Hobsonville				ER: 50r	mm 62	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	HAND AU	JΕR	16
					Ground	Level:	_	a)	a)					
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
V	_ Topsoil				\sum	-								
TGN	NATUR pink and	AL: Clayey SILT worange, very stiff, r	vith trace fine s noist, slightly	sand, light grey, plastic	X- X - X - X - X- * - X- * - X- *	_ _ 05								
	End of be No grour	orehole @ 0.5m (Targ ndwater encountered o	get Depth) during drilling o	r on completion		- - -		132	64	2.0				
	- TGM = '	Tauranga Group Ma	aterials			- 								
	F					_								
	F					_								
	E					— 1.5								
	F					_								
	F					-								
						2.0								
	-					_								
	F					_								
	—					— 2.5 —								
	L					_								
	-					-								
	F					— 3.0 —								
	F					_								
	F					- 35								
	-					- 5.5								
	F					-								
	E_				.	- 								
	-													
	F					_								
	–				.	- 								
	F					_								
	F					_								
	F]			



СГ	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: JL		SHE	ET: 5	5 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF								
		Hobsonville				ER: 501	nm 20	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	JER	17
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	-	a)	a)					
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
V	_ Topsoil				$\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}$	-								
TGN	NATUR and orang	AL: Silty CLAY, yo e streaks, very stiff	ellowish brow c, moist, mode	n with light grey rately plastic	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	_ _ 05		155	71	2.2				
	End of bo	orehole @ 0.5m (Targ dwater encountered d	get Depth) luring drilling o	r on completion		- - -		217+						
	- TGM = 7	Րauranga Group Ma	aterials			- 								
	F					_								
	F					_								
						 1.5								
	-					_								
	F					_								
	–					2.0								
	L					_								
	L					-								
	F					- 2.5								
	F					_								
	E_					- 								
	F					_								
	-					-								
	F					- 								
	F					_								
	F					_								
						4.0								
	F					_								
	F					_								
	—					- 4.5								
	E					_								
	F					-								
						- 5.0								



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D:		SHE	ET: 5	6 of 7	72		941	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF	-					UOD I (EI)	• •	
	Hobsonville	DIAMET	'ER: 50r	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	/2021	HAND AUC	GER	IQ
		Ground	: Level:		â	Â			INO:		10
		pue	(m) ר	er Level	- Peak igth (kPa	emould igth (kPa	nsitivity		Comm Samj	ients bles	
	Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Leg	Deptl	Wat	Su Strer	R6 Strer	Še		Other	lest	
		\mathbf{X}									
	ENGINEERED FILL: Silty CLAY, brownish orange, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic		_		204+						
ΙĮ.			- 0.5		2011						
H	-	Ĭ.	-		204+						
	_		_					1			
	_	Z × ×Z × ×Z×	-								
	End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth)		<u> </u>		204+						
	No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion		_								
	_		_					1			
	—		- 1.5								
	_		_					1			
	-		_					-			
	— —		- 20					1			
	-		- 2.0								
	-							1			
	-										
	—		- 2.5					1			
	_		-								
	_		_								
	—		- 3.0								
	_		_					1			
	_		-								
			- 3.5								
	_		_								
	_		_					-			
			- 4.0								
	_		_								
	_		_								
			4.5								
	_		-		<u> </u>						
	_							1			
	_		- 5.0								
								1			



CI	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	ville 2		LOGGE	D: JM	I	SHE	ET: 5	7 of 7	2		94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF	-					UOD I LEI !	01	
		Hobsonville				ER: 50r	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021		GER	19
					Ground	Level:	<u></u>	a)	a)			INO.		10
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	v⊮ Peat ∭Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comm Sam Other	nents ples Test	
Fill	ENGINE mottled bi slightly pl	ERED FILL: Silty ownish orange and astic rehole @ 1.0m (Targ dwater encountered d	y CLAY, dark l grey, very sti get Depth) luring drilling o	brown with ff, moist, r on completion		0.5 0.5 1.0 1.5 1.5		204+						
						2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0								



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED: AH	4	SHE	ET: 5	8 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94 ⁻	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKED: MI	F							
	Hobsonville	SV DIAL · 20	mm 828	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	ÞEK	J2
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground Level:	<u>_</u>	a)	a)					-
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivity		Comm Samp Other	ents bles Test	
Fill	Fill Gravel FOCK Topsoil ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, light brown with dark brown and orange mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion									



LOCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville DMATE: 28/07/202 MAND AUGER No. J3 SOIL DESCRIPTION Figure State Soil State Peat Gravel 9	CL	IENT:	Austino Hobso	onville 2		LOGGE	D: JM	l -	SHE	ET: 5	9 of 7	2	JOB REF:	941	85
Hobsonville Dirt 28/07/201 Not Concernents SOIL DESCRIPTION Image: Solution of the standard direction o	LOCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville				ED: MF	- mm						~			
SOIL DESCRIPTION Oreand Level: Boy of the Test Semples of the Tes		Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION				SV DIAL	.: 243	33	DAT	E:	28/07/	2021	No:	`.	J3
Topsol Comments Samples Topsol End Band Gravel Peat Prock Band Band Band Prock Description Band Band Band Band Band Band Band Ban			SOIL DESC	RIPTION		Ground	Level:	/el	k Pa)	d Pa)	ty		0		
Topsoil Hole affiled in location where organic material was undereat during carthworks. WEAK FILL: Clayey SILT, orange with light grey and dark brown mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 0.5 113 67 17 106 52 2.0 Weak Fill ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, orange with light grey and dark brown mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 1.0 126 65 1.0 106 52 2.0 Weak Fill ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, orange with light grey and dark brown mottles, very sliff, moist, slightly plastic 1.5 145 72 2.0 145 72 2.0 Clayey SILT, with minor fine sand fraction, grey with orange streaks, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 2.0 134 73 1.8 18 Clayey SILT with minor fine sand fraction, grey with orange streaks, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 2.0 134 73 1.8 18 TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 2.5 134 73 1.8 145 73 1.8 145 73 1.8 3.0 3.0 3.0 144 73 1.8 145 73 1.8 145 73 1.8 4.0 4.0 144 73 1.8 145 73 1.8 145 73 1.8		Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Pea Strength (k	Remould Strength (k	Sensitivit		Comment Samples Other Tes	ts S st	
ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, orange with light grey and dark brown mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 0.5 108 52 108 51 108 52 108 51 108 52 108 51 108 52 108 51 108 52 108 51 108 52 108 52 108 53 108 53 11 105 52 20 Weak Fill 106 52 20 108 55 12 108 55 12 108 55 12 108 55 12 108 55 12 108 55 12 108 55 12 108 56 11 108 56 11 108 56 11 108 12 11 <t< td=""><td></td><td>_ Topsoil</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\mathbb{N}</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Hol</td><td>e drilled in locatio</td><td>on wh</td><td>iere</td></t<>		_ Topsoil				\mathbb{N}	-					Hol	e drilled in locatio	on wh	iere
WEAK FILL: Clayey SILT, orange with light grey and dark brown mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 0.5 113 67 1.2 Becoming stiff 1.0 96 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, orange with light grey and dark brown mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 1.5 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 99 58 1.7 90 58 1.7 90 58 1.7 145 145 72 2.0 145 72 2.0 145 72 2.0 134 73 1.8 145 145 12 10 134 73 1.8 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145						\sum	_		174	87	2.0	duri	ng earthworks.	unde	icut
Becoming stiff 0.5 100		- WEAK F	ILL: Clayey SIL'	T, orange with lig	ht grey and	XXX XXX	-		113	67	17				
End of borehole @ 2.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 1.0 108 55 1.9 Weak Fill 2.0 145 72 2.0 145 72 2.0 100 124 73 1.8 145 72 2.0 101 122 70 2.8 145 72 2.0 114 73 1.8 145 73 1.8 122 70 2.8 145 145 145 122 70 2.8 145 145 145 145 122 70 2.8 145				in, moist, singhtiy	plustic	×-*-> -	— 0.5 —		110	07	1.7	IT			
Image: Second	=	-					-		108	55	1.9				
Becoming surrely and dark brown mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic End of borehole @ 2.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials A.0 A.	Ξ	F .					_		105	52	2.0	We	ak Fill		
ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, orange with light grey and dark brown mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 99 58 1.7 Clayey SILT with minor fine sand fraction, grey with orange streaks, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic 1.5 End of borehole @ 2.0m (Target Depth) 2.0 No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 134 73 1.8 TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 2.5 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0		_ Becomin	ig still				1.0		96	58	1.7				
ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, orange with light grey and dark brown mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 99 38 1.7 145 72 2.0 Clayey SILT with minor fine sand fraction, grey with orange streaks, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic 145 72 2.0 145 72 2.0 End of borehole @ 2.0m (Target Depth) 2.0 134 73 1.8 192 70 2.8 No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 2.5 134 73 1.8 TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 2.5 140 3.0 3.0 140 4.0 140 140		F					_			50	4 7				
grey and dark brown mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 1.5 145 72 2.0 P Clayey SILT with minor fine sand fraction, grey with orange streaks, very slightly plastic 192 70 2.8 End of borehole @ 2.0m (Target Depth) 2.0 134 73 1.8 No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 2.5 144 144 144 TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 2.5 144 144 144 144 144 A 3.0 134 73 1.8 144		ENGINE	ERED FILL: Cla	ayey SILT, orange	e with light	\times	_		99	00	1.7				
Clayey SILT with minor fine sand fraction, grey with orange streaks, very stiff, moist, very slightly plastic 192 70 2.8 End of borehole @ 2.0m (Target Depth) 2.0 134 73 1.8 No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 2.5 144 73 1.8 TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 2.5 144 73 1.8 Additional and the strength of the strengt of the strength of the strength of the strength of t		grey and o	lark brown mottle	s, very stiff, mois	t, slightly	Í××	- 1.5		145	72	2.0				
End of borehole @ 2.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0	М	Clayey SI	LT with minor fir	e sand fraction, g	rey with	X-) ()	_		100	70	0.0				
End of borehole @ 2.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 2.0 134 73 1.8 TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 2.5 4	[G	orange str	eaks, very stiff, m	ioist, very slightly	plastic	\mathbf{x}	_		192	70	2.8				
End of borehole @ 2.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials	L .	_				X-, (;;;]-, X	-2.0		134	73	1.8				
TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 2.5 3.0 3.0 3.5 3.5 4.0 4.0		 End of bo No groun 	orehole @ 2.0m (Tar dwater encountered	rget Depth) during drilling or o	n completion		_		104	10	1.0				
1GM = Tauranga Group Materials 2.5 3.0					r		-								
		- IGM = 1	auranga Group M	laterials			- 								
		E					_								
		F					_								
		L					- 								
		-					- 0.0								
		F					_								
		E					- 25								
		F					— 3.5 —								
		E					_								
		-					-								
		F					- 4.0								
							_								
		–					-								
							— 4.5								
		-					-								
		F					_								
		<u> </u>					- 5.0								
	-	1				<u> </u>								_	
CENTEL THOROUGH ANALYSIS • DEPENDABLE ADVICE				TCL		THOR	OUGH	AN	ALY	SIS	• DE	PEN	IDABLE A	DVI	CE
1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central Phone: 09 261 0169		U				1/55 Dru Phone	ices Roa	ad, M 09.26	anuk 31 010	au Ce 69	entral				
SOLUTIONS Email: geotek@geotek.co.nz			SC		IS	Email:		geote	ek@g	eotek	.co.nz	z			

1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central Phone: Email: Website:

09 261 0169 geotek@geotek.co.nz www.geotek.co.nz

CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2		LOGGE	D: AH	I	SHE	ET: 6	0 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	-	CHECK	ED: MF								
	Hobsonville			· 208	mm 828	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	JER	J4
	SOIL DESCRIPTION		Ground	Level:	0_0	(a)	a)	~		•		
	Topsoil Clay Sand Pe Fill Silt Gravel Ro	eat ock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivit		Comm Samı Other	nents ples Test	
Fill	Topsoil ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, dark brown w orange mottles, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic End of borehole @ 0.6m (Target Depth 1.0m) Unable to penetrate due to hard obstruction No groundwater encountered during drilling or on comple	ith etion		- 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - 3.0 - 3.5 - 4.0 - 4.5 - 5.0								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: AH	ł	SHE	ET: 6	1 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		CHECK	ED: MF							050	
		Hobsonville				· 208	mm 828	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	JER	J5
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:		a)	a)					
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	v⊮ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
Fill	ENGINE reddish pi End of bo No groun	ERED FILL: Silt ink streaks, very sti orehole @ 0.5m (Targ idwater encountered of	y CLAY, dark <u>ff, moist, mode</u> get Depth) luring drilling of	brown with with erately plastic r on completion		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0								



CL	IENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2			D: ST	"L =	SHE	ET: 6	2 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road		DIAMET	ER: 50	mm			20/00/	0004	HAND AU	GER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAI	_:	62	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:		J6
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	svel	ak kPa)	ld kPa)	ìţy		Comn	nents	
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand	w Peat ■ Rock	Legend	Depth (m	Water Le	Su - Pe Strength (Remou Strength (Sensitiv		Sam Other	ples Test	
TGM	Topsoil Fill NATURA orange, ve Silty CLA moist, mo End of be No groun TGM = 1	Clay Silt AL: Clayey SILT were stiff, moist, slig A, light grey with derately plastic rehole @ 1.0m (Targedwater encountered of Fauranga Group Ma	Sand Gravel	sand, brownish , very stiff, r on completion			Water	1- ng use and				Other	Test	



CL	IENT: Austino Ho	bsonville 2	LOGGI	ED: AH	ł	SHE	ET: 6	3 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsor	ville Road	CHECH	KED: MF	MF SHEET: 63 of 72 50mm DATE: 23/09/202* 1335							
	Hobsonvill	e	SV DIA	L: 13	SUMM DATE: 23/09/202' 1335			2021	No:	PER	J7	
	SOIL DES	SCRIPTION	Groun	d Level:		< ⊃a)	a)	>				
	Topsoil Clay	Sand Veat Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivit		Commo Samp Other	ents des Test	
	_ Topsoil		\mathbf{N}	-								
			\sim			207	54	3.8				
	ENGINEERED FILL	: Silty CLAY, light orangish brov	n, x x x	_		155	56	28				
ĬĬĬ			× / ×	0.5		100	50	2.0				
Ĩ	Becoming brownish o	range with grey and brown mottle	s × × ×	_		161	81	2.0				
	-		××× ×××	_		146	84	1.7				
				1.0		154	102	1.5				
	NATUDAL Soft mod	arataly plastic arangey brown si		F		120	54	2.5				
	CLAY: wet	leratery plastic, orangey brown, si				139	54	2.0				
Σ	_		x-x- x-x	1.5		154	90	1.7				
1G	– –		-XX XX-	Į.		177	70	2.5				
	-			}		161	79	2.0				
			××;	2.0		454	70	0.1				
	 End of borehole @ 2.0m No groundwater encount 	(Target Depth) tered during drilling or on completion		E		154	72	2.1				
		····· M-4-····]-		F								
	IGM = Lauranga Gro	up Materiais		25								
	_											
	– –			F								
	-			F								
	-											
	_			E								
	-			F								
	—			3.5								
	-			┝								
	– –			F								
				4.0								
	_			F								
	-			E								
	_			4.5								
	-			È								
	–			┝								
				5.0								
			тноя	ROUGH		ALY	sis	• DE	PEN	DABLE	ADV	ICE
	GEC	JTEK	1/55 Dr		ad M	lanuk	au Ce	- ntral			-	
			Phone:		09 26	51 010	69					
		SOLUTIONS	Email: Website	e:	geote	ek@g v.qec	eotek otek.o	co.nz co.nz	2			

CI	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED: A	Н	SHE	ET: 6	4 of 7	2 JO	B REF:	94185
LC	OCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKED: N	IF						
	Hobsonville	SV DIAL: 1	235 235	DAT	E:	23/09/	2021 HA No	ND AUGE	J8
		Ground Level:		a)	a)		1.10	•	
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Commer Sample Other Te	nts es est
TGM Fill	Topsoil Sit Sand Peat Topsoil Sit Peat Rock Image: Sit Sit Peat Rock Topsoil Image: Sit Peat Rock Image: Sit Sit Sit Peat Image: Sit Sit Sit Sit Image: Sit Sit Sit	Image: state stat	Water Le	39-d - no 245+ 162 245+ 162 245+ 158 245+ 158 245+ 158 245+ 158 245+ 158 245+ 100 207 <t< th=""><th>Income and the second s</th><th></th><th></th><th>Commen Sample Other Te</th><th></th></t<>	Income and the second s			Commen Sample Other Te	
		THOROUGI	 AN	ALY	'SIS	• DE	PENDA	ABLE A	DVICE

GEOIEK SOLUTIONS 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central Phone: Email: Website:

09 261 0169

geotek@geotek.co.nz

www.geotek.co.nz

С	LIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: JM	1	SHE	ET: 6	5 of 7	² JOB REF: 94185
LC	DCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road		ED: MF	- mm				HAND AUGER
	Hobsonville	SV DIAL	.: 24	33	DAT	E:	23/09/	²⁰²¹ No: J9
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground	I Level:	svel	ak kPa)	ld kPa)	,ity	Comments
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Jepth (m	Water Le	Strength (Remou Strength (Sensitiv	Samples Other Test
	Topsoil	\mathbf{X}						
	ENGINEERED FILL: Silty CLAY, orange with				202+			
	brownish orange and grey streaks, very stiff, moist,	z / × / × /	_		202+			
		× / × ×	<u> </u>		202+			
	-	X XX X XX	-		174	81	2.1	
Fill	F	Źżź			157	87	1.8	
	—		1.0		202+			
	-		-		202+			
	- Frequent gravel inclusions, dark brown mottles				000			
	—	Ĭ,	— 1.5		202+			
V	NATURAL: Silty CLAY light grey with orange mottles	××××	_		202+			
Ð	and black specks, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic	××××			180	55	3.3	
	End of borehole $@$ 2 0m (Target Depth)	zîzîzî	2.0		174	79	2.2	
	No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion		_					
	TGM = Tauranga Group Materials							
	<u>–</u>		2.5					
	F		F					
	–		- 3.0					
	F		F					
	E							
	–		- 3.5					
	F							
	E							
	-		4.0					
	F		_					
	E		E					
	⊢		4.5					
	F							
	E		E					
	⊢		5.0					
		THOR	OUGH	AN	ALY	'SIS	• DE	PENDABLE ADVICE

09 261 0169

geotek@geotek.co.nz

www.geotek.co.nz

GEOTEK SOLUTIONS 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central Phone: Email: Website:

CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGED: JM		SHE	ET: 6	6 of 72	2 JOB REF: 94185
LC	CATION : 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECKED: MF					
	Hobsonville	SV DIAL: 243	3	DAT	E:	27/07/2	No: K2
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground Level:	vel	ak <pa)< th=""><th>d (Pa)</th><th>ity</th><th>Comments</th></pa)<>	d (Pa)	ity	Comments
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend Depth (m)	Water Le	Su - Pe Strength (I	Remou Strength (I	Sensitiv	Samples Other Test
Fill	ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, yellowish brown with orange, grey and dark brown streaks, very stiff, moist slightly plastic, occasional fine gravels			160 131 202+	73 58	2.2	
	End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion						
		4.0					



CLIENT:	Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 6	7 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LOCATION :	86 Hobsonville Road		ED: MF	- mm						GER	
	Hobsonville	SV DIAL	<u>.: 286</u>	62	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:	OEIX	K3
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground	Level:	vel	ak <pa)< td=""><td>d (Pa)</td><td>ity</td><td></td><td>Comp</td><td>aanta</td><td></td></pa)<>	d (Pa)	ity		Comp	aanta	
Topsoil Fill	Clay	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Le	Su - Pe Strength (I	Remoul Strength (I	Sensitiv		Sam Other	ples Test	
L = Topsoil ENGINE fraction, g moist, ven Clayey SI slightly p NATUR4 light brow a Hend of be No groun a Hend of be Hend of be He	ERED FILL: Clayey SILT with minor sand rev and brown with black mottles, very stiff, y slightly plastic LT, light grey and orange, very stiff, moist, lastic AL: Clayey SILT with trace fine sand, orange and with orange, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic orehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) dwater encountered during drilling or on completion		- 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 2.0 - 2.5 - 3.0 - 3.5 - 4.0 - 4.5 - 5.0								



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGE	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 6	8 of 7	2 JOB REF 94185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF	-				
	Hobsonville		ER: 50r	mm	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021 HAND AUGER
		Ground	Level:	52	(e	(E		
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test
=	_ Topsoil	\mathbf{X}	_					
ΪĒ	ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT with occasional fine	X	_					
	gravels, brown and orange, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic NATURAL : Clayev SILT with trace fine sand pinkish		-					
_	orange, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic	X-	— 0.5 —		150	49	3.0	
<u>5</u>	-	×-×-*	-					
	-	XXX XXX						
		<u> </u>	- 1.0		132	61	21	
	 End of borehole (a) 1.0m (Target Depth) No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 				102	01	2.1	
	- TOM - Terrerer Consum Materials		-					
	IGM = Tauranga Group Materials		- 					
	-		-					
	-							
	-		-					
			2.0					
	-		_					
	-		_					
	-		-2.5					
	-		_					
	-		_					
			- 30					
	-		- 5.0					
	-		_					
	-		_					
			3 .5					
	-		_					
			_					
	–		-4.0					
	-							
	-		_					
			- 45					
	-		_					
	-		_					
	—		- 5.0					



CL	IENT: Austino Hobsonville 2	LOGGEI	D: ST	Ľ	SHE	ET: 6	9 of 7	² JOB REF: 94185
LC	CATION: 86 Hobsonville Road	CHECK	ED: MF	-				
	Hobsonville	SV DIAI	ER: 50r · 286	nm 32	DAT	E:	28/06/2	No: K5
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground	Level:		a)	a)		1
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test
Fill	Hardfill/Gravels 		-					
TGM	NATURAL: Clayey SILT with minor fine sand fraction, light orange and pink, very stiff, moist, slightly plastic		- - - - - -		120	66	1.8	
	 End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Depth) 		- 		162	64	2.5	
	 No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion TGM = Tauranga Group Materials 		- - -					
			- 1.5 -					
	-		-					
			-2.0 -					
			- - - 0 5					
	- -		_ 2.5 _ _					
	- - -		- - -					
	-		- -					
	- - -		- - 					
			-					
			- 					
			-					
	⊢ ━		- 					
			- - -					
			- 					



LOCATION : 86 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville Detection of the second sy Date: 20002021 HAND AUGER No: KG SOIL DESCRIPTION Frail To be second Sub the seco	CI	LIENT:	Austino Hobso	nville 2		LOGGE	D: JM	l -	SHE	ET: 7	0 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
Hobsonville DATE 2006221 Not indext K6 SOL DESCRIPTION Image: Solution of the second sec	LC	OCATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road			ED: MF	- mm						GER	
SOL DESCRIPTION Ground Level Big of the second level Comments Samples Other Test Image: Descend level Image: Descend level <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Hobsonville</td><td></td><td></td><td>SV DIAL</td><td>.: 24</td><td>33</td><td>DAT</td><td>E:</td><td>28/06/</td><td>2021</td><td>No:</td><td></td><td>K6</td></td<>			Hobsonville			SV DIAL	.: 24	33	DAT	E:	28/06/	2021	No:		K6
Topsol Clay Sand Peet			SOIL DESC	RIPTION		Ground	Level:	vel	ik (Pa)	d (Pa)	ty		0		
WEAK FILL: Clayey SILT, which occasional fine gravels. 102 20 ENGINEERED FILL: Clayey SILT, brownish orange. 100 60 26 very stiff, moist, slightly plastic 100 60 18 End of borehole @ 1.0m (Target Pepth) 1.0 118 60 20 No groundwater encountered during drilling or on completion 1.5 140 140 140 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.5 4.0 4.5 <		Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Pea Strength (k	Remoul Strength (k	Sensitivi		Comn Sam Other	ples Test	
		Fill Fill ENGINE Very stiff, End of bo No groun	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	Peat Rock			Water Le		50 69 66 60 60			Sam Other	ples Test	
							 5.0								



CI	LIENT:	Austino Hobsor	nville 2		LOGGE	D: JM	l	SHE	ET: 7	'1 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LC	OCATION :	86 Hobsonville	Road			ED: MF	- mm							
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	.: 243	33	DAT	E:	23/09/	2021	No:		P1
		SOIL DESCR			Ground	Level:	vel	ak KPa)	d (Pa)	ty		0	1 .	
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	v⊮ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Le	Su - Pea Strength (I	Remoul Strength (k	Sensitiv		Samp Other	oles Test	
Fill	WEAK F mottles, v	ILL: Clayey SILT ery stiff, moist, slig	', orange with g ghtly plastic	rey and brown		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		116 107 116 119	52 35 58 55	2.2 3.1 2.0				
I						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		110 110 119 128 105	47 49 61 52	2.4 2.4 2.1 2.0				
	End of bored	hole @ 2.0m (Target /ater encountered dur	Depth) ring drilling or or	n completion		-2.5 -2.5 -3.0 -3.5 -4.0 -4.5 -4.5 -5.0								
					THOR	OUGH		ALY	sis	• DE	PEN	IDABLE	ADV	/ICE



000

CI	LIENT:	Austino Hobso	nville 2		LOGGE	D: AH	ł	SHE	ET: 7	'2 of 7	2	JOB REF:	94	185
LOCATION: 86 Hobsonville Road			CHECKED: MF											
		Hobsonville				ER: 501	mm 35	DAT	E:	23/09/	2021	HAND AUG	JER	P2
		SOIL DESCE			Ground	Level:	_	a)	a)					
	Topsoil Fill	Clay	Sand Gravel	wr Peat Sock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comn Sam Other	nents ples Test	
Fill	WEAK F grey and o plastic - Becomin	FILL: Clayey SILT dark brown mottles ng orange with grey	r, orangish brov s, very stiff, mo y and brown m	wn with orange, bist, slightly ottles		- 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.5 - 1.5 - 2.0		123 133 141 125 102 112 105 123	49 40 40 49 46 39 46 46 44 53 39	2.5 3.3 2.9 2.7 2.6 2.5 2.5 2.4 2.3				
	End of bore No groundv	hole @ 2.0m (Target vater encountered du	Depth) ring drilling or o	n completion		2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0								
					THOR	OUGH		ALY	sis	• DE	PEN	IDABLE	ADV	/ICE

GEOTEK SOLUTIONS



GEOTECHNICAL SITE INVESTIGATION

FOR

THE PROPOSED 10-LOT LIGHT INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION

AT

86 & 88 HOBSONVILLE ROAD,

HOBSONVILLE

FOR

AUSTINO HOBSONVILLE 2 LIMITED

GEOTECHNICAL REPORT FOR PROPOSED LIGHT INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION

Ref No. 7273

27 May 2019

THOROUGH ANALYSIS • DEPENDABLE ADVICE

DOCUMENT RECORD

CLIENT	Austino Hobsonville 2 Limited
PROJECT	Proposed Light Industrial Subdivision at 86 – 88 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville
PROJECT NO.	7273
DOCUMENT	Geotechnical Investigation Report
ISSUE AND REVISION RECORD	
Status/Revision No.	Resource Consent - FINAL
Date of Issue	27 May 2019

Authored by

Eugene Crestanello, BSc. Geol., MEngNZ Senior Engineering Geologist

.....

1. Introduction

We can confirm that, Geotek Solutions Limited (formerly Geotek Services Limited), previously undertook investigation of the subject site and prepared a Geotechnical Investigation Report dated 15 December 2014 (reference 5123) which comprised the drilling of 21 (no.) Hand Augered Boreholes for the then proposed Motel Development for a previous landowner. We now understand that the current landowner proposes to develop the site using bulk cut and fill earthworks to create 10 (no.) lots for future development of light industrial buildings.

Following the 2014 Goetechnical Investigation Report, we can confirm that we have undertaken further geotechnical investigation within the subject site comprising:

- 5 (no.) Hand Augered Boreholes
- 5 (no.) Cone Penetrometer Tests

We have been provided with Earthworks Development drawings by HG comprising:

- Proposed Earthworks Contours Stage 1 dated 10 May 2019 drawing no. 144443-01-SK#029
- Proposed Earthworks Cut/Fill Plan Stage 1 dated 10 May 2019 drawing no. 144443-01-SK#031

It is our understanding of the overall earthworks proposal that the objective is to create two very gently sloping terrace platforms by cutting down the central high-point of the site by up to 5.5 metres depth and then placing the resulting cut spoil as engineered filling to raise the low-lying north-western corner of the property with up to 5.0 metres depth of engineered as well as bulk filling of broad depression situated beyond the southern limit of the Stage 1 area as well as localized filling in the north-east corner. In addition, a new public road is proposed to be constructed along the southern and western boundaries of Stage 1.

Any variations from the development proposals forming the basis of this investigation should be referred back to us for further evaluation.

2. Limitations

We anticipate that this report is to be submitted to Council in support of a Resource Consent application for land development & earthworks approval and should <u>not</u> be used to support any Building Consent application/s. Following the successful completion of land development & earthworks, a Geotechnical Completion Report confirming

Except to the extent that Council may rely on it in order to issue an associated Consent, this report has been commissioned solely for the benefit of our clients, **Austino Hobsonville 2 Limited**, specifically in relation to the project as described herein, and to the limits of our engagement. Any variations from the development proposals as described herein as forming the basis of our appraisal should be referred back to us for further evaluation. Copyright of Intellectual Property remains with Geotek Solutions Limited, and this report may NOT be used by any other entity, or for any other proposals, without our written consent. Therefore, no liability is accepted by this firm or any of its directors, servants or agents, in respect of any other geotechnical aspects of this site, nor for its use by any other person or entity, and any other person or entity who relies upon any information contained herein does so entirely at their own risk, with the exception that the local Territorial Authority may rely on it to the extent of its appropriateness, conditions and limitations, when issuing the subject consent. Where other parties may wish to rely on it, whether for the same or different proposals, this permission may be extended, subject to our satisfactory review of their interpretation of the report.

Although this report may be submitted to a local authority in connection with an application for a consent, permission, approval, or pursuant to any other requirement of law, this disclaimer shall still apply and require all other parties to use due diligence where necessary, and does not remove the necessity for the normal inspection of site conditions and the design of foundations as would be made under all normal circumstances.

3. Investigation Methodology

This investigation was undertaken generally in accordance with the standards set out in section 2 "Earthworks & Geotechnical Requirements" of NZS4404:2010 "Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure" as well as section 2 "Earthworks and Geotechnical Requirements" of the Auckland Council Code of Practice for Land Development & Subdivision (Version 1.6 dated 24 September 2013).

4. Geology

Reference to the Institute of Geological & Nuclear Sciences, Geological Map 2 of the Auckland Urban Area, 1:50,000, sheet R11, indicates that the subject site is typical of a significant part of the low lying Auckland Metropolitan area, in that it is superficially underlain by geologically recent "drift" sediments of the Tauranga Group sedimentary lithology (Pliocene to Holocene Epoch) as well as by ancient "solid" Marine Sediments of the East Coast Bays Formation (also known as Waitemata Formation), which are a part of the Waitemata Group sedimentary lithology (Early Miocene Epoch between 16 to 24 million years ago).

According to S.W. Edbrooke, compiler of the "Geology of the Auckland Area" (2001), a companion book to the IG&NS Geological Map 3, tectonic induced subsidence in the Northland and Auckland regions during the Early Miocene Epoch, led to the development of the Waitemata Basin and subsequent deposition of the Waitemata Group.

The depositional process has been described as a sequence of turbidities and interturbidities, which have resulted in variable thicknesses of alternating beds of muddy sandstone and mudstone with varying volcanic content and interbedded volcanoclastic grit beds.

The normally dark-grey bedrock has weathered in most locations, to produce soft to very stiff, residual soils consisting of clays, silts and sands, being predominantly orange brown in colour near the ground surface. Usually associated with an increase in weathering is a decrease in strength, which can be highly variable to depths of up to 10 metres below the ground surface.

Structural defects, thought to be caused by folding and faulting, are known to be widespread in the East Coast Bays Formation, and have been attributed to soft sediment disruption, in part caused by compaction consolidation during deposition and later tectonism. Bedding parallel shear planes are known to occur as a result of folding and flexural slip. Where bedding orientation dips out of a slope or cliff, mass movement failures even at relatively shallow angles can occur. It is not unusual to find bands of hard dark-brown limonite interspersed within both the weathered and unweathered materials.

According to Edbrooke, tectonic uplift, mainly westward tilting, during late Miocene times, produced a significant change in the sedimentary depositional environment of the Auckland region with deep erosion and mainly terrestrial, rather than marine deposition. Fluctuating sea levels brought on by the Ice Ages, brought many changes to the ephemeral islands and channels of the Auckland region and eventually flooded the large valleys to form the Waitemata and Manukau harbours.

Tauranga Group sediments are heterogeneous, including gravels, sands, silts, muds and peats of fluvial, lacustrine and distal ignimbritic origin (both airborne and waterborne pumiceous materials). As a result, these deposits can often contain interbedded layers and/or lenses of muddy peats within the predominantly silty and clayey alluvium.

The most recent deposits (geologically speaking) underlying the site, are the Holocene Epoch deposits of undifferentiated alluvium, consisting of unconsolidated soft muds, sands, gravels and thin peaty lenses, which are commonly encountered above the older more consolidated and generally pumiceous materials.

5. General Site Description

The subject site is currently identified as Numbers 86 & 88 which are both situated on the western side of Hobsonville Road, in the Auckland suburb of Hobsonville. Topographically speaking, the land comprises a central high-point or crown on top of which an existing weatherboard homestead is situated with predominantly gentle slopes falling away from this high-point typically towards the south-west and west and away from Hobsonville Road itself situated along the eastern boundary.

The gradients become more moderately sloping as the land falls into a broad gully situated beyond the western boundary. The site gradients range from around 1V:20H across the majority of the eastern two-thirds of the site before steeper gully slopes around 1V:6H to as steep as 1V:2.5H become dominant in the western-third of the property particularly in the north-western corner as well as the gully slopes situated beyond and below the proposed public road. Known services include a stormwater line which runs from the roadside boundary, through the middle of the gully head before discharging to the existing stream. The southern portion of the property is covered in pasture, whilst with dense vegetation and trees line the steeper gully flanks.

There are numerous buildings and structures scattered across number 88 which can be seen in the aerial photos below along with evidence of historic land disturbance activities.

Whilst we observed evidence of soil creep effecting the steeper localised gully slopes, there was no obvious evidence of historic nor recent slope instability

5.1 Number 88 Hobsonville Road



Figure 1: Aerial photograph showing Number 88 Hobsonville Road which is separated into two land parcels by a central gully Please note, the eastern land parcel of number 88 is subject to this report whilst the western land parcel will be subject to a future geotechnical report. Existing site contours in orange at 0.5m intervals and existing aerial photograph ca. 2017, from the Auckland Council GIS database (ALGGI).

Number 88 comprises two land parcels with the eastern parcel being the subject of this report. The land is comprised of predominantly gently to moderately sloping topography with the eastern two thirds falling from the road at gradients up to 1V:8H, westwards to the gully stream which separates the remaining third of the property on the western side of the stream and generally falls to the north-east, at gradients up to 1V:5H. Steeper gradients of around 1V:2.5H are present around the southern end of the gully. A pronounced, gently sloping crown is located in the eastern third of the property, which is occupied by a weatherboard house surrounded by gardens, trees, hedges and lawns.

The homestead is accessed via an approximately 80 metre long gravel driveway that leads to a turning circle at its eastern side with a garage and carport situated to the south-east. The driveway then runs along the southern side of the house to a gravel yard and a series of buildings and sheds, which are serviced by another metal access way that runs along the southern boundary. The buildings include a large packing shed and twin glass houses at the southern end of the yard, with a garage between the packing shed and house. A concrete toilet block is situated to the west of the packing shed. Another small timber building lies to the east of this

cluster along the southern side of the access way. The yard was used in the past to store and renovate relocatable houses with several of these houses, as well as shipping containers situated in this area. To the west, the property has been used for a motor-bike course with a large track and mounds of soil still in place. To the south of the track is a large gravel hardstand that has been cut into the eastern slope, resulting an approximately 3 metre high batter slope along the eastern end, and what appears to be filled portion of the platform extending out towards the west above the steep gully slopes. From our walkover, it appears that some of the platform is covered with bricks and other concrete construction debris, which may have been used to level the area. A driveway leads over the stream to the northwest of this platform to access the western portion of the property, which is currently in pasture. The crossing itself appears to be supported along its northern edge by stacked plastic bags filled with soil, which we understand may have been left over from the rose growing operations. It appears that no large culvert has been installed for the crossing, with several small pipes, some plastic, some concrete, present at stream invert level. The area was very overgrown but it appears the southern side of the crossing has silted up creating a wide flat swampy area, while the northern side appears to be relatively natural stream channel. We noticed scrap metal and other construction materials littered through the vegetated gully banks.

8

5.2 Number 86 Hobsonville Road



Figure 2: Aerial photograph showing Number 86 Hobsonville Road which is separated into two land parcels by a central parcel of land identified as number 84. Please note, the northern land parcel of number 86 is subject to this report whilst the southern land parcel will be subject to a future geotechnical report. Existing site contours in orange at 0.5m intervals and existing aerial photograph ca. 2017, from the Auckland Council GIS database (ALGGI).

Number 86 comprises two land parcels with the northern parcel being the subject of this report. The landform comprises a broad, gently sloping gully head that falls towards the west, to a watercourse that runs in a north-westerly direction, that exits the property via its north-western corner. The sites gradient ranges from around 1V:20H across most of the site with steeper gully slopes around 1V:6H to as steep as 1V:2.5H at the north-western corner. Known services include a stormwater line which runs from the roadside through the centre of the property via four manholes before it discharges into the stream. The property is covered in pasture, with dense vegetation and trees in the gully. There is no formalised road entrance.


Figure 3: Aerial photo showing the proposed 10 Lots with the existing site contours and existing aerial photograph ca. 2017, from the Auckland Council GIS database (ALGGI).

6. Aerial Photograph Review

In an effort to identify any significant land disturbance as well as global slope movement, we have reviewed both recent and historical aerial photographs from Council's GIS database.

6.1 1959 Aerial Photograph



Figure 4: 1959 Aerial photo showing the properties and surrounding area covered in pasture with trees along the gully. The main house and existing driveway are present on Number 88, with the driveway also accessing the western neighbour at number 82 Hobsonville Road (Source: Council GIS).

6.2 1996 Aerial Photograph



Figure 5: 1996 Aerial photo showing the properties covered in market gardens, with the area to the east of the road having undergone significant residential development. The sheds and small house are present to the west of the main house, with trees and lawn to the east. (Source: Council GIS).

6.3 2008 Aerial Photograph



Figure 6: 2008 Aerial photo shows the subject properties still covered in market gardens, with a small garage to the east of the sheds and three glasshouses to the south. (Source: Council GIS).

6.4 2012 Aerial Photograph



Figure 1: 2010 Aerial photo showing the properties close to their present day form. The majority number 86 site is covered in pasture. The relocatable houses and containers are evident to the north of the sheds, with the glasshouses now removed. A motocross track is evident to the north-west of the sheds, with the remains of the market garden operation to the west of the small house. (Source: Council GIS).

6.5 2017 Aerial Photograph



Figure 8: 2017 Aerial photo showing the properties in their present day form albeit with overgrowth of grass and weeds. (Source: Council GIS).

7. Subdivision Development Proposals

The proposed development will entail the creation of Lots 1 through 10 (inclusive) being new titles. All proposed Lots will be accessed directly either from Hobsonville Road or the proposed Public Road which is proposed to be constructed along the southern and western boundaries.

The overall earthworks proposal is to create two very gently sloping terrace platforms graded at 1V:40H. The upper terrace comprises Lots 1 thru 5 and the lower terrace comprising Lots 6 thru 10. The two terraces are to be separated by a 1V:3H batter which we understand will be no higher than 2.0 metres vertical height. The lower terrace will also be separated from the Public Road by a similar 1V:3H batter which we understand will be no higher than 2.0 metres.

The earthworks bulk earthworks will comprise cutting down the central crown/high-point of the site by up to 5.5 metres depth and then placing the resulting cut spoil as engineered filling to raise the low-lying north-western and to the southern areas of the site with up to 5.0 metres depth of engineered as well as localized filling in the north-east corner.

In addition, the new public road is proposed along the western gully slope and will require filling of up to 4.0 metres which we are told will be supported by retaining walls, possibly rock-filled gabion baskets, which will be subject to a separate geotechnical assessment and subsequent specific design as part of Engineering Plan Approval (EPA).

We have appended the Earthworks plans supplied by HG as referenced in Section 1 above.

We have not been provided with any stormwater or sewer pipe plans.

As a result, the principal objectives of our work were to investigate the subsoils and to determine the following:

- The occurrence and depth of topsoil.
- The occurrence and depth of pre-existing fill which is likely to be non-engineered
- The nature, depth and extent of any unsuitable (soft and/or compressible) materials that may be found.
- The nature and bearing characteristics of the naturally occurring materials, and the depth of materials suitable for filling.
- The long-term stability of the site including any existing slopes as well as proposed cut and/or fill batters.
- The characteristics of cut spoil to be re-used as engineered fill.
- The occurrence of groundwater.
- The likely stability of deep excavations (e.g. drainage trenches).

8. Investigation Fieldwork

The original fieldwork investigation was undertaken by Geotek Services Limited during October 2013 and comprise the drilling of 21 (no.) hand auger boreholes.

During March 2019, Geotek Solutions Limited undertook the drilling of 5 (no.) hand augered boreholes,

In addition, 5 (no.) Cone Penetrometer Tests (CPT) were performed by a geotechnical investigation subcontractor.

The approximate locations of the investigation holes are indicated on the appended site plan. Approximate ground levels at each of the investigation hole locations were estimated from survey contour data supplied to us by HG.

8.1 Hand Auger Boreholes (HA)

The drilling of 50mm diameter hand augured boreholes to depths of up to 5.0 metres.

As each excavation progressed, careful inspections were made of the materials observed, and soil shear strength and remould tests were performed insitu, at selected depths, using a hand-held shear vane and reported as direct dial readings corrected only to an "office" Pilcon shear vane. The materials identified are described in detail on the appended records, together with the groundwater conditions encountered during our time on site.

All samples recovered were carefully logged by a geologist, with detailed descriptions and depths of strata encountered, as presented in the appended borehole records.

8.2 Cone Penetrometer Testing (CPT)

A total of 5 (no.) CPT soundings were undertaken to depths of up to 15.4 metres by Prodrill, using a 3 tonne rig able to produce 20kN of force, generally in accordance with the ASTM Standard D 5778-07. We have been supplied with the raw data as well as graphical print-outs of the raw or uncorrected Cone Resistance (qc) and the raw Skin Friction (fs) as well as the Friction Ratio (Rf).

Furthermore, because of its continuous record of inferred strength profile, the CPT is particularly useful in detecting layers or lenses of weakness. However, it must be recognised that the CPT is a "blind" test that does not allow confirmation of material types, and therefore relies on interpretation of the test results.

In our experience, the industry standard CPT correlations for undrained shear strength are not specific to Tauranga Group alluvial deposits, in particular, fine-grained silts with very low cohesion. Our experience is that for stiff to very stiff clayey deposits, the inferred undrained shear strength values (Su) values generally compare well with measured values in similar materials provided by the Pilcon shear vane. However, for very silty sensitive materials of low clay content such as silts and fine-grained sands transitioning to less weathered

material, particularly when wet to saturated, the inferred Su values based on standardised CPT results are often very much lower than readings provided by the Pilcon shear vane. This, we believe, is due to pore water pressure effects generated by the rapid and continuous nature of the testing.

We have therefore applied our own empirical correlation factor to the full depth of Corrected Cone Resistance (qt) and presented a plot of these figures as an "Inferred Peak Undrained Shear Strength" (Su) in kPa on the appended graphs along with the raw measurements of Skin Friction. We have also plotted the equivalent SPT N60 values for each test location.

We have carefully reviewed this data and, using our experience as well as comparative analysis with adjacent hand augers we have made a determination of the likely horizons of soil, based on similarities in the layering of the materials when compared between the various investigation locations.

We discuss the attributes and traits of the various horizons later in this report.

8.3 Cross-Section

In addition, cross-sections were generated by HG, showing profiles of both the existing and proposed topographical model. We have chosen what we consider to be a representative finished profile running from west to east which we identify as Section A-A'

9. Summary of Investigation Findings

Below is a summary of our subsoil findings from the boreholes drilled across the site with a summary table included towards the end of this section. Please refer to the appended borehole records for greater detail.

9.1 Topsoil

Surficial topsoil was encountered across the majority of the site ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 metres depth. In several boreholes (HA1, HA8, HA9 and HA11) we encountered a transition zone of topsoil intermixed with the underlying natural ground, which we have conservatively logged as being all topsoil. In some locations (HA12, HA16, HA17, HA18 & HA19) we encountered no topsoil but instead clayey silt soil from the ground surface.

9.2 Man-Made Filled Ground

We encountered both shallow and deep deposits of man-made filling.

We encountered deep deposits of filled ground ranging between 1.0 to 2.4 metres depth (HA14, HA17 & HA18) all positioned along the western margins of the proposed earthworks area, on land which becomes moderately sloping.

In HA14, positioned in the head of the southern gully, we encountered firm, gravelly silty CLAY to a depth of 1.0 metres. It is likely that this fill was placed when the gully was filled. HA17 was positioned at the crest of the

gravel hardstand that appears to have been pushed out over the steep gully slopes, and encountered what appeared to be highly variable fill to a depth of 2.4 metres. The fill was found to comprise loose topsoil intermixed with gravel and wood fragments and some clayey layers, with topsoil stained, silty CLAY with brick fragments encountered towards the base of the fill. From our walkover and review of aerial photos, we consider that this hardstand area may be underlain with significant deposits of "uncontrolled" fill. In HA18, which was drilled in the area of the motocross course we encountered firm to stiff, silty CLAYS and clayey SILTS ranging in depth from 0.3 to 1.3 metres depth, with a piece of plastic bag encountered near the base of HA18. We consider that the fill was placed to form the motocross course and is likely to have originated from nearby cut areas.

Elsewhere, seemingly shallow, superficial deposits of man-made filling were encountered in six locations, which were typically no deeper than 0.6 metres. The fill was found to comprise firm to stiff silty CLAYS and clayey SILTS with gravel and some topsoil inclusions, which we largely consider as non-engineered material.

In summary, we consider the pre-existing fill deposits across the site have been placed without engineering supervision and must be considered as non-engineered material, and unreliable to support future foundations either directly or indirectly.

9.3 Natural Ground

The underlying natural deposits predominantly comprised alluvially deposited soils ranging in strength from firm to very stiff, and from slightly to highly plastic, silty CLAYS and clayey SILTS, consistent with our expectations of Tauranga Group Materials (TGM).

We typically found the soils to be stiff to very stiff in the upper two metres of the soil profile forming a surface "crust" with strengths typically decreasing and plasticity increasing from around 2 to 3 metres depth as the materials became wetter with depth. Apart from HA21, which was drilled in a gully invert, we did not encounter soils with an undrained shear strength of less than 49 kPa (direct dial reading) although we did identify marginally firm material in the following locations (direct dial undrained shear strengths of <60 kPa):

- HA1 around 2.8 metres depth (Proposed filling 0.8m depth)
- HA2 around 3.4 metres depth (Proposed filling 1.1m depth)
- HA4 below 3.8 metres depth (Proposed cut 0.4m depth)
- HA10 around 3.4 metres depth (Proposed cut 0.1m depth)
- HA14 between 1.2 to 2.0 metres depth (gully invert)
- HA18 around 3.8 metres depth
- HA21 between 0.7 to 2.2 metres depth (gully invert)

In 7 (no.) hand auger locations we appear to have penetrated through the alluvial deposits and into weathered Waitemata Group Materials (WGM) which were typically stiff to very stiff, and slightly to moderately plastic

sandy clayey SILTS from depths as shallow as 2.2 metres in HA14 and HA21, which were drilled in the gully inverts, but typically between 3.4 to 4.7 metres depth in the remaining 5 hand augers.

Hand Auger # (Depth Drilled)	Existing RL (m)	Proposed RL (m)	Proposed Cut/Fill (m)	Topsoil Depth (m)	Existing Non- Engineered Fill (m)	Natural Stratigraphy TGM?/ WGM?	Cu Range In Natural Ground (kPa)	Average Cu (kPa)	Groundwater Encountered (m) March 2013	Standing Groundwater Measured (m) March 2013
AH1 (5.0m)	48.5	49.4	Fill 0.9m	0.5	-	TGM	82 – 110	97	4.2	4.4
AH2 (5.0m)	51.8	47.8	Cut 4.0m	0.1	-	TGM	110+	110+	None	None
AH3 (5.0m)	45.5	44.4	Cut 1.1m	0.4	-	TGM	72 – 110+	97	None	None
AH4 (5.0m)	50.0	49.0	Cut 1.0m	0.1	-	TGM	76 – 110+	104	4.1	4.0
AH5 (5.0m)	46.0	46.0	Nil Cut/Fill	0.1	-	TGM	86 – 110+	101	5.0	5.0

9.4 Stratigraphic Summary Table (2019)

Table 1: Stratigraphic Summary of 2019 Hand Auger Boreholes

Please note that, standpipes were installed in these hand auger boreholes to allow ongoing groundwater level measurement which is discussed in Section 10. below.

9.5 Stratigraphic Summary Table (2013)

Hand Auger # (Depth Drilled)	Existing RL (m)	Proposed RL (m)	Proposed Cut/Fill (m)	Topsoil Depth (m)	Existing Non- Engineered Fill (m)	Natural Stratigraphy TGM?/WGM?	Cu Range In Natural Ground (kPa)	Average Cu (kPa)	Groundwater Encountered (m) October 2013	Standing Groundwater Measured (m) October 2013
HA1 (5.0m)	48.8	49.6	Fill 0.8m	0.3	0.3 - 0.6	TGM	56 – 104	82	2.8	1.0
HA2 (5.0m)	48.5	49.6	Fill 1.1m	0.3	-	TGM	49 – 138+	83	Not Encountered	1.0
HA3 (3.0m)	50.2	49.7	Cut 0.5m	0.4	-	TGM	87 – 138+	102	2.2	1.2
HA4 (5.0m)	50.2	49.8	Cut 0.4m	0.2	0.2 - 0.4	TGM	51 – 134	76	Not Encountered	1.5
HA5 (3.0m)	48.9	49.5	Fill 0.6m	0.3	-	TGM	83 – 138+	113	Not Encountered	2.5
HA6 (3.0m)	48.5	48.7	Fill 0.2m	0.2	0.2 - 0.5	TGM	84 – 125	103	2.6	1.1
HA7 (3.0m)	49.5	48.8	Cut 0.7m	0.2	-	TGM	89 – 138+	122	Not Encountered	0.9
HA8 (3.0m)	50.9	48.7	Cut 2.2m	0.4	-	TGM	106 – 138+	124	Not Encountered	1.5
HA9 (3.0m)	49.8	48.4	Cut 1.4m	0.5	-	TGM	84 – 125	106	Not Encountered	1.7
HA10 (5.0m)	48.3	48.2	Cut 0.1m	0.4	-	TGM	55 – 138+	95	Not Encountered	1.3
HA11 (3.0m)	49.5	48.3	Cut 1.2m	0.4	-	TGM	84 – 138+	102	2.9	0.9
HA12 (3.0m)	51.6	46.1	Cut 5.5m	-	0 - 0.5	TGM	94 – 115	103	Not Encountered	1.6
HA13 (4.0m)	48.0	47.3	Cut 0.7m	0.3	-	TGM, WGM from 3.4m	62 – 138+	104	Not Encountered	2.2
HA14 (3.0m)	43.8	48.1	Fill 4.3m	0.3	0.3 - 1.0	TGM, WGM from 2.2m	50 – 138+	94	1.6	0.9
HA15 (3.0m)	46.2	44.2	Cut 2.0m	0.2	-	TGM	89 – 120	102	Not Encountered	1.0
HA16 (3.0m)	47.0	44.8	Cut 2.2m	-	0 - 0.3	TGM	84 – 107	99	2.8	0.8
HA17 (5.0m)	44.8	Outside of Proposed Earthworks	Outside of Proposed Earthworks	-	0 - 2.4	TGM	91 – 138+	110	Not Encountered	Not Encountered
HA18 (5.0m)	40.4	43.3	Fill 2.9m	-	0 - 1.3	TGM	73 – 114	93	3.4	1.9
HA19 (5.0m)	41.3	42.6	Fill 1.3m	-	-	TGM, WGM from 3.9m	72 – 138+	105	4.2	3.4
HA20 (5.0m)	38.4	40.6	Fill 2.2m	0.2	0.2 - 0.5	TGM, WGM from 4.2m	72 – 138+	103	1.0	0.7
HA21 (3.0m)	40.0	Outside of Proposed Earthworks	Outside of Proposed Earthworks	0.1	-	TGM, WGM from 2.2m	36 – 137	78	1.0	Not Encountered

Table 2: Stratigraphic Summary of 2013 Hand Auger Boreholes

Please note that, there is a significant discrepancy between the depths at which groundwater was encountered during drilling versus the subsequent standing groundwater levels measured AFTER drilling as given in Table 2. We believe these differences were as result of the hand auger holes having filled with surface water runoff as the holes were drilled during a wet winter. Also several wet days passed between the drilled date and the standing groundwater measured date and the holes were not lined with standpipes. We therefore consider that the standing groundwater level depths are unreliable whilst the depths at which groundwater was encountered during drilling is much representative of actual seepages which could be expected during winter months. We therefore do not consider there to be any obvious evidence of locations where groundwater seepages could be encountered by the proposed earthworks excavations.

9.6 Cone Penetrometer Tests (CPT)

CPT # (Refusal Depth)	Existing RL (m)	Proposed RL (m)	Proposed Cut/Fill (m)	Inferred TGM/WGM Stratigraphic Boundary	Minimum Inferred Cu (kPa) (Depth)	Average Inferred Cu in TGM (kPa)	Average Inferred Cu in WGM (kPa)
CPT1 (15.37m)	48.5	49.3	Fill 1.0m	7.0m RL41.5	90 (3.8m)	140	400
CPT2 (8.38m)	48.5	46.3	Cut 2.2m	6.3m RL42.2	80 (2.8m)	220	700
CPT3 (11.0m)	50.0	49.3	Cut 0.7m	8.0m RL32.0	65 (3.5m)	150	600
CPT4 (11.35m)	49.5	45.4	Cut 4.1m	7.0m RL42.5	80 (3.5m)	140	500
CPT5 (15.01m)	40.5	43.5	Fill 2.9m	8.0m RL32.5	65 (3.5m)	101	5.0

Table 3: Stratigraphic Summary of 2019 Cone Penetrometer Tests

Please refer to the appended CPT graphs of both raw data as well as inferred undrained shear strengths as well as SPT N60 densities.

From these CPT tests we have been able to confirm a similar trend as we identified in the hand auger boreholes whereby the site comprises a stiff to very stiff dessicated crust with the underlying soils becoming wetter and relatively weaker with depth. The lowest strengths are typically around 3.5 metres depth before the soil strength/density begins to gradually increase. We infer the presence of the Tauranga Group Materials/Waitemata Group Material boundary around the depth where the inferred undrained shear strength exceeds 200 kPa which ranges in depth from 6.3 to 8.0 metres below existing ground level.

Most importantly, the CPT tests confirm the presence of generally competent soils with no obvious evidence of significantly weak deposists and, once below 3.5 metres, strengths typically increase with depth.

The following table is a summary of the groundwater levels we have measured in our recent hand auger boreholes which had standpipes installed:

	AH1	AH2	AH3	AH4	AH5
Ground Surface RL (m)	48.5	51.8	45.5	50.0	46.0
Hole Invert Depth (m)	-5m	-5m	-5m	-5m	-5m
Proposed Ground RL (m)	49.4 Fill (0.9m)	47.8 Cut (4.0m)	44.4 Cut (1.1m)	49.0 Cut (1.0m)	46.0 Nil Cut/Fill
DATE (D/M/Y)					
Drilled 7/3/2019 (m)	4.2	None	None	4.0	5.0
29/3/2019 (m)	3.1	None	4.2	3.7	4.1
9/5/2019 (m)	1.3	None	2.2	2.5	3.5

Table 4: Groundwater Level Monitoring

We have encountered an increase in groundwater levels from the time the holes were drilled during March 2019 until more recently in May 2019. Such an increase in groundwater levels is to be expected given the transition from summer to winter along with increased rainfall.

Importantly, there is no evidence that the proposed area of greatest cut depth around AH2, comprises a groundwater table.

On this basis, we do not anticipate encountering groundwater within the proposed area of cut. Where we have encountered an elevated groundwater presence around AH1, coincides with a localised depression which is proposed to be filled.

11. Slope Stability

11.1 Qualitative Slope Stability Assessment

Considering a visual assessment of the site during our walkover inspections as well as review of the site contours and a slope profiles as provided to us by HG, together with the generally good underlying ground conditions, and our experience from similar sites in the area, on the basis of qualitative assessment, we consider the risk of deep seated widespread slope instability impacting on the development, to be satisfactorily low.

We have however undertaken a global slope stability analyses of a representative finished slope profile which we describe in Section 11.2 below.

However, slopes steeper than 1V:4H (14°) are considered susceptible to surface soil creep, typically extending to depths of between 1.0 to 1.5 metres. We therefore recommend that road development in the area of the gully slopes should be subject to further carefully assessment as part of the EPA process to ensure that batter fills as well as any proposed retaining walls are adequately keyed into competent natural ground, to limit the creep effects impacting on the road. This will include the removal of any pre-existing fill and/or unsuitable natural soils. At this stage, we consider that, provided the batter slopes below the road are carefully constructed with engineered fill and stabilised with topsoil and vegetation, they can be safely formed at 1V:3H.

24

For the proposed lot terrace platforms, we generally anticipate that cuts and/or fills with 1V:3H batters and vertical batter heights not exceeding 2.2 metres height are proposed which will be at risk of soil creep, which will require any future structures in close proximity to these batters to be specifically designed, to mitigate the risk of such loss of lateral soil support unless the batters are supported by specifically designed retaining walls at the time of specific lot development.

11.2 Quantitative Slope Stability Analyses

Cross-Section A-A' was selected as being representative of the finished slope profile, as illustrated in the appended cross section.

Overall stability was assessed for selected groundwater conditions using the computer program SLIDE (version 6). This program incorporates an automatic slope search routine for both traditional circular failure surfaces as well as composite circular failure surfaces, combining both circular and planar geometry. We have also undertaken planar or block failure analyses. We utilised the GLE/Morgenstern-Price Method for multi-layered strata, to calculate the factors of safety of potential failure surfaces, so that the positions of those surfaces with the minimum factors of safety can be reliably determined.

The factor of safety, which is the ratio of the forces resisting failure to the driving forces causing instability, describes a slope's degree of stability. A slope is considered to be in equilibrium when the factor is 1.0, while increasing values above 1.0 indicate improving stability. The Auckland Council's Code of Practice for Land Development & Subdivision "Earthworks and Geotechnical Requirements", Table 2.C.1 "Factors of Safety Guideline" states that a factor of safety against instability of no less than 1.5 under normal groundwater conditions is required, whilst under extreme or "worst credible" groundwater conditions the minimum factor of safety required is 1.3. The explanatory notes go on to say:

"It should be noted when using the guidelines, it does not absolve the geo-professional from any responsibility in respect to the modelling of the slope or analysis. However, if the FOS chosen is radically different from the guidelines, the variance from the guidelines should be explained, and Council has the right and discretion to have the analysis peer reviewed."

The appendices to this report contain computer stability result sheets giving full graphical details of the slope geometry, the material properties, the various groundwater conditions, and to give a visual indication of the thoroughness of the search, we have shown the centres of the theoretical failure surfaces, colour coded according to the factor of safety computed. The program also allows a filtering of these surfaces, to permit focus on critical factors of safety (FoS).

We have adopted the following effective stress soil parameters for use in our stability model:

Material Type	Bulk Density (kN/m³)	Effective Angle of Shearing Resistance (°)	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Groundwater Co-Efficient (Hu)	Undrained Cohesion (kPa)
Tauranga Group Materials	18	28	5	Automatic	50
Completely Weathered Very Stiff to Hard WGM	18	32	10	Automatic	200
Engineered Fill	18	30	5	Automatic	100

Please note that we have conservatively allowed for a 20 kPa distributed surcharges across the future building areas and 12 kPa for the proposed public road.

In our experience, the potential for slope failures occurring on this site will more than likely coincide with periods of heavy and persistent rainfall, whereby the surface soils are unable to drain fast enough and become heavy and saturated.

We have undertaken the following groundwater modelling scenarios:

- 1. Under moderate, long term conditions we have assumed a groundwater level within 2.0 metres of the finished ground surface (FoS required >1.5).
- 2. Under extreme, transient groundwater conditions we have assumed a groundwater level within 0.5 metre of the ground surface (FoS required >1.3).

We have also undertaken a pseudo-seismic analyses in which we adopted a Peak Ground Acceleration factor (or PGA) of 0.096g. This figure has been calculated assuming a building importance level of 2 and then using "Method 1: Risk-based method using earthquake estimates presented in the NZTA Bridge Manual" which is described in Section 5.1 of the NZGS/MBIE Module 1 for Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering Practice and adjusted for the recommended 150-year return period as stated in "Table 2.C.1 Factors of Safety" of the Auckland Council Code of Practice For Land Development & Subdivision (ACCoP) with a corresponding minimum factor of safety of 1.2.

26

The following is a break-down of how we derived this PGA using Method 1:

Dook borizontal a	round accoloration	la Impuba	$C_{0,1000} = 0.17$
calculated as:		(umax) may be	(Figure A.1 NZGS/MBIE Module 1)
<i>a_{max} = C</i> 0,1000	$\frac{R}{1.3} fg$		
in which:			R = 0.55 (1 in 150 year probability of exceedance)
$C_{0,1000} = Unwe coefficient cor period from Fig.$	eighted peak groun responding to a 100 gure A.1 (see Appen	d acceleration 00 year return dix A) for Class A,	(Table 3.5 NZS1170.5:2004)
B, (rock) or C (s for Class D or E	hallow soil) sites or (soft, deep soil) si	from Figure A.2 tes.	f = 1.33
R = return peri by NZS 1170	od factor and is giv).5:2004 Table 3.5	en	(Class C Shallow Soil)
g = acceleratio	n from gravity		a _{max} = 0.17 x (0.55/1.3) x 1.33 g
f = site response	se factor:		
Class A, B	Rock sites	f = 1.0	= 0.096g
Class C	Shallow soil	f=1.33	
Class D, E	Soft, deep soil	<i>f</i> = 1.0	
The earthquake e	ffective magnitude	is given in	
Figures A.3 to A.7	and depends on th	e particular	
earthquake return	n period being cons	idered.	
	ation 5.1 of the NZ		

Figure 2 – Page 13 Section 5.1 of the NZGS/MBIE Module 1 for Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering Practice

The aforementioned ACCoP does not provide any description of the groundwater level or scenario which should be assumed under pseudo-seismic loading which we assume is because such instantaneous loading should occur rapidly and drainage is unlikely to occur, therefore Total Stress or Undrained Soil Shear Strength is assumed to be mobilised, which is unaffected by the presence of a groundwater table. We have therefore adopted total stress or undrained cohesion (undrained shear strength) given seismic acceleration should occur rapidly, and drainage within the cohesive soils would unlikely occur.

Under moderate groundwater conditions we have calculated a critical factor of safety of 1.70 whilst under elevated extreme groundwater conditions the critical factor of safety drops only marginally to 1.68. Under pseudo-seismic loading the critical factor of safety again drops only marginally to 1.64.

We are therefore generally satisfied that the proposed developed surface profile should not result in any unsatisfactory risk of moderate to deep-seated global slope instability.

Please refer to the appended slope stability print-outs for further details.

12. Conclusions and Recommendations

On the basis of our site walkovers, aerial photograph review, site observations, record research, intrusive ground investigation comprising Hand Augered Boreholes and Cone Penetrometer Testing, as well as insitu testing and slope stability analyses as described herein, we can confirm that we have considered both the foundation and land stability risks with respect to the earthworks proposals provided, and are of the opinion that the proposed development should be generally suitable in terms of section 2 "Earthworks & Geotechnical Requirements" of NZS4404:2010 "Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure" and section 2.B.2 of the Auckland Council Code of Practice for Land Development & Subdivision and should not be exposed to unsatisfactory Geotechnical risk, subject to satisfactory land development as generally discussed below, and also, specific and/or overall general recommendations to be presented in a Geotechnical Completion Report (GCR), once the earthworks have been completed:

12.1 General Earthworks Operations

Generally speaking, all debris as well as vegetation, including tree stumps and the root balls themselves, should be removed from site. Any areas comprising pre-existing fill deposits should be cut open by hydraulic excavator and assessed by ourselves, to determine whether the material is suitable to be used as fill, or otherwise removed to waste. Again, we caution that as the property has been used as a farm in the past, it is possible that buried offal or other rubbish pits may be present, which will need to be removed from the site, and the excavations backfilled to engineered standards.

All topsoil and other unsuitables should be stripped from all cut and fill areas and stockpiled well clear of the works on suitable areas of natural ground. Although we did not identify any obvious evidence of swampy ground, nor small drains/shallow ephemeral watercourses and localized surface seepages, we caution that topsoil stripping and site cutting may expose seepages needing tapping drainage, which should comprise perforated underfill drainage coil wrapped in a filter sock and contained within AP20 drainage chip and where widespread, drainage blankets, prior to the placing and compaction of filling. Subsoil drains will need to be connected to the stormwater reticulation. Such subsoil drainage will need to be surveyed and as-built so that future lot-specific development does not compromise the drains.

12.1.1 Fill Compaction & Control

We caution that beneath the generally drier and dessicated cohesive soil crust which comprises clays and silts, the materials become wetter and less cohesive making reconstitution of the material difficult, in our experience. For this reason, we recommend that blending the cohesive soils with the deeper non-cohesive silts be undertaken. If significant volumes of wet and silty soils are excavated, and conditioning by conventional drying methods are not successful, then it may become necessary to lime and/or cement stabilise the materials to achieve the required undrained shear strength. As a general guide, we recommend placing cohesive clay and silt fill in loose lift thicknesses of around 200 to 300mm, and compacting using a suitably sized pad-foot roller. It is important that the moisture content of the material is at close to an optimum level, in order to achieve successful compaction. On the basis of our experience on surrounding sites with similar materials, we anticipate the optimum moisture content for effective compaction to be between 30 to 40%. Although materials can still be compacted if wet or dry of this value, the results may not be acceptable and could require additional conditioning by drying or wetting as appropriate.

In order to provide the most flexibility for likely variations in soil types, it is recommended that earthworks compaction control use the maximum allowable air voids/ minimum allowable shear strength criteria, as follows:-

	Air Voids Per	centage	Undrained Shear Strength					
	(as defined in NZS	5 4402:1986)	(Measured insitu by IANZ calibrated vane)					
	Maximum	Maximum	Minimum	Minimum				
	Average Value	Single Value	Average Value	Single Value				
	%	%	kPa	kPa				
General Fill	10	12	140	110				
Road Fill (top 0.5m)	8	10	150	120				

Air Voids and Shear Vane (for cohesive soils only)

Note: The average value shall be determined over any ten consecutive tests

However, the need could arise to cross check results in terms of 95% of the maximum dry density, within the appropriate water content range, as follows:-

	Percentage o N.Z. Standard NZ 4402:198 equ	f Dry Density by Compaction Test 36, test 4.1.1 or ivalent	Allowable Variations From Optimum Water Content					
	General fill	Within 500mm of carriageway subgrade	All classes of fill	All classes of fill				
Cohesive soil	95%	100%	-2%	4%				
Highly plastic cohesive soil	92%	97%	-2%	5%				
Non-cohesive soil	100%	102%	-2%	2%				

In all cases, the specification gives the minimum average result of any ten consecutive test sites, while that at any single test site should not be more than 5% below the minimum.

Relaxation of the upper limits of the variations from optimum water content may be made by the Certifying Engineer to restrict post-construction swelling of the fill, especially if the natural water contents of cohesive soils are well in excess of their optimum values.

12.1.2 Long-Term Batter Slopes – Proposed Lots

We understand that the proposed batter slopes within the 10-Lot subdivison, (be they cut and/or fill) are designed to be no steeper than 18° (1V:3H). We further understand that the overall batter heights will not exceed 2.0 metres. We therefore consider that such batter slopes should not pose any significant risk of slope instability.

However, where batter slopes typically exceed 14° (1V:4H), any structures situated above such batters could be at risk from loss from lateral soil support on account of long-term soil creep ranging in depth from 1.0 to 1.5 metres below finished ground level. This will require specific foundation design which will be clearly identified in the Geotechnical Completion Report. Alternatively, specifically designed retaining walls could be utilized.

Once the batter slopes have reached finished geometry they should be stabilized with topsoil and covered with short-term biodegradable matting such as coir or coconut to allow the establishment of grass and/or plants and/or other root binding vegetation.

12.1.3 Retaining Walls Supporting Fill – Proposed Public Road

We understand that the proposed filing required to support the public road will be supported by specifically designed retaining walls which will be subject to a future Geotechnical Addendum Report addressing the founding and stability of the chosen retaining wall structure which at this stage is proposed to be a Gabion Basket structure.

12.1.4 Road Design Subgrade

We anticipate that subgrade strengths within the filled ground should be predominantly in excess of an equivalent CBR of 4 to 5%. However, those in the natural ground will likely be highly variable and we recommend adopting a conservative design value of 2 to 3%. We recommend a programme of scala penetrometer testing is undertaken at the time of subgrade inspection to confirm or otherwise revise these design assumptions.

Please be aware that CBR's in natural ground will fluctuate quite significantly depending on soil moisture content. Near-surface desiccated soils will be drier and therefore denser versus the deeper wetter soils, particularly where wet silty layers are exposed in cuttings, the soils will perform poorly and will either require additional subgrade undercutting or alternatively lime and/or cement drying/stabilisation.

Furthermore, in our experience, when bulk fill is placed for roading, lime and/or cement drying/stabilisation of the top 0.5m of subgrade fill should be considered to avoid the risk of the fill

either not achieving specification or deteriorating from the elements if there is a lag time between filling pavement formation.

12.1.5 Erosion and Sediment Control

All erosion and sediment control should be undertaken in accordance with Auckland Council Guideline Document GD05 dated June 2016 "Erosion & Sediment Control Guide for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region".

Stormwater runoff must be intercepted from all adjoining upslope areas and from areas of the site not affected by the earthworks, by means of appropriately positioned cutoff drains and bunds. Discharges from these drains and bunds should then be routed to suitable watercourses. Any sediment bunds should not need any further geotechnical engineering input at this stage but should be inspected during construction to confirm the stability of the batter slopes.

We further highlight that decommissioning of sediment decants and/or ponds are often the last bulk filling task and poor quality soils can lead to poor backfilling and compaction. This can be avoided if a stockpile of suitable material is kept to one side.

12.1.6 Service Pipes and Trenches

All construction work involving trenches must comply with the Construction Act and Regulations, and the Department of Labour publication "Approved Code of Practice for Safety in Excavation & Shafts for Foundations". A leaflet and further information are available via http://www.worksafe.govt.nz/worksafe/information-guidance/all-guidance-items/acop-excavation-and-shafts-for-foundations/excavation-acop.pdf

Notwithstanding the <u>minimum</u> requirements set by the Department of Labour, the further need for such measures should be carefully assessed during construction of these services. As far as practicable, reticulation work should be confined to the summer earthworks season. No construction difficulties are anticipated through having to excavate bedrock.

We strongly recommend that trench backfill be compacted using a compaction-wheel or a remote padfoot compactor, so that the infiltration of surface water is reduced.

As is standard industry practice, backfilling of trenches will unlikely be done under engineering supervision, unless instructed otherwise. Therefore the quality of backfilling will be categorised as non-engineered and will need to be "tagged" as such in the Geotechnical Completion Report, where any future building development within the 45° influence rising from the invert of trenches will require specific investigation and/or design, with the <u>exception</u> of those backfilled with engineered hardfill or clayfill which is monitored, tested and certified as such by the supervising Geo-Professional.

12.2 Site Stability

We generally consider that the proposed finished ground profiles will result in a low-risk of moderate to deep-seated instability subject to appropriate land development which will be confirmed in a GCR.

12.3 Ground Consolidation & Settlement

We generally consider that bulk fills of up to 2.0 metres depth should not result in any significant consolidation of the subsoils. Where bulk filling in excess of these depths is proposed, we recommend the installation of settlement markers/survey monuments on the finished surface with survey of these monuments undertaken for a period of no less than 6 months to confirm the rate of ground settlement until such time that the rate abates to a level which is not at risk of causing differential settlement to future structures. The area where such filling in excess of 2.0 metres could result in ground settlement and/or differential settlement effects appears to be confined to the western half of Lot 10 subject to appropriate land development which will be confirmed in a GCR.

There are other areas with filling in excess of 2.0 metres such as the Public Road as well as the southern land parcel of number 86 which will be subject further geotechnical assessment at a later date.

12.4 General Recommendations for Future Lot Development

Once the subdivisional works have been completed, we anticipate preparing a Geotechnical Completion Report (GCR) confirming (or otherwise) all the above works have been completed. In addition, the GCR will provide broad-brush recommendations for the development of light-industrial buildings.

General recommendations will most likely be as follows:

12.4.1 Existing Fill

Although we anticipate that all areas of the proposed Lots will eb subject to earthworks, given that the land has been used for farming, we caution that there may be unknown areas of pre-existing fill deposits, as well as areas of disturbed ground from various activities over the years. <u>We therefore</u> <u>stress the importance of inspections prior to foundation construction</u>. Any existing non-engineered fill on the site should not be relied upon for the direct nor indirect support of foundations or floors, and we recommend that it be removed and, if necessary replaced with engineered fill, where it lies beneath any proposed foundations or building footprints.

12.4.2 Soil Creep

Generally speaking, soil creep is considered to be a risk to any foundations situated on or within 5 metres of slopes steeper than 1V:4H or 14°.

To reduce the risk of soil creep affecting future foundations we will be recommending such foundations be designed to resist a loss of support ranging from 1.0 to 1.5 metres depth below finished ground levels existing ground levels.

The extent and details of such foundations will need to be addressed for each individual development at Building Consent stage.

12.4.3 Foundations for Light-Industrial Buildings

Subject to the above recommendations, and provided that the earthworks construction and drainage works are carried out in accordance with NZS4404:2004, "Land Development and Subdivision Engineering", related documents, and Council's standard specifications where appropriate, then the completed development should be generally suitable for the support of structures with building loads not exceeding Ultimate Limit State Pressures of 150 kPa (i.e. 300 kPa Geotechnical Ultimate Bearing Capacity

Much of the clayey silt and silty clay subsoil encountered during this investigation was slightly to highly plastic, this being a phenomenon common to soils in Auckland. The resulting effects of possible shrinkage and swelling in relation to brittle building construction should be considered at the time of preparation of the relevant Geotechnical Completion Report which will require representative sampling of soils and subsequent testing of the magnitude of possible shrinkage and swelling generally in accordance of AS2870:2011 which will require specific soil characterisation by laboratory testing.

Usual solutions to these risks include:

- specifically designed or proprietary stiffened foundation systems
- deepening and/or piling of foundations
- undercutting and replacing reactive soil subgrade with non-reactive hardfill
- controls on planting of certain tree species close to buildings

In terms of site subsoil classification for seismic design actions in accordance with NZS1170.5 we consider the subsoils to fall into Class C – Shallow Soil.

12.4.4 Floor Loads for Light-Industrial Buildings

We consider that limitations on future UDL Floor Loads of no greater than 15 kPa (Dead + Live Loads) will be imposed to mitigate the risk of consolidation of the subsoils which could result in settlement of the building/s as well as differential settlement effects UNLESS future lot-specific investigation and settlement analyses are undertaken which prove otherwise.

12.4.5 Future Cut/Fill Limitations

Given the potential sensitivity of the underlying subsoils to increase in surcharge pressures which could result in consolidation resulting in settlement, we stress that future fills greater than 0.5 metres depth (~10 kPa) should not be undertaken on the future lots without further review by a Geo-Professional who is familiar with the contents of this report and express approval in writing of the Council.

In like fashion, future cut excavations in excess of 0.5 metres depth Given that the sites are predominantly gently sloping (as much as 1V:4H) and with the limitations of cutting and filling, we anticipate that each lot will require a site-specific geotechnical assessment at the time of formulating development proposals. We will however also need to limit such cuts to a maximum height of 2.5 metres, with all cuts in excess of 1 metre requiring support by engineer designed retaining walls unless they can be safely battered back to no steeper than 1V:3H. We anticipate providing retaining wall design recommendations in the GCR.

12.5.6 Stormwater Disposal

All stormwater runoff from roofs and paved areas, plus any water tank overflows, should be collected in sealed pipes and be disposed of to the reticulated stormwater line. Likewise, overland flows should be directed away from future building footprints.

Under no circumstances should concentrated overflows from any source discharge into or onto the ground in an uncontrolled fashion.

12.6 Statutory Issues and Construction Monitoring

Because soils are not a homogeneous, manufactured building component, there always exists a level of risk that inferences about soil conditions across the greater site, which have been drawn from isolated 'pin-prick' locations, may be subject to localized variations. Therefore the foregoing statements are Professional Opinion, based on a limited collection of information, some of which is factual, and some of which is inferred. For these reasons, it must be appreciated that the investigation is not deemed complete until the construction works enable confirmation of design assumptions. Given that the primary purpose of this report is to support an application for approval of a subdivision, together with enabling earthworks, we anticipate that Council will likely set a condition of the Resource Consent requiring a suitable Geotechnical Completion Report (GCR) be supplied by a suitably experienced Geo-Professional who is familiar with the contents of this report, endorsing the enabling works, prior to release of the titles. In the usual course of that process, the GCR would revisit many of the foregoing Conclusions and Recommendations and revise them as appropriate.

Proposed Light Industrial Subdivision at 86 – 88 Hobsonville Road

Thank you for the opportunity to provide our service on this project, and if we can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours faithfully,

GEOTEK SOLUTIONS LIMITED

E. Crestanello (BSc. Geol.) Senior Engineering Geologist

Enclosures: Site Plans (3 sheets) Cross-section (1 sheet) Hand Auger Borehole Records – 2019 (5 sheets) Hand Auger Borehole Records – 2013 (21 sheets) CPT Graphs (15 sheets) Slope Stability Results (3 sheets)







60))																						
50)	??								??	Tau	uranga Gro	oup Materials	(TGM)	_ ??		= =											
40)						EOP 11.2m				Waiter	mata Grou	p Materials (V	VG <mark>M</mark>)	I			EOP 11.35m			??					??- _		EOP 15.0m
EXISTING ELEVATIONS (Red Line)	-50.28	49.41	-48.73	-48.48		40.44	- 48.51	- 48.82	49.06	-49.28	- 50.12 -	- 50.51 -	- 51.63 -	- 51.72 -		1	49.85	90 0F	49.00		-47.68	- 46.85	-45.63 -	- 44.40	-43.15	42.12	41.61	-40.71
PROPOSED ELEVATIONS (Blue Line)		-49.41	-49.62	-49.51	07 07	- 48.40	-49.29	-49.18-	-49.08-	-48.97	-48.87	-48.77	-48.68	-48.59	-46.81	-46.70	-46.60	16 AQ	40.49	0 0 0 1	-46.29	-46.19-	-46.09	-45.78-	-44.28	-42.78	-41.68	-41.42
OFFSET	10.00	0	-10.00	-20.00		00.05	-40.00	-50.00	-60.00	-70.00	-80.00	00.06	-100.00-	-110.00-	-120.00	-130.00	-140.00	1 50 00	00.061	00.001	-170.00-	-180.00	-190.00-	-200.00	-210.00-	-220.00	-230.00	-240.00

GEOTEK	1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Central Phone: 09 261 0169	DRAWING TITLE:	CROSS SECTION A-A
solutions	Email: geotek@geotek.co.nz Website: www.geotek.co.nz	LOCATION :	86 - 88 Hobsonville Road
THOROUGH ANALYSIS • DEPENDA	BLE ADVICE	CLIENT:	Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd



SCALE	1:1000	@ A3
JOB No.	7273	
DRAWN B		
DATE	MAY 2019	
SHEET '	1 OF 1	

CL	CLIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd							SHE	ET:	1 of 5	JOB	REF:	7273
LC	CATION :	84-90 Hobson	/ille Road		DIAMET	ED: 1	mm	DAT		7/2/10	HAN	D AUGER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	.: DR	4802	DATI	=:	//3/19	No:		AH1
	Topsoil Fill	SOIL DESCR	RIPTION Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	RL RL	Depth (m) 1892	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
Tauranga Group Materials	Topsoil NATURA brownish - becomin Stiff, very sandy clay - becomin - becomin - becomin - becomin	AL: Stiff, very slig grey streaks, silty ag medium grey wi plastic, without ora slightly plastic, lig yey SILT: moist ag medium grey wi	htly plastic, oran CLAY: damp th orange streaks inge streaks ght grey with ora th occasional bla	ge with nge streaks, ck streaks		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0	7/3/19	110+ 1100 100 99 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87	48 63 69 74 49 63 68 68		Pro	posed RL 4 Fill +0.9 m	49.4
	Standing	groundwater on com	+.∠ in during drillir pletion measured (a) 4.4 m								www.angeneration.com	



THOROUGH ANALYSIS • DEPENDABLE ADVICE

С	LIENT:	Austino Hobso	onville 2 Ltd		LOGGE	D: LC		SHE	ET:	2 of 5	;	JOB REF:	7273
L	OCATION :	84-90 Hobson	ville Road		DIAMET	ED: 4	nm					HAND AUGER	
		Hobsonville			SV DIAL	.: DR	4802	DATI	=:	7/3/19		No:	AH2
	Topsoil Fill	SOIL DESC	RIPTION Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Ground Rl puegend	Level: 51.8 Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
Tauranga Group Materials	Topsoil NATURA SILT: dry Stiff, very - with red Stiff, very mottles, signation SILT: model Stiff, very CLAY: model Stiff, sligh moist Very Stiff SILT: model Stiff, sligh moist - - becomin - - with min - - with min - - - with min - - -	AL: Stiff, non plas v slightly plastic, o and grey mottles v slightly plastic, o ilty CLAY: moist, plastic, orange wi ist v slightly plastic, g oist plastic, orange wi LT: moist ttly plastic, pink w c, non plastic, pink w c, non plastic, oran ist g pink with white g stiff nor sand fraction g medium to dark nole @ 5.0 m (Targe ater encountered du	tic, brownish o range, clayey S range, clayey S range with red th grey and red rey with red str th light grey str vith grey streaks yith grey streaks ge with grey streaks streaks, grey_ t Depth) ring drilling or or	ILT: damp ILT: damp and grey mottles, clayey eaks, sandy s, silty CLAY: eaks, clayey eaks, clayey		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0		110+ 110+				Proposed RL 4 Cut - 4.0 m	47.8

GEOTEK______solutions

THOROUGH ANALYSIS • DEPENDABLE ADVICE

CLIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd		LOGGE	D: LC		SHE	ET:	3 of 5	JOB RE	EF:	7273			
LOCATION: 84-90 Hobsonville Road			CHECKED: A						HAND	AUGER			
Hobsonville				SV DIAL	: DR	4802	DATE	Ξ:	7/3/19	No:	NOULI	AH3	
	Topsoil Fill	SOIL DESCI	RIPTION Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Ground Rl puebeng	Level: 45.5 (m) utden	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity	Co S Ot	omments Samples ther Test	
Tauranga Group Materials	Topsoil NATURA streaks, si Streaks, si Stiff, sligh	AL: Stiff, very slig lty CLAY: moist atly plastic, light g g firm g stiff	htly plastic, ora	ange with grey		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0		110+ 110+ 110+ 105 104 92 94 92 94 92 94 92 94 94 92 94 92 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94	31 31 72 68 40 72 68 68 40 72 66 64 64 56 64 81 82	3.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.1	Propo	ised RL 4 ut 1.1 m	4.4
	TNO groundwa	ner encountered dur	ing unning or on	compretion									

GEOTEK______solutions

THOROUGH ANALYSIS • DEPENDABLE ADVICE

LOCATION : 84-90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville CHECKED: Image: Checked control of the control of	
Hobsonville DATE: 8/3/2019 NATURAL: Stiff, non plastic, orange, clayey SILT: damp Other Text Solution SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Sand Gravel Peat Rock Peat Page Peat Book Peat Page Peat Book Peat Page Page Attraction Topsoil Clay Sand Gravel Peat Rock Peat Page Peat Book Peat Page Page Attraction Commen Sample Other Te Topsoil Stiff, non plastic, orange, clayey SILT: damp Attraction Attraction Attraction Attraction Commen Sample Other Te Stiff, non plastic, light grey, sandy clayey SILT: moist 1.0 Proposed RL Cut - 1.0 Cut - 1.0	
SOIL DESCRIPTION Ground Level: R 50.0 Image: Solution of the second	AH4
Topsoil Image: Clayey SILT: damp NATURAL: Stiff, non plastic, orange, clayey SILT: damp Image: Clayey SILT: damp - becoming very slightly plastic Image: Clayey SILT: damp - becoming very slightly plastic Image: Clayey SILT: damp Stiff, non plastic, light grey, sandy clayey SILT: moist Image: Clayey SILT: moist	its s ist
Siff, very slightly plastic, light grey with orange streaks, sady silty CLAY: moist Siff, slightly plastic, light grey with orange streaks, silty CLAY: moist - becoming firm. - becoming firm. - becoming red with white streaks clayey SILT: moist - becoming very stiff - becoming very stightly plastic, light grey with orange streaks, silty - cLAY: moist - f.0 - f.0	<u>.49.0</u> m

THOROUGH ANALYSIS • DEPENDABLE ADVICE

CLIENT: Austino Hobsonville 2 Ltd	LOGGED: LO CHECKED:	SHEET: 5 of 5	JOB REF: 7273
Hobsonville Road	DIAMETER: 50mm	DATE: 7/3/19	
SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Sand Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Ground Level: RL 46.0 Mater Level Mater Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa) Remould Strength (kPa) Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test
Topsoil NATURAL: Stiff, non plastic, orange, sandy SILT: dry Stiff, very slightly plastic, orange with grey streaks, silty CLAY: moist - becoming brownish grey - becoming light grey with orange and red streaks Stiff, very slightly plastic, orange with grey streaks - becoming light grey with orange and red streaks - becoming redish orange with grey streaks - becoming redish orange with grey streaks - with minor sand fraction End of borehole @ 5.0 m (Target Depth) Groundwater encountered @ 5.0 m during drilling Strading groundwater encountered @ 5.0 m		Image: section of the sectio	Proposd RL 46.0 No Cut/Fill



THOROUGH ANALYSIS • DEPENDABLE ADVICE

CLIENT: Bell Rose Trust	LOGGED: ZM CHECKED: M	И/JB F	SHEET:	1 of 21	JOB REF:	5123
LOCATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road	DIAMETER: 50	mm	DATE:	18/10/13	HAND AUGER	HA1
	Ground Level:	-	a) a)		1	
Stangeit Fillow Rand We Beat	pui (iii)	er Leve	- Peak gth (kF mould gth (kF	rsitivity	Comments Samples Other Test	
Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Depth	Wat	Stren Re Stren	Š		
Topsoil				Pe	ofosto UI	19.6.
FILL (Non-Engineered?): Topsoil intermixed with grey.					Fill + 0.8	m.
slightly plastic, silty CLAY: damp	0.5		81 31	2.6		
NATURAL: Stiff, moderately plastic, orange and grey,						
		1	81 32	2.5		
	× × × × = 1.0	V				
Stiff, highly plastic, light - medium grey, silty CLAY:	x x x x x x x x x	0/13	104 32	3.3		
moist		23/1				
E /			100 70	1.4		
sia –						
-becoming moderately plastic, light grey with some orange	exx x x - 2.0		81 56	14		
-becoming slightly plastic, more silt rich	× × ×					
		-	04 05			
Gbecoming firm	× × × × – 2.5		64 35	1.8		
-becoming saturated			56 34	1.6 Deej	pened by JB on 2	3/10/13
Firm, highly plastic, light grey with minor orange streaks, very silty CLAY: saturated						
						- 11
	3.5		64 30	2.1		
			71 34	2,1		
	4.0					
-becoming light brown Firm, highly plastic, orange with light grey streaks, very	X X X		79 39	2.0		
clayey SILT: saturated -becoming uniform grey	XXX XXX					
-becoming stiff	XXX XXX		95 41	2.3		
_	x x x		-			
End of horehole @ 5.0 m	-x-x- 5.0		103 32	3.2		
Target Depth 3.0m - deepened to 5.0m on 23/10/13 Groundwater encountered @ 2.8m during drilling Standing groundwater on 23/10/13 measured @ 1.0m						
					- nalgasi aktin washoshdullari	
GEOTEK	SERVI	CE	S L	IMIT	ED	
1/55 Druces Road, Manuka Phone (64-9) 261-0169 F	u Central PO Box 2 acsimile (64-9) 261-0	17-172 548	, Botany Jun E-mail er	ction, Aucklar	nd 2164 ek.co.nz	

CL	IENT: Bell Rose T	rust	LOGGE	D: JB ED: MF	-	SHE	ÊT:	2 of 2	21 JOB REF: 5123
LOCATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road				DIAMETER: 50mm			E:	18/10/	HAND AUGER
			Ground	Level:	14000	a)	â		
	Topsoil Clay Fill Silt	Sand Peat Gravel Rock	48 puebend	Gepth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test
				_					Proposto en 49.6.
2	grey streaks and occasion moist	rately plastic, grey with browny al orange specks, silty CLAY:		0.5		69	26	2.7	nue i in i
-becoming highly plastic with orange streaks -becoming stiff					\bigtriangledown	93	32	2.9	
			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	 	8/10/13	111	39	2.8	
	-becoming moderately to more orange streaks		2.0	23	101	01 55	10		
	- becoming moderately pl brownish orange streaks				101		1.0		
aterials					83	47 1.8	1.8		
W dno.	-becoming firm, highly p and wet				75	41	i 1.8		
inga Gr	-				77	43	1.8	Deepened by JB on 23/10/13	
Taura	Firm, highly plastic, grey wet to saturated			8.	71	30	2.4		
					49	30	30 1.6		
	Stiff, slightly to moderate slightly sandy clayey SII	× × × ×			83	36	2.3		
	-becoming orange with s	× × × × × × × × × × × ×	- 4.0		130 36	36	3.6		
	Very stiff, slightly to mo	derately plastic, orange, clayey		- 4.5		138+			
	End of borehole @ 5.0 m Target Depth 3.0m - deej Groundwater not encoun Standing groundwater or	t pened to 5.0m on 23/10/13 tered during drilling 23/10/13 measured @ 1.0m	<u>× × ×</u>	- 		138+			
-					000		-		

GEOTEK SERVICES LIMITED

1/55 Druces Road, Manukau CentralPO Box 217-172, Botany Junction, Auckland 2164Phone (64-9) 261-0169Facsimile (64-9) 261-0548E-mailenquiries@geotek.co.nz
CL	IENT: Bell Rose Trust	LOGGED: ZM CHECKED: MF	SH	EET:	3 of 21	JOB REF: 5123
LC	CATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville	DIAMETER: 50mm SV DIAL: DR481	14 DA	TE:	18/10/13	HAND AUGER No: HA3
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground Level: 50.2 0)a)		
	Topsoil Clay Sand Weat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend Depth (m)	Strength (k)	Remould Strength (kl	Sensitivit	Comments Samples Other Test
Tauranga Group Materials	Topsoil -becoming intermixed with orange, moderately plastic, silty CLAY: damp NATURAL: Very stiff, slightly plastic, orange, orangey brown and light grey, silty CLAY: damp -becoming stiff, light grey with less orange: moist -becoming less clay rich -becoming very silty CLAY: wet Stiff, very slightly plastic to slightly plastic, orange and light grey, clayey SILT: moist -some red streaks present Stiff, moderately plastic, light grey and orange, silty CLAY: moist End of borehole @ 3.0 m (Target Depth) Groundwater encountered @2.2m during drilling Standing groundwater on 23/10/13 measured @ 1.2m	2.0		+	2.1 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.6	<u>orostra ll 49.7.</u> Lut -0.5m
	GEOTEK I/55 Druces Road, Manukau Phone (64-9) 261-0169 Fa	4.0 4.5 5.0 SERVICI a Central PO Box 217-1 acsimile (64-9) 261-0548	ES 72, Bot: E-		Tition, Auckla quiries@geot	ED nd 2164 tek.co.nz

CI	LIENT: Bell Rose Trust	LOGGE CHECK	D: JB ED: MF		SHE	ET:	4 of 3	21 JOB REF: 5123
LC	DCATION : 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville	DIAMET SV DIAI	ER: 50r .: DR	mm {4830	DATI	E;	18/10/	HAND AUGER No: HA4
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground 50	Level:	e	sa)	oa)		
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Peal Strength (kf	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivit	Comments Samples Other Test
e.	Topsoil	X	_			-		
Fill	FILL(?): Firm, moderately plastic, orangey brown with orange patches, silty CLAY: damp to moist with	ÊŽ,	1		69	26	2.7	leoroses RL 49.8.
	NATURAL: Firm, moderately plastic, orange with minor light greyish brown streaks, silty CLAY: damp to moist -becoming light grey with orange streaks, moist	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0.5 		55	16	3.4	hur - 0.4m
	-becoming stiff	X X	- 		99	39	2.5	
	-becoming moderately to highly plastic	x x x X X X X X X X X X X X X	-		103	32	3.2	
	orange streaks	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	— 1.5 	0/13	134	61	2.2	
	-becoming slightly to moderately plastic	* * * *	-	23/1				
		* * * * * *	-2.0		97	53	1.8	
als	-becoming moderately plastic, grey with orange streaks	× × × × × ×	_					
teri		x x x X X X X X X	2		70	10	10	
Ma Na	-minor orangey brown streaks present	× × × × × × × × ×	<u> </u>		79	49	1.0	
lno	-becoming more silty	X X X X X X X X X						
aG	_	* * * * * * * *	- 20		71	41	1.7	Deepened by JB on 23/10/13
ang	Firm, highly plastic, light grey with some orange streaks and minor red specks, silty CLAY: wet	× × × × × × ×	- 3.0		79	43	1.8	-
laur	more ninkish red streaks present	x x x * * * * x x x	_					
-		****	- 		61	28	2.2	
		× × × × × ×	-					
	-	* * * * * * * *			55	39	1.4	
	-becoming mainly orange and pink, slightly more silty	* * * * * * * *	-4.0	3		-		
	-	x x x x x x x x x	-		51	32	1.6	
		x x x x x x x x	-		_	-		
		× × × × × ×	<u> </u>		50	20	14	
		×	_		00	09	1.4	
	—	* * * *	-					
	End of borehole @ 5.0 m Target Depth 3.0m - deepened to 5.0m on 23/10/13 Groundwater not encountered during drilling Standing groundwater on 23/10/13 measured @ 1.5m		- 5.0		51	28	1.8	
	GEOTEK	SEI	RVIO	CE	S	LI	M	ITED

CLIENT:	Bell Rose Trus	t		LOGGE CHECK	D: JB ED: Mf	} F	SHE	ET:	5 of 2	1 JOB REF: 5123
LOCATIO	N: 84 - 90 Hobson Hobsonville	nville Road		DIAMET SV DIAL	ER: 50	mm 84830	DAT	E;	23/10/	13 HAND AUGER No: HA5
T	SOIL DESCR	RIPTION		Ground 48	Level: .9	jei	k Pa)	Pa)	A	Commonto
Top	soil Clay	Sand 👐	≠ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Pea Strength (k	Remould Strength (k	Sensitivi	Samples Other Test
- Tops	01]			111	_					PROPOSED LL 49.5
-beco NAT some -beco	URAL: Stiff, moderate browny grey streaks, soming grey with orange	orange clayey streaks ely plastic, orangey br ilty CLAY: damp streaks	rown with		 0.5 		132	53	2.5	Fill + 0.6m
Iaterials				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			83	41	20	
with	oming slightly to moder minor orange streaks, s oming very stiff	ately plastic, very lig lightly more silty	ht grey	****	- - 		138+			
auranga	oming stiff			***** ****** **********	2.0		134	55	2.4	
-beco -beco less -@2	oming very silty oming moderately plasti silty 4m; becoming very stif	c with more orange s	streaks,		2.5	0/13	138+			
-beco	oming stiff, orange with	grey streaks, less silt	ty	× × × × × × × × × × × ×		23/1(97 89	51 51	1.9	
Grc Star	undwater not encountered ding groundwater on com	during drilling pletion measured @ 2.5	ōm		- - - - - - - -					
-					4.0 					
				-	- 					
				-						
		alitas están menoral y a companya processo estas								
		GEOT 1/55 Druces Roa Phone (64-9) 26	EK ad, Manukau 51-0169 Fa	Central 1 csimile (64	PO Box 21 -9) 261-05	CE 17-172, 548	Botan E-m	Junc ail end	tion, Au	ITED uckland 2164 @geotek.co.nz

CI	LIENT:	Bell Rose Trus			LOGGE CHECK	D: ZM ED: M	M F	SHE	ET:	6 of 2	21	JOB REF:		5123
LC	OCATION :	84 - 90 Hobsor Hobsonville	wille Road		DIAMET SV DIAL	ER: 50	mm 34814	DAT	E:	18/10/	13	HAND AUG No:	ER	HA6
	1	SOIL DESCR	IPTION		Grounc 49		vel	ak <pa)< th=""><th>d cPa)</th><th>ity</th><th></th><th>Comm</th><th>onte</th><th></th></pa)<>	d cPa)	ity		Comm	onte	
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m <mark>)</mark>	Water Le	Su - Pea Strength (I	Remoul Strength (F	Sensitiv		Samp Other	les Test	
Tauranga Group Materials Fill	FILL (N clayey SI Moderate grey patcl NATURA grey, silty -becomin streaks	on-engineered?): LT intermixed with ly plastic, grey with hes, silty CLAY: m AL: Stiff, moderate CLAY: damp g mainly light grey g slightly plastic, m	Very slightly pla topsoil: damp orange, red an oist ly plastic, orang with occasional	astic, light grey d some dark ge and light		1.0	18/10/13	125 117 116 95 91	56 59 59 59 56 58 58	2.2 2.0 2.0 1.7 1.6	Pro 1	Posko R Ju to:	L 44	8-7.
	Stiff, very	y slightly plastic, lig LT: wet	ht grey with ora	ange streaks,		2.5 		93	39 42	2.4				
	- End of Groun Standi	f borehole @ 3.0 m (dwater encountered @ ng groundwater on 2	Farget Depth) 2.6m during dri 3/10/13 measured	lling @ 1.1m		3.0 								
			GEO	DTEK	SER	VI	CE	S	LI	M	TI	ED		

CI	LIENT:	Bell Rose Trust		LOGG CHEC	ED: JB KED: MF	-	SHE	ET:	7 of 2	21	JOB REF:	Į	5123
LC	CATION :	84 - 90 Hobson Hobsonville	wille Road	DIAME SV DIA	TER: 50 L: DF	mm R4830	DAT	É;	18/10/	/13	HAND AUGE No:	R	HA7
Ì		SOIL DESCR	IPTION	Grour 2	d Level:	vel	ak <pa)< td=""><td>d <pa)< td=""><td>ity</td><td></td><td>Comme</td><td>nts</td><td></td></pa)<></td></pa)<>	d <pa)< td=""><td>ity</td><td></td><td>Comme</td><td>nts</td><td></td></pa)<>	ity		Comme	nts	
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand w Peat Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Le	Su - Pea Strength (I	Remoul Strength (I	Sensitiv		Sample Other Te	est	
Tauranga Group Materials	Topsoil NATURA silty CLA -becoming grey strea -becoming some ligh -becoming -becoming -becoming -becoming -becoming -becoming -becoming -some ora -some red -becoming	L: Stiff, slightly p Y: damp g moist g moderately plastic ks g very stiff, light cr t grey streaks g slightly to modera ange specks, damp g moderately plastic g mainly light grey g stiff ngey brown streaks dish specks present	lastic, orangey brown, quit c, less silty with some light eamy orangey brown with ately plastic, with some to moist c, moist s present		0.5	23/10/13	103 103 138+ 91 109 93 93 89 91	41 41 53 53 45 45 49 49 43	2.5 2.5 1.7 2.4 1.9 2.1	le	Lut -C	<u>c</u> 1	<u>+8.8</u>
	End of bo Groundw Standing	prehole @ 3.0 m (Tar, ater not encountered groundwater on 23/10	get Depth) during drilling D/13 measured @ 0.9m		4.0		91						
				K SE	RVIC		S			[T]			

LOCATION : 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville DIAMETER: 50mm SV DIAL: DATE: 18/10/13 HAND AUGER No: HAV SOIL DESCRIPTION Fill Soil Clay Fill Sand Sit Peat Rock Topsoil Fill Topsoil Sit Topsoil Sit Comments Samples Other Test Soil Description Sit Sand Gravel Peat Rock Topsoil Fill Topsoil Sit Comments Samples Other Test Soil Topsoil Sit Sit Sand Gravel Peat Rock Topsoil Fill Topsoil Sit Comments Samples Other Test Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Comments Samples Other Test Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit Sit -becoming orange and light grey Iss 1.0 Sit	CL	IENT: Bell Rose Trust	LOGGE	ED: ZN	1	SHE	ET;	8 of :	21	JOB REF:	5123
Soil DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Rock Topsoil Clay Silt Sand Rock Topsoil Clay Silt Rock Silt Rock Silt Rock Silt Rock Topsoil Clay Silt Rock Topsoil Clay Silt Rock Silt Rock Topsoil Clay Silt Rock Topsoil Clay Silt Rock Silt Rock Topsoil Clay Silt Rock Topsoil Clay Silt Rock Top Silt	LO	CATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville	DIAME" SV DIA	TER: 50r	mm {4814	DAT	E:	18/10	/13	HAND AUGER No:	HA8
Topsoil Clay Sand Peat		SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground 50	i Level:).9	T	a)	a)			<u></u>	
-becoming intermixed with orange clayey SILT -becoming intermixed with orange clayey SILT NATURAL: Very stiff, very slightly plastic, orange clayey SILT: damp Stiff, slightly plastic, orange silty CLAY: damp -becoming orange and light grey -becoming moderately plastic, light grey with orange and occasional red streaks -@1.6m; becoming very stiff -becoming stiff		Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Peal Strength (kl	Remould Strength (kl	Sensitivit		Samples Other Test	
End of borehole @ 3.0 m (Target Depth) Groundwater not encountered during drilling Standing groundwater on 24/10/13 measured @ 1.5m 4.0 4.5 5.0	Tauranga Group Materials	Iopsoil -becoming intermixed with orange clayey SILT NATURAL: Very stiff, very slightly plastic, orange clayey SILT: damp Stiff, slightly plastic, orange silty CLAY: damp -becoming orange and light grey -becoming moderately plastic, light grey with orange and occasional red streaks -@1.6m; becoming very stiff -becoming stiff becoming stiff -becoming stiff		0.5 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0	24/10/13				lo	Poss U UT -2	-487. 2~

CI	IENT: Bell Rose Trust	LOGGED: CHECKEI	: ZM D: MF		SHEE	ET: S	9 of 2	21 JOB REF: 5123
LC	DCATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville	DIAMETE SV DIAL:	R: 50r DR	nm 4814	DATE	:	18/10/	HAND AUGER No: HA9
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground Le 49.8	evel:	vel	ak (Pa)	d (Pa)	ity	Comments
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Le	Su - Pea Strength (I	Remoul Strength (h	Sensitiv	Samples Other Test
Tauranga Group Materials	 -becoming intermixed with orange clayey SILT NATURAL: Very stiff, very slightly plastic, orange clayey SILT: damp -becoming orange with grey streaks Stiff, slightly to moderately plastic, orange with grey streaks, silty CLAY: damp Stiff, very slightly plastic, light grey with orange and red streaks, silty CLAY: damp -becoming moderately plastic, light grey with orange and red streaks, silty CLAY: damp -becoming moderately plastic -becoming moderately plastic -becoming light grey -becoming light grey with orange streaks, moist -becoming orange with light grey streaks 		= 0.5 = 1.0 = 1.5 = 2.0 = 2.5 = 3.0 = 3.5 = 4.0 = 4.5 = 4.5	23/10/13	138+ 138+ 125 125 128 129 128 129 128 129 128 129 128 129	64 61 62 63 64 64 64 64	2.0 2.1 1.8 3.1 1.5 1.4 1.8	Peoposto Li 48.4 Lut - 1.4m.
	GEOTEK 1/55 Druces Road, Manukau Phone (64-9) 261-0169 Fa	Central PO csimile (64-9	Box 21	CE 7-172, 48	Botany E-ma	Juncti il enqu	ion, Au	UCKland 2164 @geotek.co.nz

××××3

CL	-IENT: Bell Rose Trust	LOGGE CHECK	D: JB ED: MF	-	SHE	ET:	10 of	21 JOB REF: 5123
LC	CATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville	DIAMET SV DIAI	FER: 50 L: DF	mm 74830	DAT	E:	18/10/	HAND AUGER No: HA10
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground 48	Level:		a)	a)		
	Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test
		111	_					PLOPOSAD PL 48.2
	-	111	-	-		-		Cut -0.1m.
	WARNIN AT - Stiff moderately plastic grou yory silty	111	E		07			
	CLAY with some fine sands: wet	×	- 0.5		87	24	3.6	
	-becoming moist to wet with some browny grey streaks	* * *	_					
	returned	× × × ×	-		85	18	4.7	
	becoming mainly browny grey and less silty	x x x x	-1.0					
	- Occoming manny browny grey and loss sing	xxxx						
	-becoming moderately to highly plastic	x x x X X X	_	V	101	41	2.5	
	E	X X X X X X	- 1.5	0/13				
	-	× × × ×	-	3/10	105	43	2,4	
S		× × × ×	E	N	477			
ria	-	x x x x	-					
late		x x x x x x	-2.0		130	53	2.5	
P M	-very minor orange streaks present -becoming slightly plastic, grey with orange streaks and	x x x * x x	-					
mo	more silty	x x x x x x	E		102	91	17	
ga Gi	silty	* * * * * * * * * * * *	<u> </u>		103	61	1.7	
uran	-becoming firm, moderately to highly plastic, grey with orange streaks, slightly more silty, moist to wet	× × × × × × × × × × × ×			73	43	1.7	Despend by IP on 23/10/13
Ta	Firm, highly plastic, grey with minor orange streaks, silty	×	<u> </u>		71	32	2.2	Deepened by 3D on 20 rorro
		x x x X X X						
	-	* * * * * * * *	- 25		55	24	-	
		x x x x	- 3.5					
	-	x x x x x x	-					
		* * * * * * * *			73	32		
		× × ×	- 4.0					
	becoming stiff with more orange streaks present	x x x			91	30		
	-becoming mainly orange with minor grey streaks, slightly	XXXX			01	00	1	
	more silty	x x x X X X	-4.5					
		XXXX	-		120	36		
	Stiff, slightly to moderately plastic, dark grey, slightly	XXX	-				-	
	-becoming very stiff	-x-x-	- 5.0		100.			
	End of borehole @ 5.0 m Target Depth 3.0m - deepened to 5.0m on 23/10/13 Groundwater not encountered during drilling Standing groundwater on 23/10/13 measured @ 1.3m				138+			
	GEOTEK	SEF	RVI	CE	S	LI	M	ITED

CL	JENT:	Bell Rose Trust		LOGGE CHECK	D: ZN ED: MF	1	SHE	ET:	11 of	21	JOB REF: 5123		
LC	OCATION :	84 - 90 Hobson Hobsonville	ville Road			ER: 50	mm 34814	DAT	E:	18/10/	13	HAND AUGEF	HA11
-		SOIL DESCR	IPTION		Ground 4	Level:		a)	a)			an and a second s	
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Lev	Su - Peal Strength (kF	Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivity		Comment Samples Other Tes	s :t
Tauranga Group Materials	-becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming becoming 	g intermixed with o IL: Very stiff, sligh Y: damp g moderately plastic g stiff, orange with erately plastic, oran ump g light grey with oran streaks present g slightly plastic, m Toborehole @ 3.0 m (To dwater encountered @ ng groundwater on 23	range silty CL atly plastic, ora light grey and a ange and light gr ange streaks ore silty Garget Depth) 2.9m during dr /10/13 measured	AY nge and grey, some dark grey rey, silty illing i@ 0.9m			23/10/13	138+ 122 89 84 104 95 81 81 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	61 56 52 70 66 41		Riot	6363 fr ut -1:	48.3 2m
						N TE /	137	C					
			GEC	JIEK	SER			S		IAIT			

CL	IENT:	Bell Rose Trust			LOGGE CHECK	D: ZN ED: MF	A =	SHE	ET:	12 of	21	JOB REF:	5123
LC	CATION :	84 - 90 Hobson Hobsonville	ville Road		DIAMET SV DIAL	ER: 50	mm R4814	DAT	E:	18/10/	/13	HAND AUGER No:	HA12
	Topsoil Fill	SOIL DESCR	IPTION Sand Gravel	Peat Rock	Ground 51 pue6e T	Cepth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Tes	5
Tauranga Group Materials FILL	FILL (No with rare of different s -becoming NATURA silty CLA -becomin -becomin -becomin -becomin -becomin -becomin -becomin -becomin -becomin -becomin -becomin	on-engineered?): S prange streaks, clay izes: damp g stiff L: Stiff, highly pla Y: moist g moderately plasti g mostly orange g orange and light g g light grey, orange g light grey and ora g slightly plastic, li g more silt rich, ora prehole @ 3.0 m (Targ ater not encountered of groundwater on 24/10	lightly plastic, grey ey SILT with grave stic, orange and lig c grey and red inge ght grey, orange and nt grey inge, red and light g ret Depth) huring drilling //13 measured @ 1.6m	brown ls of ht grey, d red rey		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0	24/10/13				Fill i sew	oloshs U ut j -	ceramic
		(\mathbf{M})	GEOI	EK	SEF	RVI	CE	S	LI	M	[T]	ED	

CL	IENT: Bell Rose Trust	LOGGE	ED: GN KED: MF	Л/JB =	SHE	ET:	13 of	21	JOB REF:	5123
LC	DCATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville	DIAME SV DIA	TER: 50 L: GV	mm /1335	DAT	E:	23/10	/13	HAND AUGER No:	HA13
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Ground Ground Fedend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	5
Tauranga Group Materials	Topsoil NATURAL: Stiff, slightly plastic, grey with orange streaks, silty CLAY: damp -becoming moist -becoming grey with minor orange streaks -becoming lighter grey with minor orange streaks -becoming lighter grey with minor orange streaks -becoming light grey with minor orange streaks -becoming light grey with orange streaks -becoming light grey with orange streaks -becoming grey with orange and red streaks -becoming grey with orange with grey streaks, very silty CLAY: moist -becoming slightly plastic, orange with grey streaks, very silty CLAY: moist -becoming slightly plastic Stiff, slightly to moderately plastic, orange with minor grey streaks, very silty CLAY: wet			23/10/13	126 108 102 90 92 92 64 64 62 118	60 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 36 32 38	2.1 2.3 2.1 1.9 1.9 1.9	Deep	ened by JB on	23/10/13
WDM*	Very stiff, slightly to moderately plastic, medium grey with orange mottles, very clayey SILT with some sand: wet End of borehole @ 4.0 m Target Depth 3.0m - deepened to 4.0m on 23/10/13 Groundwater not encountered during drilling Standing groundwater on 23/10/13 measured @ 2.2m		4.0		138+					
	GEOTEK	SEI	RVIC	CE	S	LI	M	T	ED	

CI	LIENT:	Bell Rose Trust			LOGGE	D: GI ED: M	M F	SHE	ET:	14 of	21	JOB REF:	5123
L	DCATION :	84 - 90 Hobson Hobsonville	ville Road		DIAMET SV DIAL	ER: 50 : G\	mm /1335	DAT	E:	23/10/	/13	HAND AUGEI No:	R HA14
		SOIL DESCR		—	Ground 43. P	Level: 8 E	Level	Peak th (kPa)	th (kPa)	sitivity		Commen Samples	ts s
	Fill		Gravel	Rock	Leger	Depth	Wate	Su - Streng	Streng	Sen		Other Te	st
*WGM Tauranga Group Materials Fill	FILL (No orange an gravels: n -becoming -becoming CLAY (M Firm, high CLAY: w -becoming	on-engineered?): F d grey, silty CLAY toist g grey with orange AL: Firm, moderate ullock?) with fine r ily plastic, creamy et g saturated g grey with slightly lerately plastic, slig LT: saturated , slightly plastic, grey yey SILT: wet	Tirm, slightly pla with frequent f streaks and brow ootlets: moist brown/tan color brown/tan color htly brownish stain htly brownish stain pey to medium g farget Depth) uring drilling @ ompletion measur s	astic, brown fine to medium wn mottles mish grey, silty ured, silty grey, very rey, slightly 1.6m ed @ 0.9m			23/10/13	UTP 72 56 50 64 138+ 138+ 138+	32 32 24 22 22	2.3	Grav	Drilled in C posko U fur t els	Jully 48-1 4-3~
						- - - 5.0							
		A	GEC	DTEK	SER	VIC	CE	S	LI	M	TF	ED	

C	LIENT:	Bell Rose Trust			LOGGE CHECK	D: JB ED: MF		SHE	ET:	15 of	21	JOB REF:		5123
L	LOCATION : 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville				DIAMET SV DIAL	ER: 50	mm {4830	DAT	E:	18/10/	'13	HAND AUG No:	GER	HA15
	Topsoil Fill	SOIL DESCR	Sand Gravel	√ Peat ■ Rock	Ground 46 puab 9	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Comm Samı Other	nents ples Test	
Tauranga Group Materials	-becoming white and -becoming -becoming -becoming -becoming	L: Stiff, moderate eaks, silty CLAY: n ecoming light grey g light grey with or g orange with grey g light grey with ora g very light grey with orange clasts rehole @ 3.0 m (Targ ater not encountered of groundwater on 23/10	ly plastic, oran noist to wet ange streaks streaks ange streaks th orange streak get Depth) during drilling)/13 measured @	ge with greyish ks, and fine		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0	23/10/13		36 53 53 49 49 49 41 53 53 49 41		Res	Posto I Cut -	20	<u>ψι. 2</u> ~.

GEOTEK SERVICES LIMITED

CI	LIENT:	Bell Rose Trus	Ę		LOGGE	D: ZN ED: MI	N F	SHE	ET:	16 of	21	JOB REF:	5123
LC	OCATION :	84 - 90 Hobsor	wille Road		DIAMET	TER: 50	mm	DAT	E:	18/10/	/13	HAND AUGER	
	1	Hobsonville	IDTION		SV DIAL Ground	_: DF	R4814	~		-	1	No:	HAIO
	Topsoil Fill		Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	47 Puegend	Depth (m)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa	Sensitivity		Comments Samples Other Test	
Tauranga Group Materials FILL	-becomin	g slightly plastic and gravels: damp L: Stiff, slightly p Y: damp g moderately plast g slightly plastic and g orange with light g light grey and ora f borehole @ 3.0 m (dwater encountered on ng groundwater on 2	ange Target Depth) @2.8m during dril 3/10/13 measured	ling @ 0.8m		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0	23/10/13		38 46 56 58 58 52 58 52 58 52 58 52 58 52 58 52 58 52 58 52 58 52 58 58 52 58 52 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58		fro.	Posts lu ut - 2.2	4
			GEC	TEK	SER	RVIG	CE	S	LI		(TF	ED	

CI	LIENT: Bell Rose Trust	LOGGED: CHECKED:	JB/ ZM MF	SHE	ET;	17 of	f 21	JOB REF:	512	23
LC	DCATION : 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road	DIAMETER:	50mm	DAT	E:	23/10)/13	HAND AUGE	ER HA	17
-		Ground Level:	DR4830			1	1	INO:	1174	
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Fill Silt Gravel Rock	44.8 (III) Depth Depth	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Comme Sample Other Te	nts es est	
Fill	FILL (Non-engineered): Topsoil with wood and gravel inclusions -becoming very slightly plastic with frequent small, round white stones present -becoming firm -becoming slightly plastic, brown, more clayey, moist to wet -becoming stiff abundant gravels -becoming very stiff, highly plastic, orange and light grey with brown topsoily streaks, silty CLAY with a range of gravels (including pieces of ceramic brick): moist -becoming stiff, dark brown and orange, no more gravels		.5 .0 .5	53 91 UTP UTP	22	2.4	No re until (Deep on 24	Dened by ZM 4/10/13 TSIDE DOPO Sho ALTHWOO	from 1.6	ie im
Tauranga Group Materials	NATURAL: Stiff, moderately plastic, grey and orange, silty CLAY: damp -becoming light grey and orange -becoming slightly plastic, more silt rich: moist -becoming very stiff -becoming stiff -becoming orange with some light grey streaks: wet End of borehole @ 5.0 m (Target Depth) Deepened on 24/10/13 Groundwater not encountered during drilling Standing groundwater not present on completion	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	5 0 5 0	108 128 138+ 126 126 104 133 91	58 80 63 63 64 70 64					
	End of borehole @ 5.0 m (Target Depth) Deepened on 24/10/13 Groundwater not encountered during drilling Standing groundwater not present on completion		0	91	64				1992 - 1992 - 1997 - 19 92	

IENT: Bell Rose Trust	LOGGED: JB CHECKED: MF		SHEET:	18 of 21	JOB REF: 5123
OCATION : 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville	DIAMETER: 50mi SV DIAL: DR4	m 830	DATE:	23/10/13	HAND AUGER No: HA18
SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Ground Level: 40.4 (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E)	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa) Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test
FILL (Non-engineered?): Non plastic, topsoily clayey SILT: damp Stiff, moderately plastic, brown mottled with orange and grey, silty CLAY: moist -becoming very stiff Slightly to moderately plastic, dark greyish brown clayey SILT: moist with a slight reductive odour -becoming stiff -piece of black plastic bag encountered	0.5		103 32 138+ 107 18	3.2 5.9	2.9m.
 -becoming moderately to highly plastic, light grey with orange and some reddish orange streaks, silty CLAY: moist -becoming moderately to highly plastic, light grey with greyish brown and minor orange streaks -becoming wery light grey -becoming very light grey -becoming very highly plastic, moist to wet -becoming firm -becoming inderately to highly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks -becoming moderately to highly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks -becoming moderately to highly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks -becoming moderately to highly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks -becoming moderately to highly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks -becoming moderately to highly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks -becoming moderately to highly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks -becoming moderately to highly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks -becoming moderately to highly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks -becoming moderately to highly moderately and wet 	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	23/10/13	99 39 99 39 105 43 105 43 105 43 114 43 99 45 99 45 99 45 103 43 99 45 99 45 99 45 99 45 99 43 99 45 99 45 99 32 99 32 93 32 93 32 93 32 93 41 94 3 95 32 93 45 94 3 95 3 95 45 95 3 95 3 95 3 95 3 95 3 95	2.5 2.4 2.4 2.7 2.7 2.2 2.4 1.5 1.8 1.8	
	Iten 1: Bell Hose Trust CATION : 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville SOIL DESCRIPTION Image: Solid S	IEN1: Bell Hose trust LocateD: ds CATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville DIALECKED: MF DIAMETER: 50m SV DIAL: DIAMETER: 50m SV DIAL: SOIL DESCRIPTION Grown Love: 40.4 B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	Image: Sell Hose Frust LoddeD: Jds CATION : 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville DIALE CKED: MF SOIL DESCRIPTION Soil DESCRIPTION DR4830 Fill : Silt Gravel Rock Fill : Soil plastic, brown mottled with orange and grey, silty CLAY: moist 0.5 -becoming very stiff Slight reductive odour 0.5 -becoming wery stiff Slight reductive odour 0.5 -becoming moderately plastic, dark greyish brown clayey Slight reductive odour 1.0 -becoming moderately to highly plastic, light grey with grey/sih brown and minor orange streaks 1.0 1.5 -becoming wery light grey 2.0 Stream of the st	IEN1: Bell Hose Frust DGGED: JB SHEET: CATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville DATE: DATE: SOIL DESCRIPTION Soil According to the second s	IEN1: Bell Hoss frust Bell Hoss frust CATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville Bell Hoss frust SOLL DESCRIPTION Soll DESCRIPTION Granul we peat Soll Description DATE: 23/10/13 Topsoil Clay Sand Gravel March Keels Bell Hoss Bell Hoss



GEOTEK SERVICES LIMITED

LOCATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville DIAMETER: 50mm SV DIAL: DATE: 23/10/13 HAND AUGER No: HAND AUGER HAND AUGER SOIL DESCRIPTION Topsoil Clay Site and the peat Gravel Topsoil Topsoil Site and the peat Gravel Topsoil Topsoil Site and the peat Gravel Topsoil Topsoil	С	LIENT: Bell Rose Trust	LOGGED: CHECKED:	GM/JB MF	SHEET:	19 of 21	JOB REF:	5123
SOIL DESCRIPTION Grand Lower Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Topsoil	L	DCATION: 84 - 90 Hobsonville Road Hobsonville	DIAMETER: SV DIAL:	50mm GV1335	DATE:	23/10/13	HAND AUGER No:	HA19
Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Result	-	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ground Leve 41.3		a) a)			
NATURAL: Suff, slightly plastic, grey with minor orange streaks, silty CLAY: moist 0.5 92 38 2.4 -minor brown streaks -root 92 38 2.4		Topsoil Clay Sand Peat Fill Silt Gravel Rock	Legend	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kF Remould Strength (kF	Sensitivity	Comments Samples Other Test	
-becoming singhtly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks, no sand Very stiff, slightly to moderately plastic, dark grey, very clayey SILT: moist -becoming saturated -becoming saturated	Tauranga Group Materials	NATURAL: Stiff, slightly plastic, grey with minor orange streaks, silty CLAY: moist -minor brown streaks -root Stiff, slightly plastic, grey with minor orange streaks, very silty CLAY: moist Stiff, slightly plastic, grey with minor orange streaks, very silty CLAY: moist -brown streak -brown streak -becoming firm -becoming orange with grey streaks -becoming stiff -becoming stiff -becoming stiff -becoming medium grey -becoming medium grey -becoming medium to dark grey, slightly sandy		0.5 .0 .5 .0 .5 .0 .5 .0	I I 92 38 92 38 108 34 108 34 108 34 108 34 92 26 92 26 92 26 92 26 92 30 72 30 68 38 92 30 102 38 1114 40 103 30	2.4 2.4 3.2 3.2 2.4 3.5 2.4 1.8 2.7 Deep 2.9 3.4	pened by JB on 23	3/10/13
-becoming slightly plastic, slightly sandy -becoming slightly plastic, slightly sandy End of borehole @ 5.0 m Target Depth 3.0m - deepened to 5.0m on 23/10/13 Groundwater encountered @ 4.2m during drilling Standing groundwater on completion measured @ 3.4m	Waitemata Group	 -becoming slightly plastic, dark grey with minor orange streaks, no sand Very stiff, slightly to moderately plastic, dark grey, very clayey SILT: moist -becoming saturated -becoming slightly plastic, slightly sandy End of borehole @ 5.0 m Target Depth 3.0m - deepened to 5.0m on 23/10/13 Groundwater encountered @ 4.2m during drilling Standing groundwater on completion measured @ 3.4m 	× × × – × × × – × × × × – × × × × – × × × ×	0. 5. 0.	120 41 120 41 138+ 138+ 138+ 138+ 138+ 138+ 138+ 138+	2.9		

C	LIENT:	Bell Rose Trus	t		LOGGE CHECK	D: G ED: M	M F	SHE	ET:	20 of	21	JOB REF:	5123
L	OCATION :	84 - 90 Hobsor Hobsonville	nville Road		DIAME SV DIAI	TER: 50 _: G\	mm /1335	DAT	E;	23/10/	'13	HAND AUGER No:	HA20
	Topsoil	SOIL DESCR	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Ground 38 pueben	Level: .4 (m) utdeo	Water Level	Su - Peak Strength (kPa)	Remould Strength (kPa)	Sensitivity		Commen Samples Other Tes	ts 3 st
Tauranga Group Materials	FILL (No clayey SI NATURA CLAY: w - becoming - becoming - becoming - becoming - becoming - becoming - becoming - becoming	on-engineered?): H LT: moist AL: Firm, highly pl et g saturated g stiff, moderately ntly plastic, slightly g moderately plastic g firm, roots encour	Firm, non-plastic lastic, creamy br plastic, moist y brownish grey, c, grey ntered	clayey SILT:		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0	23/10/13	72 66 134 92 118 86 68 68 72	24 20 24 50 60 46 46 46	3.0 3.0 3.3 5.6 1.8 2.0 1.9 1.5	Au fu	oposko fu oho lu u 221	вши ЦО:6, Л.
WGM*	-becoming -becoming Slightly pl moist Very stiff, CLAY: m Very stiff, moist -some darl End of Groun Standi * Wait	g slightly plastic <u>g stiff</u> lastic, very slightly , slightly plastic, gr <u>oist</u> , slightly plastic, m k grey streaks f borehole @ 5.0 m (' dwater encountered of ng groundwater on c temata Group Materia	r greenish grey, c rey to medium gr edium grey, clay (@ 1.0m during dri completion measure als	elayey SILT: rey, silty rey SILT: lling ed @ 0.7m		3.5 		137 84 138+ 138+ 138+	38	2.2			

1/55 Druces Road, Manuk Phone (64-9) 261-0169

GEOTEK SERVICES LIMITED

C	LIENT:	Bell Rose Trus	t		LOGGE CHECK	D: GN ED: MF	л -	SHE	ET:	21 of	21	JOB REF:	5	123
L	OCATION :	84 - 90 Hobson Hobsonville	ville Road		DIAMET SV DIAL	ER: 50	mm /1335	DAT	E:	24/10/	/13	HAND AUGEF	R -	A21
an a	1	SOIL DESCR	RIPTION		Ground	Level:		a)	(a)					
	Topsoil Fill	Clay Silt	Sand Gravel	₩ Peat Rock	Legend	Depth (m)	Water Leve	Su - Peak Strength (kP	Remould Strength (kP	Sensitivity		Comment Samples Other Tes	s st	
*WGM Tauranga Group Materials	Topsoil NATURA dark orang - Firm, high - becoming - root enco - CLAY: wo - Find of Groum - Standin * Waite	AL: Firm, moderat ge streaks, silty CI ally plastic, creamy vet g saturated g soft, grey g firm ountered ly plastic, grey to n et f borehole @ 3.0 m (dwater encountered ing groundwater on c emata Group Materia	ely plastic, dark AY (Mullock?): brownish grey, i nedium grey, ve Target Depth) @ 1.0m during dri ompletion not enc ls	grey with fine moist silty CLAY: ry silty lling ountered		0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0			18 24 22 34 34 36 38 38 34	3.4 3.4 1.7 1.6 1.3 1.5 3.7 3.3 4.0	CRE	Drilled in G Dutside Loposes Althur	iully of or x	S,
			GEC	TEK :	SER	- 5.0		S :			TE	ED		

86 Hobsonville Road - Light Industrial



86 Hobsonville Road - Light Industrial





86 Hobsonville Road - Light Industrial



86 Hobsonville Road - Light Industrial











Geotek Solutions Ltd

86 Hobsonville Road - Light Industrial













	22	HMM2 1.701		:+006 1.7446e+006 1.74465	Geotek	rate Groundwater.slim
	Reaufis Reaufis Surfaces Type: Charuna Surfaces Type: Charuna Surfaces Type: Charuna Surfaces Type: Surfaces Divisions along sciper: CD Divisions to use and merit Divisions to use and and merit Divisions to use and and and Divisions to use and and Divisions to use and and Divisions to use and and Divisions to use and and Division to use and and Division to use and and Division to use and and Division to use and Divisiont to us	KNin2 20.00 kNin2 20.00 kNin2 12		1.7445e+006 1.7445 sonville Road	Groundwater Company	File Name MOG
		20.00 kVim2 20.001		1.74445e+006 86 -88 Hob	Moderate Scale 1:1500)4 PM
		0 kN/m2 20 00 kN/m2	Consider Phil Water Surface Hu Type (Bea) (deg) (deg) Mater Surface Hu Type 5 30 Water Surface Automatcally Calculated 23 5 Water Surface Automatcally Calculated 10 32 Water Surface Automatcally Calculated	1.744 0 +006	EC	20/05/2019, 6:27:0
		00 kNim2 20.00 kNim2 20.00 kNim2 20.0	Material Name Color Unit Weight (KeV/ms) Strength Type Engineeed Fill 13 Mohr-Coulomb Thurangi Group Materials 13 Mohr-Coulomb Valtemata Group Materials 13 Mohr-Coulomb	+006 1.74435e+006 Project	Analysis Description	Date
Factor 0.900 1.000 1.100 1.200 1.400 1.500 1.600 1.700	1.800 1.900 2.000 2.100 2.200 2.300 2.400+	S.		e+006 1.7443e	sien	
96+006 5.92495e+006	6.92485e+006 5.924g	2.92486+006		1.744256		SLIDEINTERPRET 6.033

Real Control Co	12 20.00 kNm2 20.00 kNm2 20.00 kNm2 20.00 kNm2 12.00 km2 12	Image: Strategy and Strate	2 ware strine Automately calculated 1.7444e+006 1.7445e+006 1.7445e+006 1.7446e+006 1.7445e+006	86 -88 Hobsonville Road	Extreme Groundwater	EC EC Scale 1:1500 Company Geotek Geotek	20/05/2019, 6:27:04 PM Extreme Groundwater.slim
	m2 20.00 kN/m2 20.00 kN/m2 20.00 kN/m2	terial Name Color Unit Vieigin Strength Type Coheris (intered Fill 13 Mohr Coulom 25 strong Mohr Coulom 28	a Croup Materials 18 Mohr Coulomb 10 00 10 11 714356+006	Project	Analysis Description	Drawn By	Date
ety Factor 1.000 1.100 1.200 1.200 1.400 1.500 1.600 1.700 1.800 2.000	2.100 2.200 2.300 2.400+	Mark	waitume waitume 425e+006 1.7443e+006				T 6.033
2.92496+006 5.92495e+006	900+9585426-006	900+98456-900	5.92475e+006 1.74		0		SLIDEINTERPRET