TO Warren Maclennan

FROM Petra Burns



DATE 28 November 2024

SUBJECT Update requested to the Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in Part 2016) (AUP)

I request an update to the AUP as outlined below:

Reason for update	Alteration to designation confirmed
Chapter(s)	Chapter K
	Vector Limited
Designation only	
Designation #	Designation 8866 – Sandspit Substation Vector Ltd
Locations:	Mahurangi East Road (Lot 1 DP 426584)
Lapse Date	31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior
Purpose	Substation
Changes to text (shown in underline and strikethrough)	Updates to conditions to reflect the changes to the site layout. Conditions that refer to plans have been updated to include new plans. Refer to attachments 2 and 3.
Changes to diagrams	Replacement Landscape plan. Refer to attachments 2 and 3.
Changes to spatial data	Adjustment to designation boundary. Refer to attachment 4.
Attachments	Attachment 1: Section 181(3) Auckland Council Recommendation and request from Vector Limited Attachment 2: Strike through and underline text Attachment 3: Clean text Attachment 4: GIS before and after alteration

Maps prepared by: Danica Torres Geospatial Specialist	Text Entered by: Diana Luong Planning Technician
Signature:	Signature:
₽	det.
Prepared by:	Reviewed by:
Petra Burns	Peter Vari
Policy Planner	Team Leader

Signature:

Signature:

Na B

PVari

Authorised by: Warren Maclennan Manager Planning – Regional North West and Islands

Signature:

Warrat Maclina .

Attachment 1: Section 181(3) Auckland Council Recommendation and request from Vector Limited

Alteration to Designation 8866 – Sandspit substation under s181(3) of the Resource Management Act 1991



Summary

Auckland Council has received a request from Vector under section 181(3) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), dated 19 September 2024, to alter Designation 8866 – Sandspit substation.

It is considered after undertaking an assessment of the notice, that the proposed alteration meets the statutory tests of section 181(3) of the RMA and can therefore be processed and confirmed as a minor alteration.

Recommendation

- 1. That the proposed alteration of Designation 8866 Sandspit substation. in the Auckland Unitary Plan be confirmed, subject to the conditions recommended in Section 4 of this report for the following reasons:
- the alteration involves no more than minor changes to the effects on the environment associated with the use of the land;
- there are only minor adjustments to the boundaries of the existing designation;
- both the requiring authority and Auckland Council agree with the alteration; and
- the land is owned and occupied by Vector Limited.
- 2. That Designation 8866 Sandspit substation is altered in the designation map layer and Chapter K Designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

1. Background

1.1. Minor alteration to a designation

Auckland Council has received a notice of requirement (NoR) for an alteration to Designation 8866 – Sandspit substation from Vector under section 181(3) of the RMA.

The changes proposed to the designation include:

- 1. Updating the proposed site layout to reflect new best practice that involves separating the switchroom and two transformer bays into two buildings, where originally it was proposed that these would all be housed in one building,
- 2. As a result of this change in building layout and mass, a change in landscaping is proposed to adequately screen the proposed buildings,
- 3. Subsequently, a boundary adjustment is required to accommodate the new landscape plan. This results in an increase in site size by 255m² (Figure 1

below). The subdivision required to formalise this has been completed, and the adjacent landowner whose land is required to enlarge the site has provided written approval for this alteration.

1.2. Land affected by the alteration

The land affected by the alteration to the designation is located at Lot 1 DP 426584, Sandspit Road Snells Beach 0982 and is shown in the Auckland Unitary Plan maps as follows:



The requiring authority has provided a site plan showing the extent of the alteration to the designation boundary (refer to **Figure 3: Updated designation boundary**).

1.3. Description of the site and existing environment

The site is a rural site sloping slightly from north to south. The site and the surrounding land to the south-west is zoned Mixed Rural zone. To the north-east of the site is residential development with a Large Lot zoning and covered by the Rodney Landscape precinct. This appears more like a rural residential area, even though it has a residential zoning in the AUP, with the application of an 8000m² minimum net site area via the precinct rules.



Figure 2: Subject site and immediate receiving environment

1.4. Delegated authority

The Team Leader - Planning Regional North West and Islands has delegated authority, in accordance with Schedule 2A of the Auckland Council Delegations: Chief Executive Officer (updated October 2024), to exercise the council's functions, powers, duties and discretions under the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to section 181(3).

The NoR can therefore be considered by the Team Leader – Planning Regional North West and Islands and confirmed or declined under section 181(3)(c).

1.5. Relevant statutory provisions

Section 181 "Alteration of designation" of the Resource Management Act 1991 states:

- (1) A requiring authority that is responsible for a designation may at any time give notice to the territorial authority of its requirement to alter the designation.
- (2) Subject to subsection (3), sections 168 to 179 and 198AA to 198AD shall, with all necessary modifications, apply to a requirement referred to in subsection (1) as if it were a requirement for a new designation.
- (3) A territorial authority may at any time alter a designation in its district plan or a requirement in its proposed district plan if
 (a) The alteration
 - *(i)* Involves no more than minor changes to the effects on the environment associated with the use or proposed use of land or any water concerned; or

- (ii) Involves only minor changes or adjustments to the boundaries of the designation or requirement; and
- (b) Written notice of the proposed alteration has been given to every owner or occupier of the land directly affected and those owners or occupiers agree with the alteration; and
- (c) Both the territorial authority and the requiring authority agree with the alteration –

and sections 168 to 179 and 198AA to 198AD shall not apply to any such alteration.

(4) This section shall apply, with all necessary modifications, to a requirement by a territorial authority to alter its own designation or requirement within its own district.

2. Analysis of the proposed alteration

The relevant matters to consider are contained in section 181(3) of the RMA as outlined above.

The landscape effects and the landscape assessment provided by Vector (by Isthmus Group) for the application have been considered by Peter Kensington, a Landscape Architect from KPLC Limited on behalf of council. On page 2 (paragraphs 9-11) of his memo, Mr Kensington says:

I concur with the descriptions of the proposal and the existing environment which have been set out within the Application Assessment, as well as the approach to the statutory planning context. I also agree with the findings of the Application Assessment which outline2 that adverse effects from the revised proposal design will be 'slightly more' than the current designation design, primarily because it will be less integrated with the existing natural landform of the site. However, as has the Application Assessment, I conclude that the implementation, maintenance and establishment of the planting that is illustrated in the Application Landscape Concept Plan, will successfully mitigate these adverse landscape effects.

I concur with the identification and evaluation of potentially affected persons on neighbouring properties to the site; and I agree with the assessment's findings for each of these considerations. I also agree with the assessment's findings when considering the potential impacts of the proposal on public views.

Overall, I agree with the conclusions of the Application Assessment that the adverse effects of the revised proposal on landscape and visual amenity values will be similar to those that would arise from the current designation design – noting the importance of the planting mitigation in ensuring this outcome.

I adopt Mr. Kensington's assessment and consider the landscape effects resulting from the change to the site and building layout, and the subsequent changes to the landscape plan, result in less than minor changes to the effects on the environment.

I consider the boundary adjustment to the designation to be minor, and also note that the directly affected landowners have provided written approval for this application.

I concur with the Requiring Authority, Vector Limited, that the application meets the criteria to be considered a minor alteration and can be considered under s181(3) of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I consider that the application passes both tests in s181(3)(a)(i) and (ii), and cumulatively passes all of s181(3)(a), (b) and (c).

2.1. Assessment of Environmental effects (s181(3)(a)(i))

The requiring authority has provided an assessment of environmental effects (AEE) with the NoR. David Hay, a Planner from Osborne Hay, has prepared an AEE and the following effects have been considered:

- Landscape and visual effects
- Construction and operational noise
- Earthworks management
- Traffic
- Infrastructure (stormwater, site servicing)
- Electromagnetic fields

No changes are proposed to the elements and conditions of the designation which deal with construction and operational noise, earthworks management, traffic, stormwater, site-servicing or electromagnetic fields.

The changes to the designation relate to the visual and landscape effects of the site on the receiving environment.

Mr. Hay considers that the proposed alteration to the designation involves no more than minor changes to the effects on the environment. On behalf of the Council, Mr Kensington has considered the landscape assessment submitted with the Notice of Requirement and concluded that effects of the revised proposal will be similar to those that would arise from the current design. Based on that assessment I concur with Mr Hays' assessment that the effects are minor.

2.2. Assessment of minor changes or adjustments to the boundary (s181(3)(a)(ii))

The alteration to the designation involves the following changes to the boundary of the existing designation.

- An additional slice of 86m² along the eastern boundary,
- An adjustment to the southern boundary resulting in an additional 180m².

Figure 3: Updated designation boundary below illustrates the adjustments to the boundary.

I concur with Mr. Hay that the adjustments to the boundary are minor.



Figure 3: Updated designation boundary

2.3. Written notice of the proposed alteration has been given to every owner or occupier of the land directly affected and those owners and occupiers agree with the alteration (s181(3)(b))

The requiring authority has given written notice to Jason and Racheal Melling, owners and occupiers of 12 Mahurangi East Road, Sandspit, the site where the additional land for the designation is being subdivided from. Their agreement has been provided.

2.4. Agreement of both the territorial authority and the requiring authority (181(3)(c))

The alteration to the designation has been requested by the requiring authority, and therefore it agrees to the alteration. Auckland Council agrees with the proposed alteration for the following reasons:

- The alteration involves no more than minor changes to the environmental effects
- The alteration involves only minor changes to the boundary
- The owners and occupiers of all land directly affected by the proposed alteration have been given notice and agree with the proposed alteration
- Adherence with recommended conditions will ensure any potential adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated.

3. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1. Conclusions

The proposed alteration meets the statutory tests of Section 181(3) of the Resource Management Act 1991, in that:

- The alteration involves no more than minor changes to the environmental effects.
- Existing conditions and recommended changes to the conditions will ensure any potential adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated.
- There are only minor changes or adjustments to the boundaries of the existing designation.
- The owners and occupiers of all land directly affected agree with the alteration.
- The council and the requiring authority agree with the alteration.

3.2 Recommendation

- 1. That pursuant to Section 181(3) of the Resource Management Act 1991, Vector's notice of requirement for an alteration to Designation 8866 Sandspit Road is **confirmed** subject to the amended conditions recommended in Section 4 of this report.
- 2. That Designation 8866 Sandspit Road is amended in the Designation overlay and Chapter K Designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan Operative in part as recommended in Section 4 of this report.

4. Agreed alterations

The text alterations are shown below. Amendments are shown as either strikethrough or underlined.

Designation Number	8866
Requiring Authority	Vector Ltd
Location	Mahurangi East Road (Lot 1 DP 426584), Snells Beach
Rollover Designation	Yes
Legacy Reference	Designation 617, Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011
Lapse Date	31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior

8866 Sandspit Substation

Purpose

Substation.

Conditions

General

 The activity shall proceed in general accordance with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement under s181(3) submitted to Council dated <u>2 April 2009</u> <u>19 September 2024</u> and the plans Proposed Site Layout 4262-8024 Rev. 7 dated <u>1/8/2024</u>, Finished Site Contours 4262-8043 Rev 2 dated <u>18/7/2024</u>, Switchroom Elevations 4262-8150 Rev. 4 dated <u>30/4/2024</u> and Transformer Enclosure Elevations 4262-8350 Rev. 3 dated <u>28/2/2024</u> drawn by <u>Vector</u> Limited. Construkt Architects Limited, including the Proposed Site Plan dated <u>20/04/09</u>, Basement & Ground Floor Plans and Building Sections plans dated <u>1/04/09</u>, and the Building Elevation plan dated <u>7/07/09</u> all numbered <u>L55148</u> and signed by Processing Planner Laura Christian, dated <u>08/06/09</u>.

Outline Plan

2. If either the final design and layout of the substation does not reflect the design and layout submitted with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement <u>under s181(3)</u>, or if there are any future additional works proposed that are not permitted by the Unitary Plan, an outline plan shall be submitted in accordance with section 176A of the Resource Management Act 1991 to the Auckland Council, before any construction is commenced. However, where the future additional work is in accordance with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement <u>under s181(3)</u> or is permitted by the Unitary Plan, then the requirement for an Outline Plan is waived under s176A(2).

Landscape Plan

 The landscaping plan (Isthmus Group Ltd, Drawing <u>01.01 Rev. Proposed Landscape Plan</u> 2633, Figure 5, dated <u>29/4/2024</u> <u>6/07/09</u>) shown in Appendix 15AA(i), shall be implemented during the first planting season after the construction of the substation. The landscaping shall be maintained thereafter.

Fencing

4. The applicant shall construct <u>fencing</u> a post and batten fence around the perimeter of the substation site <u>in accordance with Drawing Civil Services Layout 4262-8031 Rev. 5</u> prior to the substation becoming operational.

Traffic Management Plan

5. Prior to any construction being undertaken, a temporary Traffic Management Plan is required to be submitted to Council and approved by Manager - Resource consents and Compliance in consultation with Auckland Transport (the road controlling authority).

Access

- 6. The following measures shall be implemented prior to the operation of the substation:
- a. Driveway and access to be constructed to a best practice standard;
- b. A sightline covenant as shown in the plans provided with the subdivision application (to the east if the driveway) is to be established;

- c. The small bunch of agapanthus within the road reserve near the driveway crossing for 836
 Sandspit Road is to be removed at the cost of the applicant, to the approval of the Manager
 Resource Consents and Compliance;
- d. Further details of the drainage design for the driveway should be provided by the applicant, including culvert size and end treatment; and
- e. The open channel surrounding the driveway shall be built up to a slope no steeper than 1 in 4 on either side of the driveway.

Construction Noise

- 7. Noise from construction activities shall not exceed the limits recommended in and shall be measured and assessed in accordance with, NZS6803:1999, Acoustics Construction Noise. Note that the noise limit between the hours of 2000 to 0630 weekdays, 1800 to 0630 Saturdays and at all times on Sundays and Public Holidays, may mean that no construction work can take place.
- 8. (operation noise) The operational noise from the substation shall not exceed the following limits at the notional boundary (notional boundary is defined as a line 20m from the side of a rural dwelling existing as at 1 April 2009, or the legal boundary where this is closer to the dwelling):
- a. Monday to Saturday: 6:00am to 6:00pm 55 dBA Leq;
- b. Sundays & Public Holidays: 6:00am to 6:00pm 50 dBA Leq; and
- c. At all other times: 45 dBA Leq 75 dBA Lmax.

Archaeological

- 9. If any artefact, including human remains is exposed during any site works the following procedures shall apply:
- a. Immediately when an artefact is unearthed, all site works shall cease; and
- b. The site supervisor shall immediately secure the area in a way that ensures any artefacts or remains are untouched; and
- c. The site supervisor shall notify the following groups that an archaeological site has been unearthed, so that appropriate action can be taken:
- i. Tangata whenua,
- ii. The New Zealand Historic Places Trust;
- iii. The Department of Conservation;
- iv. The Council's RMA Compliance Administration Officer (telephone 301 0101); and
- v. In the case of human remains the New Zealand Police.

Lapse

10. 31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior

Attachments

Proposed Vector Sandspit Substation Landscape Concept Plan





The boundary alterations are shown below.



Report Prepared by: Petra Burns Planner

Date:

13 November 2024

5. SECTION 181(3) DETERMINATION

Having read the council planner's report and recommendations on the notice or requirement, I am satisfied I have adequate information to consider the matters required by the Resource Management Act 1991 (the RMA) and to make a decision under delegated authority.

Accordingly, the notice of requirement for an alteration to Designation 8866 – Sandspit substation is confirmed under section 181(3) of the RMA as agreed and set out in section 4 of this report.

 Name:
 Peter Vari

 Title:
 Team Leader – Planning Regional North West and Islands

 Signed:
 PVari

Date:

13 November 2024

SCHEDULE OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A:	Designation 8866 – Sandspit substation [Conditions updated]
Attachment B:	Designation 8866 – Sandspit substation updated plans and drawings referenced in the designation conditions
Attachment C;	Assessment of Environmental effects report by Mr. David Hay

Attachment A: Designation 8866 – Sandspit substation [conditions updated]

8866 Sandspit Substation

Designation Number	8866
Requiring Authority	Vector Ltd
Location	Mahurangi East Road (Lot 1 DP 426584), Snells Beach
Rollover Designation	Yes
Legacy Reference	Designation 617, Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011
Lapse Date	31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior

Purpose

Substation.

Conditions

General

 The activity shall proceed in general accordance with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement <u>under s181(3)</u> submitted to Council dated 2 April 2009 <u>19 September 2024</u> and the plans <u>Proposed Site Layout 4262-8024 Rev. 7 dated 1/8/2024</u>, Finished Site Contours 4262-8043 <u>Rev 2 dated 18/7/2024</u>, Switchroom Elevations 4262-8150 Rev. 4 dated 30/4/2024 and <u>Transformer Enclosure Elevations 4262-8350 Rev. 3 dated 28/2/2024</u> drawn by <u>Vector</u> <u>Limited</u>. Construkt Architects Limited, including the Proposed Site Plan dated 20/04/09, Basement & Ground Floor Plans and Building Sections plans dated 1/04/09, and the Building Elevation plan dated 7/07/09 all numbered L55148 and signed by Processing Planner Laura Christian, dated 08/06/09.

Outline Plan

2. If either the final design and layout of the substation does not reflect the design and layout submitted with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement <u>under s181(3)</u>, or if there are any future additional works proposed that are not permitted by the Unitary Plan, an outline plan shall be submitted in accordance with section 176A of the Resource Management Act 1991 to the Auckland Council, before any construction is commenced. However, where the future additional work is in accordance with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement <u>under s181(3)</u> or is permitted by the Unitary Plan, then the requirement for an Outline Plan is waived under s176A(2).

Landscape Plan

 The landscaping plan (Isthmus Group Ltd, Drawing <u>01.01 Rev. Proposed Landscape Plan</u> 2633, Figure 5, dated <u>29/4/2024</u> <u>6/07/09</u>) shown in Appendix 15AA(i), shall be implemented during the first planting season after the construction of the substation. The landscaping shall be maintained thereafter.

Fencing

4. The applicant shall construct <u>fencing</u> a post and batten fence around the perimeter of the substation site <u>in accordance with Drawing Civil Services Layout 4262-8031 Rev. 5</u> prior to the substation becoming operational.

Traffic Management Plan

5. Prior to any construction being undertaken, a temporary Traffic Management Plan is required to be submitted to Council and approved by Manager - Resource consents and Compliance in consultation with Auckland Transport (the road controlling authority).

Access

- 6. The following measures shall be implemented prior to the operation of the substation:
- a. Driveway and access to be constructed to a best practice standard;
- b. A sightline covenant as shown in the plans provided with the subdivision application (to the east if the driveway) is to be established;
- c. The small bunch of agapanthus within the road reserve near the driveway crossing for 836
 Sandspit Road is to be removed at the cost of the applicant, to the approval of the Manager
 Resource Consents and Compliance;
- d. Further details of the drainage design for the driveway should be provided by the applicant, including culvert size and end treatment; and
- e. The open channel surrounding the driveway shall be built up to a slope no steeper than 1 in 4 on either side of the driveway.

Construction Noise

- 7. Noise from construction activities shall not exceed the limits recommended in and shall be measured and assessed in accordance with, NZS6803:1999, Acoustics — Construction Noise. Note that the noise limit between the hours of 2000 to 0630 weekdays, 1800 to 0630 Saturdays and at all times on Sundays and Public Holidays, may mean that no construction work can take place.
- 8. (operation noise) The operational noise from the substation shall not exceed the following limits at the notional boundary (notional boundary is defined as a line 20m from the side of a rural dwelling existing as at 1 April 2009, or the legal boundary where this is closer to the dwelling):
- a. Monday to Saturday: 6:00am to 6:00pm 55 dBA Leq;
- b. Sundays & Public Holidays: 6:00am to 6:00pm 50 dBA Leq; and

c. At all other times: 45 dBA Leq 75 dBA Lmax.

Archaeological

- 9. If any artefact, including human remains is exposed during any site works the following procedures shall apply:
- a. Immediately when an artefact is unearthed, all site works shall cease; and
- b. The site supervisor shall immediately secure the area in a way that ensures any artefacts or remains are untouched; and
- c. The site supervisor shall notify the following groups that an archaeological site has been unearthed, so that appropriate action can be taken:
- i. Tangata whenua,
- ii. The New Zealand Historic Places Trust;
- iii. The Department of Conservation;
- iv. The Council's RMA Compliance Administration Officer (telephone 301 0101); and
- v. In the case of human remains the New Zealand Police.

Lapse

10. 31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior

Attachments

Proposed Vector Sandspit Substation Landscape Concept Plan



Attachment B: Designation 8866 – Sandspit substation updated plans and drawings referenced in the designation conditions



	7			3		_
ND - 3 x 1C - 1 x 3C - 1 x 3C - 3 x 1C - 3 x 1C - 11kV X OTHER	630mm ² CU 33kV XLI 95 ² AL 11kV XLPE IN 400mm ² AL 11kV XLP 500mm ² CU 11kV XLP LPE CABLE TO REPLA S)	PE IN TF I DUCT PE IN DU PE IN TR ACE OVE	REFOIL JCT EFOILI RHEAI	.ED DUC ED DUC D LINE	TS TS (BY	A
- COMMS DUCT	CONTROL/LV DUCTS					В
						с
S ALL DUC FGL, SHA TO RISE	S181 N 01/08/2 TS SHALL BE BURIED ALLOWER DEPTH ACCE TO MEET BUILDING PE	NOR 2024 A MINIM EPTABLE ENETRAT) 1um o 1 whei 10n l	F 900m RE DUC EVELS.	m BELOW TS NEED REFER TO	D
DRAWING ALL DUC FUTURE REFER TU WALLS, INSTALL, EDE5004, RENCE	4262-0082 FOR BUR TS TO HAVE MIN BEN DUCTS FOR T2 TO BE 8062-8031 FOR ADD FENCING AND VEHICLE ATION OF LST AND RI EDE5008 & EDE5009. DRAWINGS	IAL DEP DING RA INSTAL DITIONAL BOLLAI MU TO V	TH AL DIUS LED A DETA RDS. /ECTO	LONG R OF 2.5r AND CA AIL ON R DRAV	OUTE. n. PPED. RETAINING √ING	E
0021/2 0051/1 0082/1 8031/1	33/11kV TRANSFOF EARTHING LAYOUT SUBSTATION DUCT CIVIL SERVICES LA Reference designation Drawing FOR CONSEN stamp:	RMER EN ING TRE YOUT I: IT AND	CLOSU NCH P Scale	JRE PROFILE Drawin at A3:	S g function: Doc type:	F
	Drawing no:		1.230	, Rev: 7	Sheet: 1 of 1	-



	A
	В
	С
	D
s181 NOR 01/08/2024	E
NCE DRAWINGS: /1 EARTHWORKS DESIGN CROSS SECTION LAYOUT Reference designation: Drawing function: Drawing FOR CONSENT AND scale at A3: Doc type: stamp: TENDER 1:300 Drawing no: Rev: Sheet: 4262-8043 2 2 of 2	F









Attachment C: Assessment of Environmental effects report by Mr. David Hay



Applicant:	Vector Limited	b
Site:	Designation Sandspit Sut Un-Numbered Sandspit Roa Beach	8866: ostation – d ad, Snells
Report Title:	Alteration Designation S181(3)	of a under
	Report Date. Report Version:	September 2024 Final



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Proposed Changes	4
3.	Approach to Assessing Effects of the NOR	6
4.	Assessment of the Change of Effects	8
5.	Notification Comment	13

Appendix One: Records of Title

Appendix Two: AUPOP Designation

Appendix Three: Designation Plan

Appendix Four: Written Consent

Appendix Five: Subdivision Consent

Appendix Six: Drawings

Appendix Seven: Proposed Landscape Plan

Appendix Eight: Assessment of Landscape and Visual Amenity Effects

Appendix Nine: Engineering Report

Appendix Ten: Assessment of Acoustic Effects

Appendix Eleven: EMF Review

Appendix Twelve: PSI Report

1. Introduction

Vector Limited ("**Vector**") has a designation in the Auckland Unitary Plan – Operative in Part (AUPOP) (Designation 8866) at Sandspit Road (Lot 1 DP 426584).

At the time of lodging the Notice of Requirement to Designate the site, Vector had been intending to commence construction fairly shortly after the confirmation of the Designation. For this reason, the Notice of Requirement include concept plans for the substation development and a landscaping plan. For various reasons, the construction of the substation did not proceed at that time.

The substation is now required to service the wider area by late 2025 and over the last year Vector has been undertaking the detailed design. Since the original Notice of Requirement was lodged, the design standards and requirements for substations have evolved. For example, transformer bays now need to be separate from switchrooms.

As a result of these changing requirements, the proposed site layout for the substation has changed from the concept submitted with the original Notice of Requirement. The key components, being a switchroom and two transformer bays have not changed but are now to be separated. In addition, an overland flow path along the eastern side of the site has been formalised in the intervening years and this area is now no longer available for development. Furthermore, it is now the preference of Vector for substation sites to be relatively flat.

Although the current designated area is of an adequate size to accommodate the buildings and equipment, there is inadequate room for the boundary landscaping. As a result of this, Vector has an agreement with the adjoining landowner to undertake a boundary adjustment to increase the size of the Vector site by 255 m^2 . An area of 11 m^2 is to be transferred back to the adjoining site. The new lot size is adequate to retain the overland flow path in its current location and to implement a landscaping plan along the site boundaries and within the site.

The subdivision consent for the boundary adjustment has been granted by Council and is attached as Appendix Five. Vector has a sales and purchase agreement in place to purchase the additional land and the new titles will be sought very shortly.

To reflect this change to the site area and the changes to the site layout and landscaping, Vector is lodging this Notice of Requirement ("**NOR**") for the following modifications under s181(3) of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("**the Act**") to Designation 8866. As outlined in Section 3 of this report, s181(3) is dependent on Council agreeing to the proposed modifications.

The following modifications are being sought (additions underline and deletions shown with strikethrough):

General

1 The activity shall proceed in general accordance with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement <u>under</u> <u>s181(3)</u> submitted to Council dated <u>2 April 2009</u> <u>19 September 2024</u> and the plans <u>Proposed Site</u> <u>Layout 4262-8024 Rev. 7 dated 1/8/2024</u>, Finished Site Contours 4262-8043 Rev 2 dated 18/7/2024, <u>Switchroom Elevations 4262-8150 Rev. 4 dated 30/4/2024 and Transformer Enclosure Elevations</u> <u>4262-8350 Rev. 3 dated 28/2/2024</u> drawn by Vector Limited and dated Construckt Architects Limited, including the Proposed Site Plan dated 20/04/09, Basement & Ground Floor Plans and Building Sections plans dated 1/04/09, and the Builiding Elevation plan dated 7/07/09 all numbered L55148 and signed by Processing Planner Laura Christian, dated 08/06/09</u>.

Outline Plan

2 If either the final design and layout of the substation does not reflect the design and layout submitted with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement <u>under s181(3)</u>, or if there are any future additional works proposed that are not permitted by the Unitary Plan, an outline plan shall be submitted in accordance with section 176A of the Resource Management Act 1991 to the Auckland Council, before any construction is commenced. However, where the future additional work is in accordance with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement <u>under s181(3)</u> or is permitted by the Unitary Plan, then the requirement for an Outline Plan is waived under s176A(2).

Landscape Plan

3 The landscaping plan (Isthmus Group Ltd, Drawing 01.01 Rev. A2633, Figure 5-<u>Proposed Landscape</u> <u>Plan</u>, Dated 29/4/2024 6/07/09) shown in Appendix 15AA(i), shall be implemented during the first planting season after the construction of the substation. The landscaping shall be maintained thereafter.

Fencing

4 The applicant shall construct a post and batten fence fencing around the perimeter of the substation site in accordance with Drawing Civil Services Layout 4262-8031 Rev. 5 prior to the substation becoming operational.

Replace the Attachment: Proposed Vector Sandspit Substation: Landscape Concept Plan with the following:

Proposed Landscape Plan, Isthmus Group Ltd, Drawing 01.01 Rev. A, Dated 29/04/2024

The updated designation plan is in Appendix Three.

As the alterations being sought involve no more than a minor change to the effects on the environment associated with the use or proposed use of land and minor adjustments to the boundary of the designation and on the basis that Council is in agreement with the changes, then the alterations to the Designation can be undertaken under s181(3) of the Act.

The written consent of the landowner of 12 Mahurangi East Road (Lot 2 DP426584) is included in Appendix Four. It is considered that there is no other land directly affected by the proposed alterations.

Property Information

Site Address:	Un-Numbered Sandspit Road, Sandspit.
Legal Description:	Lot 1 Deposited Plan 426584. The Record of Title is included as Appendix One.
Site Area:	1398 m ² which is being modified to 1653 m ² .
Underlying Zoning:	Rural – Mixed Rural.
Designation:	8866 Substation. The designation information and conditions from the AUPOP are included in Appendix Two.



Figure One:

AUPOP Planning Map



Photograph One: The Subject Site and Surrounds (from the AC Unitary Plan)

2. Proposed Changes

Appendix Three includes the scheme plan showing the proposed modifications to the boundary. The subdivision consent for the boundary adjustment has been granted and the new titles will be sought shortly.

An additional 266 m^2 of land is to be incorporated into the Vector site and 11 m^2 removed. The proposed alteration to the designation reflects the proposed new site boundary.

Appendix Five includes the design drawings, Appendix Six includes the landscaping plan and Appendix Seven includes the supporting Engineering Report.

The site is to be a 33/11kV substation consisting of two transformers (housed within transformer bays) and a switchroom. This has not changed from the original Notice of Requirement.

The following works are proposed:

- Construction of a new driveway into the site.
- Construction of retaining walls and earthworks to form a level building platform for the substation.
- Construction of two roofed transformer bays each housing a transformer. This will be staged with Transformer T1 being constructed initially.
- Construction of a switchroom.
- Installation of a local transformer and RMU.
- Implementation of landscaping in accordance with drawing Proposed Landscaping Plan, Isthmus Group Drawing 01.01 Rev A. Dated 29/04/2024.
- Installation of fencing (a standard Vector security fence within the site and a post and batten farm fence around the boundary except for part of the front boundary).
- Installation of two underground 4000 litre stormwater detention tanks, an aboveground 5000 litre stormwater retention tank and an underground 3300 litre wastewater collection tank and modify the existing stormwater drainage within and from the site.

Approximately 1566 m³ of earthworks is required over 1,046 m² is required to form the building platform.

Transformers

The new transformers are to be located on a bunded concrete foundation within a transformer bay constructed of concrete panels (which act as a firewall) with louvres along the front and rear walls. The maximum height of the transformer bays is approximately 6.8 m. The total length of each transformer bay will be approximately 8.7m long and 8.3 m wide. The external concrete panels are finished in their natural state and are not painted. The transformer bays are roofed.

Switchroom

The switchroom building will have a maximum height of approximately 4.1 m (which is well below the height limit for the underlying zone), a width of approximately 7 m and a length of approximately 14.2 m. The exterior of the substation building will be pre-cast concrete panels finished in a sandtext alabastar colour (or similar). The roofing will be bondor metrix roofing finished in a surfmist colour or similar. The building has three doors and no windows. Standard residential air-conditioning units will be installed for the cooling of the switchboard room.

Earthworks

Approximately 1566 m³ of earthworks is required over 1,046 m² is required to form the building platform.

A Preliminary Site Investigation has been undertaken for the site and this is included in Appendix Twelve. This report confirms that no HAIL activities have been identified as having occurred on the site. No resource consents are required under the National Environmental Standards for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health Regulations or the AUPOP.

Lighting

Standard residential sensor security lighting will be installed on the corner of the new switchroom with the exact locations to be confirmed at construction stage.

This lighting will be designed to ensure there is minimal light spill onto adjoining properties. All lighting in hours of darkness for the substation will be minimal as all maintenance is normally undertaken in daylight hours. All non-daytime lighting will be restricted to providing assistance for switch operators during fault finding conditions.

Vehicle Access and Parking

There is no existing driveway into the site from Sandspit Road and a new driveway is to be formed.

No specific marked car parking is provided but there is a large sealed area between the switchroom and the transformers for parking, with maintenance crews generally parking in close vicinity to the area they need to access.

It is noted that a new accessway permit will be applied for from Auckland Transport.

Landscaping

A new landscaping plan has been prepared and is included in Appendix Seven. This plan will be implemented the planting season after the construction of the substation (except the second transformer bay) is completed.

Site Servicing

No new site servicing is required.

A new wastewater holding tank, with a 3300 litre capacity, is to be installed and will be regularly emptied out as part of the site maintenance (with this method being used across a number of Vector rural substation sites).

Potable water on the site is by rain harvesting into a water tank.

Both potable water demand and wastewater generation is very low as there are no permanent staff on site.
3. Approach to Assessing Effects of the NOR

The 'activity' for the purposes of this NOR's effects assessment and determining whether or not to notify the NOR is the proposed alteration, as distinct from the existing designation. The effects of the existing designation have already been authorised and are not relevant for the purpose of assessing this NOR.

More specifically, pursuant to sections 181 and 171(1) of the Act, when considering a notice of requirement to alter an existing designation, the Council must consider the effects on the environment of allowing the requirement.

The 'environment' against which the effects of the activity are to be assessed is the 'existing environment'¹ The Courts have specifically confirmed that the 'existing environment' for the purposes of assessing the effects of an alteration to an existing designation includes activities already authorised under the existing designation. In City Rail Link Ltd v Auckland Council [2017] NZEnvC 204, Judge Newhook noted at [43]:

Assessment of effects on the environment from the proposed alterations must take into account the existing environment. We agree with submissions on behalf of the requiring authorities that the existing environment in this case is the physical environment inclusive of the current designation, and that the appropriate comparison is between the existing designation and the [proposed altered designation]. That is an important starting point.

Consequently, the relevant effects of a designation alteration are those effects that are over and above the effects already authorised by the existing designation. In other words, it is the 'delta'/difference between the effects that are authorised by an existing designation and the effects that will be authorised by the alteration that is relevant.

In the present case the relevant effects are limited to the effects associated with the extension of the existing designation footprint and changes to the conditions with respect to updated design and landscaping.

As set out in the Assessment of Landscape and Visual Amenity Effects and in the assessment of effects in this report the effects of the NOR's proposed alteration on the environment have been assessed as minor.

Section 181(3) gives Council powers to alter a designation 'on the papers' without application of sections 168 to 179 provided:

- 171. The alteration involves either:
 - (a) no more than minor changes to the effects on the environment associated with the use or proposed use of land or any water concerned; or
 - (b) only minor changes or adjustments to the boundaries of the designation;
- 7.2 Written notice of the proposed alteration has been given to every owner or occupier of the land directly affected and those owners or occupiers agree with the alteration; and
- 7.3 Council and Vector agree with the alteration.

In relation to paragraph [7.1], as noted above, the effects on the environment of the NOR have been assessed as minor and the boundary adjustments are "minor".

In relation to paragraph [7.2] Vector owns the site of the existing designation. The only other area of land directly affected by the NOR is 12 Mahurangi East Road, being an area of land over which Vector has an agreement to purchase and proposes to extend the designation boundary. Vector has provided written notice of the NOR to the owner/occupier of the land directly affected by the alteration at 12 Mahurangi East Road. They have confirmed that they agree with the alterations proposed by the NOR and their written consent is included in Appendix Four.

¹ Queenstown-Lakes District Council v Hawthorn Estate Ltd CA45/05, 12 June 2006.

Therefore, subject to Council providing its agreement to the alteration, the legal tests for the NOR being processed as a 'minor alteration' under section 181(3) of the RMA have been met. This allows the NOR to be processed efficiently on the papers, without further consideration or process.

In light of the assessments in this NOR, Vector considers that the NOR is of a nature that the Council should agree to exercise its powers under section 181(3) to process as a 'minor alteration'.

Notwithstanding that position, for completeness, should Council not agree to processing the NOR under section 181(3), it can be processed on a non-notified basis pursuant to section 181(2) as the effects of the NOR on any party are less than minor and there are no affected persons under section 149ZCF.

4. Assessment of the Change of Effects

The change of effects resulting from the proposed modifications to the designation are assessed below.

The site currently appears as part of a larger gassed paddock used for stock grazing. There is an overland flow path down the eastern side of the site.

The wider area has not changed substantially since the original Notice of Requirement and remains predominantly a countryside living area.



Photograph One: The Subject Site With the Fenced Overland Flow Path on the Left Hand Side (as at February 2024)



Photograph Two: The Overland Flow Path Looking South (as at February 2024)



Photograph Three: The Site Looking Towards the South East.

Change to Designation Boundaries

The change to the designation boundaries is minimal. The change does not result in any effects on the current use of 12 Mahurangi East Road and results in a more usable area for the Vector substation and the proposed landscaping.

The change to the boundaries do not affect any other parties.

The change to the boundaries does not affect the ability to provide a new vehicle access onto Sandspit Road.

The effects of the change to the designation boundaries are less than minor if not negligible.

Visual and Landscape Effects

A Visual and Landscape assessment has been prepared and is included in Appendix Eight. This assessment concludes:

"In summary, landscape and visual amenity effects from the proposal will be slightly more adverse than the consented landscape plan / 2009 proposal, due to a less "easy" / integrated fit into the existing natural landform of the site. However, as the adverse effects will be at the lower end of the scale, effects are considered to be at a similar level (in terms of acceptability) to those provided by the consented plan.

The actual change in landscape effect between the existing development provided for under the designation and the proposed modifications will be minor, in the long term (with growth of the proposed planting).

In public views (for those driving past the site) the change in effect between the existing development provided for under the designation and the proposed modification will be less than minor in the long term (with growth of the proposed planting, and with views being transitory only).

Long term effects on visual amenity for adjoining properties² (private views of the site) will range from **Negligible** to **Low** adverse – considered to be at a similar level to the consented plan. In the long term, with growth of the proposed planting, the actual change in visual amenity effects between the existing development provided for under the designation and the new proposal will be less than minor for the adjoining properties.

Overall, the revised proposal will appropriately manage any adverse landscape and visual effects, with the planting mitigation proposed."

In summary the change in landscape effects will be minor.

Construction and Operational Noise

An Acoustic Assessment has been prepared and is included in Appendix Ten. This Assessment concludes:

"We have assessed the noise emissions and effects from the proposed Vector Sandspit Substation. In conclusion:

• T1 transformer emissions are predicted to meet designation noise limits, and are considered reasonable in the existing noise environment

- o Transformer ONAN (no fans) operation complies with designation noise limits for all times
- Transformer ONAF (with fans) operation complies with designation daytime (including Sunday and public holiday) noise levels
- Fans will be disabled during the night-time (6pm to 6am) but may operate in emergencies, unlikely to cause sleep disturbance if they operate

² 16 Mahurangi East Road, 826 Sandspit Road and 836 Sandspit Road.

- Future T2 transformer emissions are likely to meet designation noise limits
- AC outdoor unit noise emissions are likely negligible compared to transformers."

As the design will comply with the current designation condition, which is not being modified, there is no difference in effects arising as a result of the proposed modifications to the designation.

Earthworks Management

The new design results in the requirement for retaining walls to be constructed within the site on the western and southern sides. These retaining wall along the edge of the building platform will vary in height up to approximately 4m high (at the south-east corner) and will be constructed of reinforced concrete poles, with concrete infill (shotcrete). Long-sections of the site are included in the drawing set.

Total earthworks on the site will now total approximately 1566 m³ over 1,046 m²

A sediment and erosion control plan has been prepared (Drawing 4262-8058 Rev 2).

Although a greater volume and area of earthworks is required under the new design, with the implementation of the sediment and erosion control plan any potential change in adverse effects arising from the earthworks will be negligible compared to the original design.

Construction Traffic

A Construction Management Plan (including a Traffic Management Plan) will be prepared in conjunction with the contractor prior to the commencement of works. This plan will address sediment control, noise and vibration management and traffic management. This does not change as a result of the modifications to the designation.

Stormwater Management

Stormwater management has been addressed in the Engineering Report (Appendix Nine).

Stormwater from the new switchroom roof will be harvested and stored in a retention tank for re-use on the site.

All other stormwater (apart that harvested for potable water use) will be diverted to stormwater retention tanks before discharging to the overland flow path.

The proposed modifications to the designation do not change the effects arising from stormwater management on the site.

Site Servicing

No additional site servicing is required. This does not change as a result of the proposed modifications to the designation.

Electromagnetic Fields (EMF)

An EMF Assessment Memorandum is included in Appendix Eleven. This assessment concludes:

"Based on this analysis, our conclusions about the electric and magnetic field environment are:

- 1. The electric field environment remains unchanged following the installation of the proposed 33 kV and 11 kV cables.
- 2. The highest magnetic field at the Sandspit property boundary is predicted to be less than 12.38 μ T. These values are less than 6 % of the public exposure reference level of 200 μ T.

For both electric and magnetic field levels produced, the values are significantly less than the public exposure reference levels given by both New Zealand and International guidelines.

For both low frequency electric and magnetic field levels produced, the values are significantly less than the public exposure reference levels given by both New Zealand and International guidelines."

The proposed modifications to the designation will not change the degree of effects arising from EMF.

5. Notification Comment

The written consent of the owners of 12 Mahurangi East Road has been obtained.

In the event Council forms the opinion it cannot agree to the changes and process this NOR under s181(3) then the notification test will apply. It is considered that no parties are adversely affected by the change in effects resulting from the modification to a minor or more than minor degree. This has specifically been addressed in the visual and landscape assessment. Therefore, the notification of this NOR would not be triggered.

Appendix One: Records of Title



RECORD OF TITLE UNDER LAND TRANSFER ACT 2017 FREEHOLD Search Copy



Identifier	504682
Land Registration District	North Auckland
Date Issued	06 September 2010

Prior References NA33A/921	NA91D/824
Estate	Fee Simple
Area	4.3412 hectares more or less
Legal Description	Lot 2 Deposited Plan 426584
Registered Owner	S
Jason Trov Melling	and Rachael Rosalind Melling

Interests

Excepting pursuant to Section 19 Public Works Act 1928 such mines of coal or other minerals not taken by Proclamation 9341 (Affects part formerly contained in CT NA33A/921) 8567546.3 Consent Notice pursuant to Section 221 Resource Management Act 1991 - 13.8.2010 at 10:29 am 8567546.5 Encumbrance to Vector Limited - Produced 13.8.2010 at 10:29 am and entered 6.9.2010 at 7:01 am

12398202.1 Mortgage to ASB Bank Limited - 15.3.2022 at 4:06 pm

Identifier



Identifier

504682



View Instrument Details



Instrument No Status Date & Time Lodged Lodged By Instrument Type

8567546.3 Registered 13 August 2010 10:29 Singh, Babu Gyan Consent Notice under s221(4)(a) Resource Management Act 1991.



Meeted Computer Registers	Land District
NA 35A 921	North Apekland
NA91D 824	South Stockland

Annexure Schedule: Contains I Page.

Signature

Signed by Junes, Thomas Varney as Territorial Authority Representative on 05/07/2010 12:50 PM

wan End of Report wan

IN THE MATTER of a Plan lodged for Deposit unter Number 426564

Persuant to Section 221 of the Researce Management Act 1991 <u>THE RODNEY DISTRIC</u>: <u>COUNCIL HEREEY GIVES NOTICE</u> that its startivision consort given in respect of the land in the Second Sebsoure is shown on Land Transfet Plan 426584 is conditional inter alia upon the compliance on a continuing basis by the Subdivider and the sebsequent owners of the land in the Third Schedule hereto with the conditions set forth in the First Schedule hereto.

HIRST SCHEDULE

(<u>building restaction</u>) No residential development shall be undertaken on Lot 1 as the lot area is less than the area required to sorvice on-site wastowater disposa:

(<u>road crossing sight line</u>). Areas A and B within Lota 1 and 2 are not to be visually restricted above a level of 55-3 metres in terms of Adextand Vertical Height Detuin 1946 to ensure the sight thes from the vehicle crossing to Lot 1 are unobstructed.

SECOND SCHEDULE

An estate in fee simple in 4,4810 hectares more or less being. Part A lotmonts 109, 110, 311. & 194 Village of Matakana composed in Certificates of Title NA910/324 and NA334/921.

THIRD SCHEDULE

Lots 1 & 2 LX^o 423584 totalling 4 4810 liectares in area

DATED this 3rd day of December 2009.

<u>SIGNED</u> for and on behalf of the RODNEY DISTRICT COUNCIL

Authonsod Officer

Resource Consent. R55151



RECORD OF TITLE UNDER LAND TRANSFER ACT 2017 FREEHOLD

Search Copy



R W. Mon Reporter-General All and

Identifier504681Land Registration DistrictNorth AucklandDate Issued06 September 2010

Prior References NA91D/824

Estate	Fee Simple			
Area	1398 square metres more or less			
Legal Description Lot 1 Deposited Plan 426584				
Registered Owners				
Vector Northern Property Limited				

Interests

8567546.3 Consent Notice pursuant to Section 221 Resource Management Act 1991 - 13.8.2010 at 10:29 am





Appendix Two: AUPOP Designation

6866 Sandspit Substation

Designation Number	8866
Requiring Authority	Vector Ltd
Location	Mahurangi East Road (Lot 1 DP 426584), Snells Beach
Rollover Designation	Yes
Legacy Reference	Designation 617, Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011
Lapse Date	31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior

Purpose

Substation.

Conditions

General

 The activity shall proceed in general accordance with the Notice of Requirement submitted to Council dated 2 April 2009 and the plans drawn by Construkt Architects Limited, including the Proposed Site Plan dated 20/04/09, Basement & Ground Floor Plans and Building Sections plans dated 1/04/09, and the Building Elevation plan dated 7/07/09 all numbered L55148 and signed by Processing Planner Laura Christian, dated 08/06/09.

Outline Plan

2. If either the final design and layout of the substation does not reflect the design and layout submitted with the Notice of Requirement, or if there are any future additional works proposed that are not permitted by the Unitary Plan, an outline plan shall be submitted in accordance with section 176A of the Resource Management Act 1991 to the Auckland Council, before any construction is commenced. However, where the future additional work is in accordance with the Notice of Requirement or is permitted by the Unitary Plan, then the requirement for an Outline Plan is waived under s176A(2).

Landscape Plan

 The landscaping plan (Isthmus Group Ltd, Drawing 2633, Figure 5, dated 6/07/09) shown in Appendix 15AA(i), shall be implemented during the first planting season after the construction of the substation. The landscaping shall be maintained thereafter.

Fencing

 The applicant shall construct a post and batten fence around the perimeter of the substation site prior to the substation becoming operational.

Traffic Management Plan

 Prior to any construction being undertaken, a temporary Traffic Management Plan is required to be submitted to Council and approved by Manager - Resource consents and Compliance In consultation with Auckland Transport (the road controlling authority).

Access

- 6. The following measures shall be implemented prior to the operation of the substation:
- a. Driveway and access to be constructed to a best practice standard;
- A sightline covenant as shown in the plans provided with the subdivision application (to the east if the driveway) is to be established;
- The small bunch of agapanthus within the road reserve near the driveway crossing for 836 Sandspit Road is to be removed at the cost of the applicant, to the approval of the Manager
 Resource Consents and Compliance;
- Further details of the drainage design for the driveway should be provided by the applicant, including culvert size and end treatment; and
- The open channel surrounding the driveway shall be built up to a slope no steeper than 1 in 4 on either side of the driveway.

Construction Noise

- 7. Noise from construction activities shall not exceed the limits recommended in and shall be measured and assessed in accordance with, NZS6803:1999, Acoustics — Construction Noise. Note that the noise limit between the hours of 2000 to 0630 weekdays, 1800 to 0630 Saturdays and at all times on Sundays and Public Holidays, may mean that no construction work can take place.
- (operation noise) The operational noise from the substation shall not exceed the following limits at the notional boundary (notional boundary is defined as a line 20m from the side of a rural dwelling existing as at 1 April 2009, or the legal boundary where this is closer to the dwelling):
- a. Monday to Saturday: 6:00am to 6:00pm 55 dBA Leq;
- b. Sundays & Public Holidays: 6:00am to 6:00pm 50 dBA Leq; and
- c. At all other times: 45 dBA Leg 75 dBA Lmax.

Archaeological

- If any artefact, including human remains is exposed during any site works the following procedures shall apply:
- a. Immediately when an artefact is unearthed, all site works shall cease; and

osbornehay

- b. The site supervisor shall immediately secure the area in a way that ensures any artefacts or remains are untouched; and
- c. The site supervisor shall notify the following groups that an archaeological site has been unearthed, so that appropriate action can be taken:
- i. Tangata whenua,
- ii. The New Zealand Historic Places Trust;
- iii. The Department of Conservation;
- iv. The Council's RMA Compliance Administration Officer (telephone 301 0101); and
- v. In the case of human remains the New Zealand Police.

Lapse

10. 31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior

Attachments

Proposed Vector Sandspit Substation Landscape Concept Plan



Appendix Three: **Designation Plan**



			A23	035	15)4 2	
			Checked:	MRD	09.07.24	(A3 Original)	
ed		29.07.24 10.07.24	Designed: Drawn:	SMR	08.07.24	1:250	
	Checked	Date		, =	Date	Scale:	-
	This illus It sh	s plan has strating a S nould not I	been prepa 5181 Notice be used for	ared on e Of Rec any ot	ly for the quirement her purpo	purpose of se.	
	of C	CKL NZ Ltd.	nown on th	iis pian	remain th	e property	
	2. The	copyright	and intelle	ectual p	property ri	ghts for the	
	Notes: 1. Are	as and din	nensions or	n this p	lan may b	e subject to	
	New t	otal area	of Desig	nation	8866 - 1	653m²	
18.8	Area to designa	be remov ition 8866	ved from		11r	n²	
	Areas to designa	o be adde ition 8866	d to		266	5m²	
	Area to designa	remain w ition 8866	rithin	[138	37m ²	
	Kevr				٨٢٥	225.	
	under	S181 to	o alter d	lesigr	nation		
	Resour (Auckl	rce Mai and Un	nageme itary Pla	ent Ao an) nt of	t 1991	Limited	
							-

Appendix Four: Written Consent

Written approval of affected persons for a Modification to a Auckland Designation



PART A (to be completed by the applicant)

Applicant/s name: (please write all names in full) Vector Limited Address of proposed activity: Unnumbered Sandspit Road Application num known: Description of proposed activity: Image: Comparison of proposed activity: Modification to Designation 8866 Image: Comparison of proposed activity: List of all documents and plans to be sighted (including title, author and date) Date Title Author Date Draft s181 Application Osbornehay August 2 Designation Plan CKL: 29/7/202 Drawings Vector Various Resource consent/s being sought for (describe why resource consent is required and det any non-compliance) Image: Comparison for a modification for a designation under s181 of t	ARTA - APPLICATION			
Address of proposed activity: Unnumbered Sandspit Road Application num known: Description of proposed activity:	pplicant/s name: lease write all names in II)	Vector Limited	The second second second second second	
Description of proposed activity: Modification to Designation 8866 List of all documents and plans to be sighted (including title, author and date) Title Author Date Draft s181 Application Osbornehay August 2 Designation Plan CKL: 29/7/202 Drawings Vector Various Resource consent/s being sought for (describe why resource consent is required and det any non-compliance) Image: Consent is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second to the second tothe second to	ddress of proposed tivity:	Unnumbered Sandspit Road Application nu known:		
Modification to Designation 8866 List of all documents and plans to be sighted (including title, author and date) Title Author Date Draft s181 Application Osbornehay August 2 Designation Plan CKL 29/7/202 Drawings Vector Various Resource consent/s being sought for (describe why resource consent is required and det any non-compliance) Image: State of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of to the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of to the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of to the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of to the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of to the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of to the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of to the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of to the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of to the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of to the second is for the se	escription of proposed ac	tivity:		
List of all documents and plans to be sighted (including title, author and date) Title Author Date Draft s181 Application Osbornehay August 2 Designation Plan CKL 29/7/202 Drawings Vector Various Resource consent/s being sought for (describe why resource consent is required and det any non-compliance) Image: State of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation to a designation under s181 of	odification to Designation	8866		
Designation Plan CKL: 29/7/202 Drawings Vector Various Resource consent/s being sought for (describe why resource consent is required and det any non-compliance) Image: CKL:	ist of all documents and p itle raft s181 Application	lans to be sighted (including title, autho Author Osbornehay	r and date) Date August 2024	
Drawings Vector Various Resource consent/s being sought for (describe why resource consent is required and det any non-compliance) Image: Compliance in the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation under s181 of the second is for a modification to a designation to a designate a designate a designation to a designate a designation to a d	esignation Plan	CKL:	29/7/2024	
Resource consent/s being sought for (describe why resource consent is required and det any non-compliance)	rawings	Vector	Various	
the the This welfter concept is for a modification to a designation under \$181 of t	tesource consent/s being ny non-compliance)	sought for (describe why resource cons	ent is required and details of	
Not applicable. This written consent is for a mounication to a dosigned on and	ot applicable. This written	n consent is for a modification to a desig	gnation under \$181 of the RM	

PART B (to be completed by the person/s and/or organisation/s who are providing written approval)

PARTO-ATTEOLE	I III	Tick if owner	Tick if occupier
Full name: (in print)	Jacon Troy W 4 ing		
Full name: (in print)	Dachael IN lelling		
Full name: (in print)	passing - 1		
Address of affected	12 Malurgary tast	Postcode	
Email:	Mobile:	O21	1410

AILT		17 . 7 . 11 (4) .	which easily apply)
have a	uthority to sign on beh	alf of all (tic	k which ones apply)
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Property owners		Property occupiers
		100 10 1010	
lease	note: The approval of al	ll the legal o	wners and occupiers of the affected property is

PART C (to be completed by the person/s and/or organisation/s who are providing written approval)

PART C - DECLARATION	
Tick each box below that applies	
I/We have been given details	of the proposal and plans to which I/we are giving written onts I/we have sighted is included on page 1.
I/We have signed each page of accompany this form.	f the plans in respect of this proposal. These need to
I/We understand that by givin of any actual or potential effort the application.	g my/our written approval, the council cannot take account cts of the activity on my/our property when considering
Further, I/we understand that I/we may give notice in writin	at any time before a decision is made about the application, to the council that this approval is withdrawn.
In signing this form, I/we am/are satis Contact our Customer Service team of resource consent process.	ied that I/we fully understand the proposal. 0 9 301 0101 if you need more information about the
Signatures/s	Date 9/9/24
Signatures/s	Date
Signatures/s	Date

PRIVACY INFORMATION

The council requires the information you have provided on this form to process your application under the Resource Management Act 1991 and to collect statistics. The council will hold and store the information on a public register. The details may also be made available to the public on the council's website. These details are collected to inform the general public and community groups about all consents which have been processed or issued through the council. Under the Privacy Act 2020, you have the right to see and correct personal information Auckland Council holds about you.

Advice Note: If you are asked to give your written approval to someone's proposed activity as part of their application for a resource consent, you should do the following:

- Request that the applicant (or their representative) explain the proposal clearly and fully to you.
- Study the application and associated plans provided by them in order to understand the effects of the
 proposed activity. If there are no plans available at this stage, you may wish to wait until they are available.
- Ask the applicant (or their representative) if you have been provided with a copy of the full application, including plans.
- Ask for time to consider the documents if you think you need it.

- Decide whether the proposed activity will adversely affect you or your property. You are entitled to ask the
 applicant for more information, but you should make a decision about whether you will sign the form or
 not as promptly as is reasonable in the circumstances. You may suggest amendments to the proposed
 activity that you consider would reduce the effects on you. If the proposal is amended by the applicant,
 then you should only sign the amended version of the proposal.
- If you consider that you will be adversely affected by the proposal and do not wish to sign the approval form, you will need to advise the applicant (or their representative).
- If you change your mind after signing this form, you may withdraw your approval at any time before a
 decision is made on the application by advising the council in writing that your approval is withdrawn.













Appendix Five: Subdivision Consent

Report for an application for resource consent under the Resource Management Act 1991



Discretionary activity – subdivision (s11)

1. Application Description

Application number:	SUB60435358 (s11 subdivision consent)
Applicant:	Vector Limited
Site address:	Lot 1 DP 426584, Sandspit Road, Snells Beach and 12 Mahurangi East Road, Snells Beach
Legal description:	Lot 1 DP 426584 and Lot 2 DP 426584
Site area:	1398m2 and 4.3412ha
Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in part)	
Zoning and precinct:	Rural - Mixed Rural Zone
Overlays, controls, special features etc:	Controls: Macroinvertebrate Community Index - Exotic Controls: Macroinvertebrate Community Index - Rural
Designations:	Designations - 8866, Substation, Designations, Vector Ltd

2. Proposal, site and locality description

Daniella Holster of CKL NZ Limited has provided a description of the proposal and subject site on pages 6 to 11 of the Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE) titled: *Resource Consent Application For a Boundary Adjustment between Two Lots in the Rural – Mixed Rural Zone*, A23035, Revision 1, prepared by CKL NZ Limited, dated July 2024.

Having undertaken a site visit on 13 August 2024, I concur with that description of the proposal and the site. It was noted during the site visit that there is a watercourse running along the proposed Lot 1 boundary. However, it is evident that the width of this watercourse is less than 3m.

In brief, the proposal is to undertake a boundary adjustment between the two Rural – Mixed Rural zoned sites. As a result of the proposed boundary adjustment, Lot 1 will increase in size from 1,398m2 to 1,653m2 (+255m2 or 18.24%). Lot 2 will decrease in size from 43,412m2 to 43,157m2 (-255m2 or 0.58%).

Lot 1 is currently vacant and designated as a substation. The requiring authority for the designation 8866 under the AUP OP is Vector Ltd, which is the applicant of this application.

Lot 2 contains an existing dwelling. The proposed boundary adjustment will not change the existing dwelling and associated services.

The purpose of the boundary adjustment is to better accommodate the substation that is proposed to be established on the site as applied under Building Consent BCO10384434.

3. Background

Specialist Input

The proposal has been reviewed and assessed by the following specialist:

- Subdivision advisor, Ken Berger
- Development Engineer, Karl Wu

Consent History

 BCO10384434 – A new 2 level switch room with toilet to be established on Lot 1 DP 426584 (Designation 8866). New single level transformer enclosure. New retaining walls and site fill. New site fencing and vehicle crossing. New water storage tank and pressure system, new WW septic tank, new SW detention tanks and drainage. Application lodged on 03/07/2024 and currently on hold awaiting information.

Records of Title

The subject site contains the following relevant interests on the Records of Title:

Consent Notice 8567546.3

- Building restriction no residential development shall be undertaken on Lot 1 as the lot is less than the area required to service on-site wastewater disposal
- Road crossing sight line Areas A and B within Lots 1 and 2 are not be visually
 restricted above a level of 55.3 metres in terms of Auckland Vertical Height Datum
 1946 to ensure the sight lines from the vehicle crossing to Lot 1 are not
 unobstructed.

Designation

One of the application site, Lot 1 DP 426584, is designated for substation purposes under designation 88665 of the AUP OP. The requiring authority of this designation is Vector Ltd, which is the applicant for this application.

Designation 8866 does not include any conditions restricting the proposed boundary adjustment.

The purpose of the boundary adjustment is to better accommodate the substation that is proposed to be established on the site as applied under Building Consent BCO10384434.

Boundary adjustment of a designation and establishment of the substation outside of the existing designation boundary require alteration of designation under s181 of the RMA. The AEE advised that this will be undertaken via a separate process.

4. Reasons for the application

Subdivision consent (s11) – SUB60435358

Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in part)

Subdivision (operative plan provisions)

Subdivision - Rural

- To undertake a boundary adjustment that is located within the one per cent annual exceedance probability floodplain is a **restricted discretionary activity** under rule E39.4.1(A8).
- The proposed boundary adjustment includes a site that cannot provide a 2,000m² specified building area as required under standard E39.6.1.1(3). This is a **discretionary activity** under E39.4.1(A9).
- As a result of the proposed boundary adjustment, the proposed Lots will have a site area of 1,653m2 and 43,157m2. This does not meet the minimum site area and minimum average site area for Rural – Mixed Rural Zone as required under E39.6.3.2 (3). This is a **discretionary activity** under E39.4.1(A10).
- No covenant or consent notice is proposed stating that land that is no longer required for the network utility after it disestablishes must be amalgamated with the adjoining land, as required under the permitted standard E39.6.2.2(2). To undertake a subdivision for a network utility that is not complying with the permitted standard is a **restricted discretionary activity** under C1.9(2).

The reasons for consent are considered together as a **discretionary activity** overall.

5. Decision

I have read the application, supporting documents, and the report and recommendations on the application for resource consent. I am satisfied that I have adequate information to consider the matters required by the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and make a decision under delegated authority on the application.

Acting under delegated authority, and for the reasons set out below, under sections 95A and 95C to 95D, and 95B and 95E to 95G of the RMA this application shall be processed non-notified.

Acting under delegated authority, under sections 104, 104B, 106 and Part 2 of the RMA, the resource consent is **GRANTED**.

6. Reasons

The reasons for this decision are:
- 1. In accordance with an assessment following the steps set out in sections 95A and 95C to 95D the application need not be publicly notified because:
 - Under step 1, public notification is not mandatory as the applicant has not requested it, there are no outstanding or refused requests for further information, and the application does not involve any exchange of recreation reserved land under s15AA of the Reserves Act 1977.
 - Under step 2, the application is not precluded from public notification as:
 - the activities are not for one or more activities that are exclusively subject to a rule or national environmental standard (NES) which precludes public notification (s95A(5)(a)); and
 - the application does not exclusively involve one or more of the activities described in s95A(5)(b).
 - Under step 3, the application is not required to be publicly notified as:
 - the activities are not subject to any rule or a NES that requires public notification (s95A(8)(a)).
 - the council is to disregard any effects on the persons who own or occupy the land in, on, or over which the activity will occur, and on persons who own or occupy any adjacent land (s95D(a)). The land adjacent to the subject site is listed below:
 - o 836 Sandspit Road, Snells Beach
 - o 882 Sandspit Road, Snells Beach
 - o 903 Sandspit Road, Snells Beach
 - o 1 Mahurangi East Road, Snells Beach
 - o 3 Mahurangi East Road, Snells Beach
 - o 16 Mahurangi East Road, Snells Beach
 - o 20 Mahurangi East Road, Snells Beach
 - o 329 Sharp Road, Snells Beach
 - o 329B Sharp Road, Snells Beach
 - o 335 Sharp Road, Snells Beach
 - o 337 Sharp Road, Snells Beach
 - o 339 Sharp Road, Snells Beach



Figure 1: Adjacent Properties (Source: GeoMaps)

- the proposal will have or are likely to have adverse effects on the environment that are not more than minor (s95A(8)(b)) for the following reasons:
 - In regard to the proposed subdivision, the permitted baseline includes subdivisions around a network utility.
 - With regard to the receiving environment, it has been provided in section 2 of this report.
 - The proposed boundary adjustment is limited to the common boundary between the subject sites. The affected area is 255m2, which is minor in scale within a rural environment and neglectable from the wider environment.
 - Although the proposed boundary adjustment will exceed 10% of the original site, it is considered to be an efficient and logical use of the land resource. The purpose of the proposed boundary adjustment is to better accommodate the substation that is proposed to be established on Lot 1. This matches the purposes of the designation on Lot 1. The proposed boundary adjustment will not change the use of the sites.
 - While the proposed site areas do not meet the minimum site area and minimum average site area for the zone, this does not result by the proposed boundary adjustment. It is noted the site area and average site area of the subject sites currently do not comply with this requirement and will remain uncomplying as proposed.
 - No additional buildings are proposed by this application, therefore the existing rural character of the landscape will be retained and there will be no adverse effects. The proposed boundary adjustment will not generate any further development than what could occur as of right, and the proposal will not generate more than minor adverse effects in terms of rural character and amenity values.

- Although Lot 2 contains land subject to the one per cent annual exceedance probability floodplain, it is located at least 80m from the boundary adjustment affected area. Lot 2 will continue to contain the existing dwelling. The proposed boundary adjustment will not generate any adverse effects associated with the natural hazards.
- As no new titles are to be created, the proposal will not create any more traffic than could occur at the present time. Proposed Lots will continue to gain direct vehicle access from/to Sandspit Road and Mahurangi East Road.
- It is not proposed to register a consent notice or covenant on the title of either of the Lots as required under standard E39.6.2.2. Failure to comply with the standard may result in inappropriate development of rural land, such as a residential dwelling located on an urban sized lot within a rural environment. It is noted, however, that there is an existing consent notice registered on the title of Lot 1, which ensures the lot will not be used for residential purposes. This is sufficient to ensure no inappropriate development occurs on Lot 1, should it ever cease to operate as a network utility site, thereby meeting the purpose of E39.6.2.2(2), in the absence of a consent notice requiring amalgamation with Lot 2.Under step 4, there are no special circumstances to warrant public notification because there is nothing exceptional or unusual about the application, and that the proposal has nothing out of the ordinary run of things to suggest that public notification should occur.
- 2. In accordance with an assessment following the steps set out in sections 95B and 95E to 95G, the application need not be limited notified because:
 - Under step 1, limited notification is not mandatory as there are no protected customary rights groups or customary marine title groups affected by the proposed activity, nor any affected person to whom a statutory acknowledgement is made under schedule 11. The subject site is not located within the statutory acknowledgement area.
 - Under step 2, the application is not for one or more activities that are exclusively subject to a rule or NES which preclude limited notification (s95B(6)(a)); and the application is not exclusively for a controlled activity, other than a subdivision, that requires consent under a district plan (s95B(6)(b)).
 - Under step 3, limited notification is not required as:
 - As this application is not for a boundary activity or a prescribed activity, there are no affected persons related to those types of activities (s95B(7)).
 - There will be no adversely affected persons as a result of the proposal for the following reasons:
 - The proposed boundary adjustment is limited to the common boundary between the subject sites. The affected area is 255m2,

which is minor in scale within a rural environment and neglectable by the people at adjacent properties.

- While the proposed boundary adjustment will be visible from 809 and 836 Sandspit Road, the use of the subject sites will remain no change and the sizes and character of the subject sites will remain similar to the existing sites before the proposed boundary adjustment. The proposed boundary adjustment will generate less than minor adverse effects on rural character and amenity values for the people at adjustment sites.
- Although Lot 2 contains land subject to the one per cent annual exceedance probability floodplain, it is located at least 80m from the boundary adjustment affected area. Lot 2 will continue to contain the existing dwelling. The proposed boundary adjustment will not generate any adverse effects on natural hazards to adjacent properties.
- Failure to comply with the standard E39.6.2.2 may result in inappropriate development of rural land, such as a residential dwelling located on an urban sized lot within a rural environment. The may generate adverse effects on the people at adjacent properties. It is noted, however, that there is an existing consent notice registered on the title of Lot 1, which ensures that the lot will not be used for residential purposes. This is sufficient to ensure no inappropriate development occurs on Lot 1, should it ever cease to operate as a network utility site, thereby meeting the purpose of E39.6.2.2(2), in the absence of a consent notice requiring amalgamation with Lot 2.
- Under step 4, I have turned my mind specifically to the existence of any special circumstances under s95B(10) and conclude that there are no special circumstances to warrant limited notification because there is nothing exceptional or unusual about the application, and that the proposal has nothing out of the ordinary run of things to suggest that limited notification should occur.
- 3. In accordance with an assessment under s104(1)(a) and 104(1)(ab) of the RMA the actual and potential effects from the proposal will be acceptable as:
 - a. The proposal does not result in the creation of any additional sites or dwelling potential and as such does not increase the potential for greater intensity of development in the area.
 - b. Although the proposed boundary adjustment will exceed 10% of the original site, it is considered to be an efficient and logical use of the land resource. The proposed lots will be suitable for activities that are permitted in the Rural Mixed Rural Zone at Lot 2 and designated at Lot 1.
 - c. The proposed site sizes and average site area do not comply with the minimum site area and average site area for Rural Mixed Rural Zone as required under Rule E39.6.5.1(1). However, it is noted the site area and average site area of the subject sites currently do not comply with this requirement and will remain non-complying as proposed.

- d. Lot 1 is currently vacant and designated to accommodate a substation. Lot 2 contains an existing dwelling and balanced land. The proposed boundary adjustment does not change this situation. No additional buildings are proposed by this application, therefore the existing rural character of the landscape will be retained and there will be no adverse effects.
- e. While the subject sites contain land subject to flood plain, it is located away from the boundary adjustment affected area. The proposal will not generate any adverse effects on natural hazards.
- f. There is an existing consent notice registered on the title of Lot 1, which ensures that the lot will not be used for residential purposes. This is sufficient to ensure no inappropriate development occurs on Lot 1, should it ever cease to operate as a network utility site, thereby meeting the purpose of E39.6.2.2(2), in the absence of a consent notice requiring amalgamation with Lot 2.
- g. In terms of positive effects, the proposed boundary adjustment will allow for more efficient use of the land and is more consistent with the features of the sites.
- h. With reference to s104(1)(ab), there are no specific offsetting or environmental compensation measures proposed or agreed to by the applicant to ensure positive effects on the environment.
- 4. In accordance with an assessment under s104(1)(b) of the RMA the proposal is consistent with the relevant statutory documents, insofar as they relate to the matters over which discretion is restricted. In particular, the following objectives and policies are within the scope of the discretion and relevant:

Chapter H19 – Rural Zones Objectives and Policies: H19.2 and H19.4

Chapter E39 – Subdivision – Rural Objectives and Policies: E39.2 and E39.3

These objectives and policies encourage the use and development of land for rural production activities generally on smaller rural sites and non-residential activities of a scale compatible with smaller site sizes. Sites in this zone provide flexibility to accommodate a range of rural production activities and associated non-residential activities while still ensuring good amenity levels for residents who use their land for rural lifestyle purposes.

The proposal does not propose any new sites or additional development potential. The application is consistent with the relevant objectives and policies of the AUP OP in part.

- 5. In accordance with an assessment under s104(1)(c) of the RMA, no other matters are considered relevant.
- 6. In terms of s106 of the RMA, the proposal is not considered to give rise to a significant risk from natural hazards, and sufficient provision has been made for legal and physical access to the proposed allotments. Accordingly, the council is able to grant this subdivision consent subject to the conditions below.

- 7. In the context of this discretionary activity subdivision application, where the objectives and policies of the relevant statutory documents were prepared having regard to Part 2 of the RMA, they capture all relevant planning considerations and contain a coherent set of policies designed to achieve clear environmental outcomes. They also provide a clear framework for assessing all relevant potential effects and there is no need to go beyond these provisions and look to Part 2 in making this decision as an assessment against Part 2 would not add anything to the evaluative exercise.
- 8. Overall, the proposal will have actual and potential effects on the environment that are considered to be acceptable. The proposal is consistent with the objectives, policies and assessment criteria of the AUP OP and the purposes and principles of the RMA.

7. Conditions

All conditions contained in this decision must be complied with at time of s224(c). The conditions have been separated into 'General', 'section 223' and 'section 224(c)' conditions in order to assist the consent holder in identifying the conditions that must be completed at the respective stages of implementing the resource consent for subdivision.

Under sections 108, 108AA and 220 of the RMA, this consent is subject to the following conditions:

General conditions

 The boundary adjustment must be as described in the application form and assessment of environmental effects prepared by CKL NZ Limited dated July 2024, and must be carried out in accordance with the plans and information detailed below, and all referenced by the Council as consent number SUB60435358.

Report title and reference	Author	Rev	Dated
Resource Consent Application For a Boundary Adjustment between Two Lots in the Rural – Mixed Rural Zone, A23035	CKL NZ Limited	1	July 2024

Drawing title and reference	Author	Rev	Dated
Proposed Subdivision of Lots 1 & 2 DP 426584 Existing Site Plan; A23035; 1500	CKL NZ Limited	1	10/07/2024
Proposed Subdivision of Lots 1 & 2 DP 426584 Site Plan – Overview; A23035; 1501	CKL NZ Limited	1	10/07/2024
Proposed Subdivision of Lots 1 & 2 DP 426584 Site Plan; A23035; 1502	CKL NZ Limited	1	10/07/2024
Proposed Subdivision of Lots 1 & 2 DP 426584 Site Layout; A23035; 1503	CKL NZ Limited	1	10/07/2024
Proposed Designation Plan; A23035; 1504	CKL NZ Limited	1	10/07/2024

Advice Note:

- This consent has been granted on the basis of all the documents and information provided by the consent holder, demonstrating that the new lot(s) can be appropriately serviced (infrastructure and access).
- The engineering assessment of this resource consent is limited to an effects-based assessment allowed by the Unitary Plan. Plans approved under Resource Consent do not constitute an Engineering Plan Approval. A separate engineering approval will be required for the design of any infrastructure that is to vest in council.
- Details and specifications for the provision of infrastructure (e.g., public/ private drainage, location, and types of connections) and access (including drainage of accessways, construction standards etc) are subject to a separate Engineering Plan Approval (EPA) and/or Building Consent approval process.
- Should it become apparent during the EPA and/or Building Consent process that a component of the granted resource consent cannot be implemented (e.g., detailed tests for soakage fail to achieve sufficient soakage rates, or sufficient gradients for drainage cannot be achieved in accordance with engineering standards/ bylaws etc), changes to the proposal will be required. This may require either a variation to this subdivision consent (under section 127 of the Resource Management Act 1991) or a new consent.
- Similarly, should the detailed design stage demonstrate that additional reasons for consent are triggered (e.g., after detailed survey the access gradient increases to now infringe or increase an approved infringement to a standard in the plan), a new or varied resource consent is required.
- It is the responsibility of the consent holder to ensure that all information submitted and assessed as part of the subdivision consent is correct and can be implemented as per the subdivision consent (without requiring additional reasons for consent). Any subsequent approval processes (such as the EPA) do not override the necessity to comply with the conditions of this resource consent.
- 2. Under section 125 of the RMA, this consent lapses five years after the date it is granted ("the lapse date") unless:
 - A survey plan is submitted to Council for approval under section 223 of the RMA before the lapse date, and that plan is deposited within three years of the date of approval of the survey plan in accordance with section 224(h) of the RMA; or
 - b. An application under section 125 of the RMA is made to the Council before the lapse date to extend the period after which the consent lapses and the Council grants an extension.

Survey plan approval (s223) conditions

 The consent holder must submit a survey plan in accordance with the approved resource consent subdivision scheme plan(s) titled 'Proposed Subdivision of Lots 1 & 2 DP 426584 Site Plan – Overview', A23035; 1501, revision 1, prepared by CKL NZ Limited, dated 10/07/2024.

Section 224(c) compliance conditions

No s224(c) conditions

Advice notes

- 1. Any reference to number of days within this decision refers to working days as defined in s2 of the RMA.
- 2. For more information on the resource consent process with Auckland Council see the council's website: <u>www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz</u>. General information on resource consents, including making an application to vary or cancel consent conditions can be found on the Ministry for the Environment's website: <u>www.mfe.govt.nz</u>.
- 3. If you disagree with any of the above conditions, and/or disagree with the additional charges relating to the processing of the application(s), you have a right of objection pursuant to sections 357A and/or 357B of the Resource Management Act 1991. Any objection must be made in writing to the council within 15 working days of your receipt of this decision (for s357A) or receipt of the council invoice (for s357B).
- 4. The consent holder is responsible for obtaining all other necessary consents, permits, and licences, including Designation Alteration under section 181 of the RMA, those under the Building Act 2004, and the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014. This consent does not remove the need to comply with all other applicable Acts (including the Property Law Act 2007 and the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015), regulations, relevant Bylaws, and rules of law. This consent does not constitute building consent approval. Please check whether a building consent is required under the Building Act 2004.
- 5. The consent holder is responsible for ensuring that all development and associated works (including mobile plant and scaffolding) complies with the minimum safe distances from overhead electric lines in compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) (NZECP34). Resource consent does not confirm compliance with NZECP34. The consent holder should ensure that minimum safe distances are achieved before commencing construction where there are overhead electrical lines nearby.

You can search your site address at <u>https://www.ena.org.nz/lines-company-map/</u> to identify your local lines company.

Vector network: <u>https://www.vector.co.nz/personal/help-safety/near-our-network/building-near-overhead-lines</u> Counties Energy network: <u>https://www.countiesenergy.co.nz/forms/close-approach-permit</u>

Recommendation prepared by:

Cindy Yin Senior Planner Resource Consents Date: 12 September 2024

Delegated decision maker:

Name: Title: Lexie Li Team Leader, Resource Consents

Signed:

(erie Li

Date:

12 September 2024







Applicant: Comprised In:

Total Area:

Vector Limited 504681 504682 Local Authority: Auckland Council 4.4810 На

Note:

Areas A & B DP 426584 are subject to height restriction land covenants created by: 8567546.3 Imagery from LINZ Data Servicwe Circa 2016



SUB60435358

Approved Resource Consent Plan

12/09/2024

Notes

- 1. Changes may occur to the layout of the proposal shown as a result of the Resource Consent Conditions.
- Areas and dimensions on this plan may be subject to 2. change following field survey.
- The copyright and intellectual property rights for the information shown on this plan remain the property 3. of CKL NZ Ltd.
- This plan has been prepared only for the purpose of 4. illustrating an application for resource consent. It should not be used for any other purpose.

FOR CONSENT

A STREET							
tion	Checked	Date			Date	Sca	le:
04 only		10.07.24	Designed:			1.1	250
			Drawn:	RP	05.07.24		230
			Checked:	MRD	09.07.24	(A3 Or	iginal)
			Job	No:	Dwg	No:	Rev:
			A23	035	150	01	1



Vector Limited 504681 504682 Local Authority: Auckland Council 4.4810 Ha Areas A & B DP 426584 are subject to height restriction land covenants created by: 8567546.3 Imagery from LINZ Data Servicwe 4 Ó Ó Auckland Council SUB60435358 Approved Resource Consent Plan

- 1. Changes may occur to the layout of the proposal shown as a result of the Resource Consent Conditions.
- 2. Areas and dimensions on this plan may be subject to change following field survey.
- 3. The copyright and intellectual property rights for the information shown on this plan remain the property of CKL NZ Ltd.
- 4. This plan has been prepared only for the purpose of illustrating an application for resource consent. It should not be used for any other purpose.

FOR CONSENT Checked Date Date Scale: 10.07.24 Designed: 1:250 Drawn: RP 05.07.24 Checked: MRD 09.07.24 (A3 Original) Job No: Dwg No: Rev: A23035 1502 1





٨	Applic Comp	ant: rised In	V 1: 5 5	ector 04681 04682	Limited	
Ň	Local / Total /	Authori Area:	ity: A 4	ucklar .4810	nd Coun Ha	cil
	Note:					
	Areas to heig created	A & B DP ht restric d by: 856	42658 ction la 57546.3	4 are su nd cove	bject nants	
	Key: Area to Aucklar 8866	remain w nd Council	vithin designa	ation	Areas: 1387m ²	
	Areas to Council	o be adde designati	d to Au on 8866	ckland 5	266m²	
18.8	Area to Aucklar 8866	be remov າd Council	ved fron designa	n ation	11m²	
B						
				04054		kland buncil
	Арр	र roved	Reso	0435. urce (358 Conser	nt Plan
	10/00	10004				
	12/09	/2024				
	Notes:					
	1. Cha	nges may wn as a re	occur to sult of t	o the layo he Resou	out of the p rce Conser	roposal nt Conditions.
	2. Are cha	as and din nge follow	nension: /ing field	s on this I survey.	plan may b	e subject to
	3. The info of C	copyright rmation s	and int hown or	ellectual n this pla	property ri n remain th	ghts for the ne property
	4. This	s plan has	been pr	epared o	nly for the	purpose of
	sho	uld not be	used fo	or any oth	ier purpose	2.
		F	OR (ONS	SENT	
on ended	Checked	Date 10.07.24	Designe	ed:	Date	Scale:
			Draw	rn: SMR ed: MRD	08.07.24 09.07.24	(A3 Original)
			Jα Δ2	ob No:	Dwg 5 15(No: Rev:

osbornehay

Appendix Six: **Drawings**



	7			3		_
ND - 3 x 1C - 1 x 3C - 1 x 3C - 3 x 1C - 11kV X OTHER	630mm ² CU 33kV XLI 95 ² AL 11kV XLPE IN 400mm ² AL 11kV XLF 500mm ² CU 11kV XLF LPE CABLE TO REPLA S)	PE IN TE I DUCT PE IN DU PE IN TR ACE OVE	REFOIL JCT EFOILI RHEAI	.ED DUC ED DUC D LINE	TS (BY	A
- COMMS DUCT (/CONTROL/LV DUCTS					В
						С
S ALL DUC FGL, SHA TO RISE	S181 N 01/08/2 TS SHALL BE BURIED SLLOWER DEPTH ACCE TO MEET BUILDING PE	NOR 2024 A MININ PTABLE ENETRA	1UM O WHEI	F 900m RE DUC EVELS.	m BELOW TS NEED REFER T	D
DRAWING ALL DUC FUTURE I REFER TO WALLS, I INSTALLA EDE5004, RENCE	4262-0082 FOR BUR TS TO HAVE MIN BEN DUCTS FOR T2 TO BE 8062-8031 FOR ADD ENCING AND VEHICLE ATION OF LST AND RI EDE5008 & EDE5009. DRAWINGS	IAL DEP DING RA INSTAL DITIONAL BOLLA MU TO N	ADIUS LED 4 DET4 RDS. /ECT0	LONG R OF 2.5r AND CA AIL ON I	OUTE. n. PPED. RETAININC	i E
0021/2 0051/1 0082/1 8031/1	33/11kV TRANSFOR EARTHING LAYOUT SUBSTATION DUCT CIVIL SERVICES LA Reference designation Drawing FOR CONSEN stamp: TENDEI Drawing no:	RMER EN ING TRE YOUT I: IT AND R	NCH P Scale 1:250	JRE PROFILE Drawin at A3:	S g function Doc type: Sheet:	F
	4262-8024			7	1 of 1	











7		2	
		5	A
			В
			С
			D
s181 NOR 01/08/2024			E
ICE DRAWINGS: 2 EARTHWORKS DI 3 EARTHWORKS DI Reference designa Drawing FOR CON stamp: TEN Drawing no: 4262-804 7	ESIGN CROSS SEC ESIGN CROSS SEC Ition: SENT AND Scale IDER 1:300	TIONS - PART 1 TIONS - PART 2 Drawing function: at A3: Doc type: Rev: Sheet: 6 1 of 3 8	F



s181 NOR
01/08/2024

.통 F

 $^{\odot}$

A2 Title



6

Approved by R Marx Appr by date 10.2023

5

REFERENCE DR				-
4262-804271 E	ARTHWURKS DESIGN LRUSS SI	LIIUNS LA		Г
	Reference designation:	Drawin	g function:	
	Drawing FOR CONSENT AND S stamp: TENDER N	cale at A1: TS	Doc type:	
	Drawing no:	Rev:	Sheet:	
	4262-8042	5	2 of 3	
7	8			







B	Ň
	5
)
s181 NOR 01/08/2024	: :
VCE DRAWINGS: /1 EARTHWORKS DESIGN CROSS SECTION LAYOUT Reference designation: Drawing function: Drawing FOR CONSENT AND scale at A3: Doc type: stamp: TENDER Drawing no: Rev: 4262-8043 2	•











	A	
	В	
	С	
ACTOR TO CONSIDER USING THE POSITION OF THE E CROSSING FOR THE COMPLETED SUBSTATION AS RARY SITE ACCESS AT START OF THE PROJECT, TTO PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS SUCH AS POSSIBLE NCE ON CONSTRUCTION TIMELINE. PROVISIONAL SUM TO DVIDED FOR SEPARATE TEMPORARY STABILISED ACCESS LOCATION INDICATED. ROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS WILL BE IN DANCE WITH AUCKLAND COUNCIL GUIDELINE DOCUMENT 05 (GD05) "EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL GUIDE FOR	D	
 DISTURBING ACTIVITIES IN AUCKLAND REGION". PROPOSED SILT FENCE PROPOSED STABILISED ACCESS (AS ALTERNATIVE TO USING LOCATION OF DEDICATED VEHICLE CROSSING FOR INITIAL SITE ACCESS). 	E	
VCE DRAWINGS: (1 EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN DETAILS Reference designation: Drawing function: Drawing FOR CONSENT AND scale at A3: Doc type: stamp: TENDER 1:300 Drawing no: Rev: Sheet: 4262-8058 3 1 of 1	F	





7	8	3		,
SIONS TO BE VERIFIED BY CO TH SITE PLAN AND LAYOUT PHIC PLAN FOR THE FINAL S	DNTRACTOR W DRAWINGS. SET-OUT OF F	/ITH TH PROPER	ΊΕ ·TY	A
GS AND LOCKING SYSTEM S UFACTURER'S SPECIFICATION 62-8810 AND 4262-8811 FOR ALL.	HALL BE SUPI IS. FIXING DETA	PLIED <i>A</i>	ND ENCE	В
NOR /2024				С
Y LINE NEIGHBOUR SIDE OST GROUND LINE RS WITH R6 STIRRUPS @601	0 CRS			D
US 400w 300d E MOWING STRIP DNCRETE POST FOUNDATION ENT N DETAIL				E
Reference designation Drawing FOR CONSEN stamp: TENDE Drawing no: 4262-8713 7	i: IT AND Scale R AS SH	Drawin at A3: 10WN Rev: 2 8	g function: Doc type: Sheet: 1 of 2	, F



7 8	
s181 NOR 01/08/2024	А
T WELDED TO THE BACK AND TOP OF THE POSTS	В
5x75x4 RHS MEDIUM GAUGE SECTION POSTS MEDIUM GAUGE SECTION TOP RAIL AND STILES RFENCE PALISADE, 2350H" FENCE PANELS. GALVANISED AND PAINTED. FER TO SHEET 2 S MEDIUM GAUGE SECTION BOTTOM RAIL DCK WITH CONCRETE HAUNCHING	С
WHEELS TO SUIT SET BACK FROM THE ENDS OF THE GATE COMPACTED SUB-BASE DUNDATION ENCASEMENT SHOWN DASHED DR ATTACHED AT THE REARS OF LEGS	D
P AND STAPLE WELDED TO THE OUTSIDE POST AND THE SLIDING GATE NSURE CLEARANCE FOR VECTOR STANDARD PAD LOCK WALL SHOWN DASHED 5x75x4 RHS MEDIUM GAUGE SECTION POSTS WITH GALV. 6mm MS FLAT CK OF THE POSTS NUNDATION ENCASEMENT SHOWN DASHED DCK WITH CONCRETE HAUNCHING EITHER SIDE OF THE POSTS COMPACTED SUB-BASE EITHER SIDE OF THE GATE	E
Reference designation:Drawing function:Drawing FOR CONSENT AND stamp:Scale at A3: AS SHOWNDoc type: AS SHOWNDrawing no:Rev: 4262-8713Rev: 1Sheet: 2 of 2	F

Appendix Seven: Proposed Landscape Plan

01. Proposal.





Appendix Eight: Assessment of Landscape and Visual Amenity Effects
Vector Limited SANDSPIT SUBSTATION

ASSESSMENT OF LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL AMENTIY EFFECTS

September 2024

Isthmus.

Client Name:	Vector Limited
Project Name:	Sandspit Substation
Document Name:	Assessment of Landscape and Visual Amenity Effects
Document Status:	Final
Date:	18 September 2024
IGL Reference:	5008
Author:	Rose Armstrong
Review:	Matt Jones

Isthmus Group Limited 56 Victoria Street Te Whanganui a Tara Wellington

Tel: 0800 478 468

Copyright. The contents of this document must not be copied or reproduced in whole without the written consent of the Isthmus Group Limited.

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	4
2.0	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	6
3.0	THE PROPOSAL	7
4.0	EXISTING ENVIRONMENT	8
5.0	STATUTORY PLANNING CONTEXT	10
6.0	ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS	10
7.0	CONCLUSIONS	15

Appendices:

Appendix A: Definitions; NZILA Ratings Scale

Appendix B: Graphic Attachments.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Isthmus Group Ltd (IGL) has been engaged by Vector Limited (Vector) to prepare a comparative assessment of potential landscape and visual effects (LVA) for a proposed substation on Sandspit Road, between Sandspit and Warkworth, north of Auckland.
- 1.2 The site was designated for a substation in 2009¹ but has not yet been developed by Vector. Vector is now progressing an application to Auckland Council² to amend the site's designation boundaries and certain conditions.
- 1.3 The concept design for the substation has now been progressed. Vector will therefore be seeking to change:
 - Condition 1 so that it now refers to the updated design drawings;
 - Condition 2 so it now refers to the s181 Alteration Notice of Requirement;
 - Condition 3 so it refers to the new landscape plan; and
 - Condition 4 so the fencing requirements refer to the updated drawings.
- 1.4 This LVA assesses the landscape and visual amenity effects of the proposed change to the designation boundaries, and the revised site layout and mitigation provided by the proposed (revised) landscape plan with effects considered relative to the conditioned landscape plan (2009). The key matter for the LVA is to address is whether the proposed landscape plan will avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse landscape and visual amenity effects arising from the new substation to a similar degree as the original proposal, resulting in a similar degree of effect³ on landscape and visual amenity values. The LVA has also considered the degree of the change of effects between the development of the site currently allowed for under the designation and that which would be provided for under the modified designation.
- 1.5 Appendix B (Graphic Attachments) contains the proposed landscape plan (2024) and the original landscape plan (2009) which was conditioned to the site designation. Appendix B also provides visual simulations to illustrate the proposal, and the visualisations included in the 2009 NOR application.

¹ Through a Notice of Requirement (NOR) to the Rodney District Plan. IGL provided the LVA and Landscape Plan for the NOR.

² Under section 181 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).

³ Effects are assessed on a 7-point rating scale and may be adverse, neutral or positive. Refer to Appendix A for further details and the effects ratings scale used in this assessment.

- 1.6 This LVA includes an integrated approach, whereby design input has been provided to the proposal alongside assessment of effects. The approach has been taken to ensure that adverse landscape and visual amenity effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated as part of the proposal.
- 1.7 This LVA has not been required to include assessment of effects in terms of provisions in the Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP), which would be required if this was for a resource consent application.⁴

Background – LVA for the NOR

- 1.8 In 2009 IGL carried out an LVA⁵ for the NOR for the site, relating to the proposed buildings and site layout and the landscape plan prepared at that time.
- 1.9 The 2009 LVA considered:
 - Appropriateness of the site⁶ in terms of amenity;
 - Effectiveness of the building and landscape design in terms of amenity;
 - Effects on the amenity of views from public places;
 - Effects on the amenity from nearby properties.
- 1.10 The 2009 LVA found that the proposal would maintain and enhance landscape and visual amenity in the area due to:
 - The appropriateness of the site, taking into account its location on Sandspit's rural fringe, the small visual catchment, and the location below the road on an inside bend;
 - The scale and form of the proposed buildings being in keeping with the site's rural context;
 - A resulting low (adverse) level of visual effects on public views, taking into account the substation design, its position relative to the road and landscape backdrop, the extent of screening, and the proposed planting recommended in mitigation;
 - A resulting low (adverse) effect on amenity from residential properties overall, taking into account the low number of properties with potential views, the extent of screening vegetation for the nearest properties, and the combination of distance, relatively low

⁴ As advised by the project planning team. Refer to the AEE for information relating to the AUP.

⁵ Proposed Sandspit Road Substation Landscape and Visual Assessment, Isthmus, 12 March 2009.

⁶ For designation for use for a substation.

elevation of the substation site, landscape backdrop, and partial filtering of views for those properties further away; and with

- Effective mitigation of remaining visual amenity effects from public and private views by the proposed landscape measures.
- 1.11 Effects in the 2009 LVA were considered in terms of Part 2 and Section 171⁷ of the RMA, and proposed provisions in Chapter 19 of the Proposed Rodney District Plan (2000) and with the LVA completed in line with methodology at that time.⁸

2.0 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

Methodology

- 2.1 The methodology used for this LVA is consistent with that recommended in Te Tangi a te Manu ⁹ and has included the following:
 - Review of proposal documents;
 - Review of the documents relating to the site's designation:
 - Designation Condition 3 Landscape Plan (2009);
 - The original LVA from 2009 for the NOR for the site;
 - Description and evaluation of the site and context (as an update to the 2009 LVA evaluation provided for the NOR);
 - Evaluation of the visual catchment for the proposal, including identification of the locations and audiences likely to gain most prominent views (as an update to the 2009 LVA evaluation provided for the NOR);
 - A summary of the proposal focused on differences to the 2009 proposal and the conditioned landscape plan;
 - Development of measures to avoid, remedy and mitigate adverse effects on landscape and visual amenity for inclusion in the proposed landscape plan;
 - Recommendations (if required, further to design input to the proposed landscape plan); and

⁷ Relating to designations under the RMA.

⁸ NZILA has updated its recommended best-practice assessment methodology since the 2009 LVA was completed – with the adoption of Te Tangi a te Manu in 2022 (refer to "Methodology," below).

⁹ Aotearoa New Zealand Landscape Assessment Guidelines adopted by Tuia Pito Ora New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects (NZILA) in July 2022.

- Conclusions.
- 2.2 This LVA does not reconsider the broad-scale suitability of the site for use for a substation (as considered in the 2009 LVA for the NOR).
- 2.3 Refer to **Appendix A** for definitions and ratings scale used for this assessment, taken from Te Tangi a Te Manu; and for a comparison of the NZILA ratings scale to RMA terminology.

3.0 THE PROPOSAL

- 3.1 Vector proposes to develop a substation on a site on Sandspit Road which was designated for that purpose in 2009. This proposal seeks to alter the site's designation boundaries and certain conditions including Condition 3 (Landscape Plan).
- 3.2 The proposed design is to develop the site to include three buildings (housing two transformer rooms and a switch room) and a reconfigured site layout. This is described in detail within the AEE document prepared by Osborne Hay and illustrated on the Vector drawings set (with excerpts from the Vector plans (as relevant to the LVA) included within **Appendix B** to this report).
- 3.3 In summary, the revised landscape plan proposes to develop the site more fully, including with:
 - Higher retaining¹⁰ to enable a building platform and provision for an additional (future) building;
 - A building platform roughly level with Sandspit Road, instead of slightly below the road (as in the consented landscape plan);
 - Planting around the site boundaries and within the new designation boundaries (new trees and shrubs) rather than retention of pasture and new trees inside the site (as per the 2009 plan); and
 - Rock-riprap (to include planting in adjacent areas) within an overflow path¹¹ on the site (taking water from Sandspit Road).
- 3.4 The revised landscape plan is needed at the site to:

Retaining in the 2009 proposal was to an approximate maximum of 1.2m and this was integrated into the building foundations. In the revised proposal retaining is to a maximum of approximately 4m (at the southeast corner of the site) and is located towards the site boundaries to provide the required building platform.

¹¹ The overflow path is shown on the proposed landscape plan at Appendix B. The pathway has become more formalised since the site was designated and is now fenced. It is currently grassed and takes overflow from Sandspit Road.

- Avoid any construction at the site which may impede water flow at the overflow pathway in eastern parts of the site;¹²
- Meet Vector standards which have changed since the site was designated;¹³
- Provide for changed needs and future-proofing at the site;¹⁴ and
- To take account of the changes to the designation boundaries.
- 3.5 As part of the proposal (and to provide for mitigation planting in the proposed landscape plan), Vector has written agreement with the neighbouring property at 12 Mahurangi East Road to purchase additional land at the western and southern boundaries of the designated lot. This will result in a boundary change at the site, and an overall increase of 255m² to the designated lot size. (Refer to section 1.0 within **Appendix B** for plans showing the proposed boundary change). It is understood that the subdivision consent for the boundary adjustment has now been granted. This assessment is prepared on the basis that the designation boundaries are to be modified to reflect the new proposed site boundaries.
- 3.6 Refer to the graphic attachment **Appendix B** for the revised and consented landscape plans, and for photo simulations of the proposal.

4.0 EXISTING ENVIRONMENT

Context

4.1 Overall, the evaluation of the broader context provided by the 2009 LVA for the NOR remains correct, and is set out below:

"The site is on the outskirts of Sandspit in a rural landscape on the urban/rural fringe.

Sandspit is a coastal settlement at the mouth of Matakana River with Kawau Bay. Along with other coastal settlements in the area, such as Snell's Beach and Algies Bay, Sandspit has developed over recent decades from a bach settlement to become increasingly residential in character.

The site is separated from Sandspit by a ridge which forms a natural boundary between Sandspit's residential area and the rural landscape to the west. The site is within a small valley so that the ridges defining the visual catchment are within 200m – 500m of the site in any direction.

The site is adjacent to Sandspit Road, the main access road for Sandspit and Snells Beach/Algies Bay, and is near the four-way intersection of Sandspit Road (leading to

¹² The consented pan included parts of the vehicle driveway in the area of the overflow pathway.

¹³ As advised by Vector Limited.

¹⁴ With provision for a future transformer room.

Sandspit), Mahurangi East Road (leading to Snells Beach/Algies Bayl, and Sharp Road (leading to Matakana).

Immediately to the west of the site is a neighbouring dwelling within a well treed setting, a roadside ancillary building (orchard shop) and shared a driveway.

The surrounding landscape has a relatively close pattern of rural settlement comprising lifestyle properties, some larger farms, a mix of horticultural, viticultural, pastoral and plantation land-uses. The area has typical rural trees, such as pines and poplars and macrocarpas, together with plantations and tree crops, and remnant stands of totara-broadleaf coastal forest."

- 4.2 Since the site's designation an adjacent lot to the east has been developed with a new dwelling (at 12 Mahurangi East Road). The dwelling faces the site and includes solid fencing (not visually permeable) around its outdoor area, between the dwelling and the site.¹⁵
- 4.3 More immediate areas surrounding the site comprise gently rolling slopes in pasture. There is a large stand of tall trees to the south, and further trees and amenity planting to the west, east and north at the boundaries of adjacent rural-residential lots and around existing dwellings, and along the edges of Sandspit and Mahurangi East Roads.¹⁶
- 4.4 Adjacent to the site's western boundary (and close to Sandspit Road) there are two garages/small storage buildings. These are grouped together and are inside the property boundary of 836 Sandspit Road (the site's neighbouring property to the south).

Site

- 4.5 The site is adjacent to Sandspit Road and slopes down from the road towards the south. It is located on an inside bend in the road.
- 4.6 The site is currently in pasture. It includes an overflow pathway (fenced and grassed) which takes water from Sandspit Road. There has been some periodic scouring on the site from stormwater discharge from the road.
- 4.7 Fencing included at the site (and in immediately adjacent paddocks) is typical rural fencing of post and batten.
- 4.8 There is an existing overhead electricity line on the roadside verge, along with a telephone and transformer box adjacent to the northern boundary fence.

¹⁵ This property has provided consent to the proposed development.

¹⁶ The extent of planting in the area (around the site and along Sandspit Road) can be seen on the viewpoint location plan (aerial photo) included at section 1.0 of Appendix B.

4.9 The site includes a consent notice which places a visual restriction on a small part of the site, at the north-east corner along Sandspit Road. (This is shown on the plans included at **Appendix B**). The consent notice notes that the area is not to be restricted above a level of 55.30m in terms of Auckland Vertical Height Datum 1946.¹⁷

5.0 STATUTORY PLANNING CONTEXT

Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)

- 5.1 RMA s7 other matters requires development to have particular regard to:
 - the maintenance and enhancement of amenity values (s7(c); and
 - the maintenance and enhancement of the quality of the environment (s7(f)).

Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP)

5.2 Matters pertaining to the AUP are addressed in the AEE for the proposal.¹⁸

6.0 ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS

Landscape (Appropriateness of Building and Landscape Design¹⁹)

- 6.1 There will be a greater level of adverse short-term landscape effects from the proposal (for the reasons set out below), than would occur with the consented proposal. However, in the long term (with growth of proposed mitigation planting), the level of adverse effects will be similar.
- 6.2 The level of adverse short-term landscape effects is assessed as Low-Moderate. The effects will primarily arise from a less sympathetic (and initially more visually prominent) development on the site, resulting from:
 - The taller and more extensive retaining required, and with this located near the lot boundaries - creating a landform platform above surrounding pasture rather than being lower and integrated into building foundations;
 - Further to that, buildings being located more level with Sandspit Road rather than being tucked below the road level;

¹⁷ As noted on the Record of Title for the site, Lot 2 Deposited Plan 426584.

¹⁸ In line with advice from the project planning team, effects against the AUP provisions are not considered in this LVA.

¹⁹ As considered in the 2009 LVA.

- An increased number of buildings and a more visually complex layout.
- 6.3 Over time (with growth of proposed planting), the adverse landscape effects will reduce to Low adverse. Effects will remain slightly more adverse than the consented plan²⁰ (when considered on the 7-point ratings scale) but will be similarly at the lower end of the scale. This is because:
 - Proposed planting (once grown) will largely conceal retaining and will partially screen new buildings and integrate these into the context;²¹
 - Although the proposed planting at lot boundaries will articulate boundaries rather than
 integrate them more subtly into surrounding pasture,²² the proposed design will be
 appropriate. Dense planting at lot boundaries occurs as part of the surrounding rural context
 (including at adjacent properties) and the proposed design will fit with this pattern;
 - Proposed tree species include a mix of exotic and indigenous, which is consistent with the consented plan and will provide a fit with the rural context (which includes a mix of exotic and indigenous species);
 - Proposed buildings include simple forms which relate to those typically found in the rural context, and will be of a suitable scale – in line with what could be expected in the rural context;
 - External cladding to proposed buildings will be concrete panels (as proposed in the consented proposal), which will assist to reduce potential visual prominence (retaining a neutral/natural concrete colouring); and
 - Rock rip-rap will prevent erosion of landform values from possible scouring (a positive landscape effect).
- 6.4 While the proposed site layout has changed when compared to that of the consented landscape plan, the visually complex²³ rural landscape surrounding the site, and the site's proximity to other

²⁰ Due to the proposed development being less of an "easy" / integrated fit into the existing natural landform of the site.

²¹ The photo simulations for the proposal (refer to section 2.0 of Appendix B) show mitigation planting at 10 years growth - with trees shown at approximately 4-6m height (depending on the species). Proposed trees can be expected to achieve further height over time - Kanuka can be expected to attain approximately 15m in height; Pittosporum up to approximately 12m; and Magnolia up to approximately 10m. This will provide additional screening to proposed buildings – noting also that views of buildings in the rural context will not be inappropriate, and that the proposed buildings are of an appropriate design for the rural context.

²² The consented landscape plan integrates the lot into surrounding pasture with use of retained pasture inside the lot.

²³ As noted in the 2009 LVA for the NOR where there is a description of how the landscape includes a complex pattern of trees, shelter belts, buildings and different types of activity.

development, will mean this can be accommodated. The proposed landscape plan will still provide a development with an appropriate fit into the existing setting.

6.5 Overall and in summary, short-term landscape effects are assessed as slightly more adverse than the consented plan, assessed as Low-Moderate. In the long-term (with growth of proposed mitigation planting), adverse landscape effects will remain slightly more adverse than the consented plan – assessed as Low. The change in landscape effects between the existing development provided for under the designation and the new proposal will be minor.

Visual amenity

Private views

- 6.6 Views may occur from private properties to the west, east and south of the site. To the north (on Sharp/Sandspit Road) views from private properties will very likely be obscured by intervening landform and vegetation (including vegetation on private properties, and vegetation along the northern edge of Sandspit Road).
- 6.7 The 2009 LVA for the NOR included a housing inventory, for assessment of effects on private views from development of the site with a substation (as part of the NOR and designation application). It appears that possible views of the site from a number of the properties identified in the inventory to the south/south-east are likely to now be obscured by the large stand of tall trees to the immediate south of the site.²⁴
- 6.8 From the site visit undertaken for this LVA, and from further study of the context using Googlemaps/Streetview, properties likely to gain views of the proposal have been identified as shown in the following table.²⁵
- 6.9 Visual amenity effects in private views are assessed as follows:

16 Mahurangi East Road (east of the site)

Evaluation	Effects rating
Some elevated views of the site may be possible but it appears likely that views will be screened by trees in	Short-term: Very Low

²⁴ The stand of trees can be seen to the south of the site on the viewpoint location plan (aerial photo) included in section 2.0 of Appendix B.

²⁵ Effects in views from 12 Mahurangi East Road (immediately adjacent to the site to the east) are not considered in this LVA, as the property has provided consent to development of the site as proposed.

western parts of this property. If views are possible the	Long-term: Negligible
proposal will be seen distantly and as part of a visually	
complex view, which will reduce any adverse impact.	

836 Sandspit Road (to the south of the site)

Evaluation	Effects rating
Views of the proposal will be from the accessway (a long driveway which runs along the west boundary of the site), on arrival and leaving the property. No views will be possible from the dwelling due to intervening tall trees. (At the time of the 2009 LVA for the site's designation, the property was assessed as gaining views of the site from the top storey of the dwelling. Due to growth of trees on the boundary between the dwelling and the site, it appears that views from the dwelling will no longer be possible.)	<i>Short-term:</i> Moderate adverse <i>Long-term:</i> Low adverse
Views from the accessway will be in close proximity to the proposal (being immediately adjacent). From this location the proposed planting will provide visual softening of the proposal.	
Service components at the rear of the switchroom (facing the private driveway) will be painted the same colour as the building, to reduce their visual prominence in views from the driveway. The service area will be screened in the long-term by the growth of mitigation planting.	
In the long-term the proposed vegetation will provide greater screening (in views from the driveway) than shown in the visual simulations (with the expected mature height of trees), ²⁶ and will contribute visual amenity to views from the driveway - including with flowering/seasonal variation from proposed Magnolias.	

Photo simulations show mitigation planting at 10 years growth (with trees shown at approximately 4-6m height, depending on the species). Proposed trees can be expected to achieve further height over time - Kanuka can be expected to attain approximately 15m in height; Pittosporum up to approximately 12m; and Magnolia up to approximately 10m. This will provide additional screening to proposed buildings – noting also that views of buildings in the rural context will not be inappropriate, and that the proposed buildings are of an appropriate design for the rural context.

A draft landscape plan was provided to the property ²⁷ but	
no feedback has been received by Vector at the time of	
writing.	

826 Sandspit Road (to the west of the site)²⁸

Evaluation	Effects rating
Views of the road frontage and parts of the west edge of the site will be gained from the entrance to/from this property off Sandspit Road. At the property entrance/exit there will be partial screening of the western edge of the site by existing garages (located at the edge of Sandspit Road and belonging to 836 Sandspit Road). It appears that views from the dwelling will be screened by tall vegetation along this property's eastern boundary. There will be views available from the farm shed at the south end of the property.	<i>Short-term:</i> Low-Moderate adverse <i>Long-term:</i> Very Low adverse
The proposed planting will provide visual softening of the proposal in views from this property and its entrance/exit. In the long-term the proposed vegetation will provide greater screening (with the expected mature height of trees) and will contribute visual amenity in views for this property - including with flowering/seasonal variation from proposed Magnolias.	

6.10 The change in visual amenity effects between the existing development provided for under the designation and the new proposal, in private views (for the above listed properties), will be less than minor in the long term (with growth of the proposed planting).

²⁷ As advised by Vector Limited.

²⁸ Views for properties further along Sandspit Road to the west (beyond 826) will be screened by intervening vegetation and/or buildings.

Public views

- 6.11 Those using Sandspit Road and Mahurangi East Road will be able to see the site in travelling east towards Sandspit, and in travelling west from Sandspit towards Warkworth. Most views will be transitory, from a moving vehicle in passing the site.
- 6.12 The revised (2024) proposal will initially be more visually prominent in the pastoral setting than would be the case in the consented landscape plan, due predominantly to the taller and more extensive retaining (seen together with rock riprap in some views), and the location of buildings closer to the level of Sandspit Road. This will result in a higher level of short-term adverse effects on visual amenity although the significance of this will be reduced by the transitory nature of the most public views (seen from vehicles in passing the site). Additionally, the site is located on an inside bend in the road, which reduces its prominence in views from Mahurangi East and Sandspit Roads.
- 6.13 In the long term, with growth of planting, the retaining, rock rip rap and buildings will become screened and visually integrated into the context. The proposed planting will contribute visual amenity to views from Mahurangi East and Sandspit Roads, including with flowering/seasonal variation from the Magnolias trees (also included in the consented plan). Views of buildings are not unexpected in rural environments and the proposed buildings will be visually appropriate for the setting.
- 6.14 Short-term effects on visual amenity in public views is assessed as **Low-Moderate adverse**. Longterm effects are assessed as **Low adverse**. Effects will be at the lower end of the scale and in this regard will provide a similar level of effect in the long term to the consented landscape plan. The change in visual effects between the existing development provided for under the designation and the new proposal will be less than minor, in public views (with transitory views only for those passing the site).

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

7.1 In summary, landscape and visual amenity effects from the proposal will be slightly more adverse than the consented landscape plan / 2009 proposal, due to a less "easy" / integrated fit into the existing natural landform of the site. However, as the adverse effects will be at the lower end of the scale, effects are considered to be at a similar level (in terms of acceptability) to those provided by the consented plan.

- 7.2 The actual change in landscape effect between the existing development provided for under the designation and the proposed modifications will be minor, in the long term (with growth of the proposed planting).
- 7.3 In public views (for those driving past the site) the change in effect between the existing development provided for under the designation and the proposed modification will be less than minor in the long term (with growth of the proposed planting, and with views being transitory only).
- 7.4 Long term effects on visual amenity for adjoining/nearby properties²⁹ (private views of the site) will range from Negligible to Low adverse considered to be at a similar level to the consented plan. In the long term, with growth of the proposed planting, the actual change in visual amenity effects between the existing development provided for under the designation and the new proposal will be less than minor for the adjoining/nearby properties.
- 7.5 Overall, the revised proposal will appropriately manage any adverse landscape and visual effects, with the planting mitigation proposed.

²⁹ 16 Mahurangi East Road, 826 Sandspit Road and 836 Sandspit Road – as assessed in this report.

Appendix A: Definitions; NZILA Ratings Scale

Definitions & Explanations

This assessment uses the following definitions/explanations set out in Te Tangi a Te Manu, the Aotearoa New Zealand Landscape Assessment Guidelines (July 2022) adopted by Tuia Pito Ora, New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects (NZILA):

Landscape

"Landscape embodies the relationship between people and place. It is the character of an area, how the area is experienced and perceived, and the meanings associated with it."

Landscape is an integrating concept. While landscape draws strands from diverse sources (natural sciences, humanities, cultural perspectives), it is perceived and experienced as a unified phenomenon. It is an integrated whole. It is more than a summary of data – the whole is greater than the sum of the parts.

Landscapes have physical, associative, and perceptual dimensions.

Amenity Values

The RMA defines "amenity values" as "those natural or physical qualities and characteristics of an area that contribute to people's appreciation of its pleasantness, aesthetic coherence, and cultural and recreational attributes."

NZILA Ratings Scale

The 7-point rating scale recommended by Tuia Pito Ora NZILA for assessment of effects is as follows:

Very Low / Low / Low-Moderate / Moderate / Moderate-High / High / Very High

Effects can be adverse, neutral, or positive.

The following graphic provides a comparison of the relationship between the NZILA 7-point rating scale, and RMA terminology, as set out in the NZILA assessment guidelines, Te Tangi a te Manu:

SIGNIFICANT						
LESS THAN MINOR MINOR MORE THAN MINOR						
VERY LOW	LOW	LOW-MOD	MODERATE	MOD-HIGH	HIGH	VERY HIGH

Vector Ltd. Sandspit Substation. LVA Appendix B: Graphic Attachments.

Land. People. Culture. Isthmus. August 2024

Isthmus.



Isthmus.

Document record								
Revision	Author	QA	Date					
А	AE	AA	20.06.24					
В	AE	AA	29.07.24					
С	RA		26.08.24					
	Revision A B C	RevisionAuthorAAEBAECRA	RevisionAuthorQAAAEAABAEAACRA					

Contents

01. Proposal.	4
Proposed Landscape Plan	5
Proposed buildings - elevations	6
Site Plan - Existing boundary	7
Site Plan - Proposed boundary	8
Site Plan - Proposed boundary	9
02. Photo Simulations - Proposed Landscape Plan.	10
Viewpoint Location Plan	11
Viewpoint 1 - EXISTING	12
Viewpoint 1 - PROPOSED	14
Viewpoint 2 - EXISTING	16
Viewpoint 2 - PROPOSED	18
Visual Simulation Methodology	20
03. Consented Landscape Plan (2009).	22
04. Visualisations - Consented Landscape Plan (2009).	24
Visualisation 1 of 4	25
Visualisation 2 of 4	27
Visualisation 3 of 4	29
Visualisation 4 of 4	32

Isthmus. Sandspit Substation | Vector Ltd | June 2024

3

01. Proposal.





Proposed buildings - elevations

Excerpts from Vector Drawing Set 4262-8000 - 8722. Refer to the AEE for full drawing set.



Site Plan - Existing boundary





Vector Limited Comprised In: 504681 504682 Local Authority: Auckland Council Total Area: 4.4810 Ha

Areas A & B DP 426584 are subject to height restriction land covenants created by: 8567546.3 Imagery from LINZ Data Service circa

Overland Flow Path Power Swichgear Power Pole



Changes may occur to the layout of the proposal shown as a result of the Resource Consent Condit

- Areas and dimensions on this plan may be subject to change following field survey.
- The copyright and intellectual property rights for the information shown on this plan remain the property of CKL NZ Ltd.
- This plan has been prepared only for the purpose of illustrating an application for resource consent. It should not be used for any other purpose.

			DRAFT					
	lssue	Description	Checked	Date			Date	Scale:
UBDIVISION OF	1	Sheet 1504 only		10.07.24	Designed:			1.1250
	0	Initial			Drawn:	RP	04.07.24	1.1250
7 NP 426584					Checked:	MRD	09.07.24	(A3 Original)
i SITE PLAN					Job A23	^{No:}	Dwg 15	No: Rev:

Site Plan - Proposed boundary



Site Plan - Proposed boundary



	A	Applic Comp	ant: rised In:	Vector I 504681	imited	
		Local . Total	Authority: Area:	504682 Aucklan 4.4810	d Coun Ha	cil
		Note:				
		Areas to heig create	A & B DP 426 ght restriction d by: 856754	5584 are sul n land cove 16.3	oject nants	
		Key: Area to Aucklas 8866	o remain within nd Council des	n ignation	Areas: 1387m ²	
9.7 2.1	X.	Areas t Counci	o be added to I designation 8	Auckland 866	266m²	
10.4 A 5	18.	8 Area to Aucklai 8866	be removed f nd Council des	from ignation	11m²	
\rightarrow	B					
/						
		\neg				
77						
*						
		Notes: 1. Cha	anges may occu	ur to the layo	ut of the p	roposal
		2. Are	as and dimens	ions on this p field survey.	lan may b	e subject to
		3. The info	e copyright and prmation show	l intellectual n on this plar	property ri remain th	ights for the ne property
		4. Thi illu:	s plan has been strating an app	n prepared or lication for re	nly for the	purpose of nsent. It
		sho	ulu not de USe	u ior any oth	ei purpose	z.
		Charles	Date	DRAFT	Data	Cooler
ROPOSED	Issue Description 1 Notes Amended 0 Initial	Cnecked	Date 10.07.24 Des	signed: Drawn: SMR	Date 08.07.24	Scale: 1:250
			Ch	ecked: MRD Job No:	09.07.24 Dwg	(A3 Original) No: Rev:
PLAN			<i>F</i>	\2303 5	150	04 1

02. Photo Simulations -Proposed Landscape Plan.



Viewpoint Location Plan

Scale: 1: 2,000 @ A3 Produced: Isthmus Group Ltd. Basemap Source: Auckland Council GIS (Geomaps).













Viewpoint 1 - EXISTING

View from driveway at 836 Sandspit Road



Isthmus. Sandspit Substation | Vector Ltd | June 2024 **Original Photo** AE | 50mm | DSLR Nikon D810 | 13:03 20 May 2024 | 1753009E 5970413N (NZTM) Reading distance for correct scale: 400mm Field of View Approximately 110° horizontal (across 2 x A3 pages) & 34° vertical



Viewpoint 1 - PROPOSED

View from driveway at 836 Sandspit Road



Isthmus. Sandspit Substation | Vector Ltd | June 2024 **Original Photo** AE | 50mm | DSLR Nikon D810 | 13:03 20 May 2024 | 1753009E 5970413N (NZTM) Reading distance for correct scale: 400mm Field of View Approximately 110° horizontal (across 2 x A3 pages) & 34° vertical



Viewpoint 2 - EXISTING

View from near the outdoor area at 12 Mahurangi East Road



Sandspit Substation | Vector Ltd | June 2024

Original Photo AE | 50mm | DSLR Nikon D810 | 12:51 20 May 2024 | 1753206E 5970408N (NZTM) Reading distance for correct scale: 400mm Field of View Approximately 110° horizontal (across 2 x A3 pages) & 34° vertical



Viewpoint 2 - PROPOSED

View from near the outdoor area at 12 Mahurangi East Road



Sandspit Substation | Vector Ltd | June 2024

Original Photo AE | 50mm | DSLR Nikon D810 | 12:51 20 May 2024 | 1753206E 5970408N (NZTM) Reading distance for correct scale: 400mm Field of View Approximately 110° horizontal (across 2 x A3 pages) & 34° vertical

Photosimulation Methodology Statement

- Photos were taken with a 50mm fixed lens on DSLR camera. Locations were fixed using a handheld GPS unit with accuracy of 5m. These points were cross referenced using the Auckland Council GIS information. Reference points in the landscape, such as trees and existing structures were also located to assist referencing of photo to digital model.
- A sequence of photos was taken from each viewpoint and stitched to form panoramas. Photos were overlapped by approximately 30% and edges cropped prior to stitching to eliminate edge distortion.
- A digital model was created. Computer images were generated within the digital scene from the same locations as the photos. The image was overlaid and aligned with the photo using key reference points and visual matching. (Photos were imported in RAW format to avoid degradation of the image, requiring resizing to match the computer image).
- The wire-frame was then switched off leaving the proposed activity in its correct location and scale relative to the photo. Lower parts of the proposed activity were erased using Photoshop software where they would be behind foreground items.
- The time and weather when the photo was taken was entered to the program in order to replicate lighting conditions.
- The completed photomontage is presented over two pages:
 - The photos are produced to replicate correct scale at the nominated reading distance (in this case 400mm).
 - Each photomontage is printed across two facing pages to illustrate a field of view of approximately 110° at a reading distance of 400mm. This approximates the field of human binocular vision. (But not peripheral vision which extends to approximately 200°)

Notes on use of Photosimulations:

- The Photosimulations are a useful tool but they cannot not precisely reproduce real life for the following reasons:
 - 2D Photography flattens an image compared to binocular vision.
 - Photography is static, whereas the human vision can scan and remember information.
 - Photographs are passive, whereas the eye seeks out detail.
 - The human eye can see more contrast than can be reproduced through photography.



Figure 01: The relationship between reading distance and real life scale.

Visual Simulation Methodology



Figure 02: Binocular vision is approximately 124°. Field of view is approximately 110° across 2 x A3 pages at correct scale image for 400mm reading distance (vertical field of view is approximately 33°)



Figure 03: Comparison of 35mm lens and 50mm lens

Two images from the same location. With 35mm and 50mm lenses perspective is influenced by field of view, not by lens focal length. The overlaid portion is identical.

Page intentionally blank





Isthmus. bstation | Vector Ltd | June 2024

Consented Landscape Plan (2009). 03.







M	Prope	osed fence			
PLANTIN	IG SCHEDULE				
d grass	Common Name	Botanical Name	Grade	Spacing	Quantity
d grass	Common Name	Botanical Name Pittosporum eugenioides	Grade PB12	Spacing 3m	Quantity 16
d grass	G SCHEDULE Common Name Tarata/ Lemonwood Kanuka	Botanical Name Pittosporum eugenioides Kunzea ericoides	Grade PB12 PB12	Spacing 3m NA	Quantity 16 8
d grass	IG SCHEDULE Common Name Tarata/ Lemonwood Kanuka Totara	Botanical Name Pittosporum eugenioides Kunzea ericoides Podoparpus totara	Grade PB12 PB12 PB40	Spacing 3m NA NA	Quantity 16 6 3
d grass	G SCHEDULE Common Name Tarata/ Lemonwood Kanuka Totara Magnolia	Botanical Name Pittosporum eugenioides Kunzea ericoides Podoparpus totara Magnolia grandiflora	Grade PB12 PB12 PB40 PB40	Spacing 3m NA NA NA	Quantity 16 8 3 2
d grass	G SCHEDULE Common Name Tarata/ Lemonwood Kanuka Totara Magnolia Paperbark birch	Botanical Name Pittosporum eugenioides Kunzea ericoides Podoparpus totara Magnolia grandiflora Betula papyrifera	Grade РВ12 РВ12 РВ40 РВ40 РВ40	Spacing 3m NA NA NA NA	Quantity 16 8 3 2 1



04. Visualisations -Consented Landscape Plan (2009).





Not to scale

Sandspit Substation Visual Evidence

Visualisation 1 of 4

View from Sandspit road looking Southeast. Proposed without planting

Recommended reading distance for this graphic is approximately 350mm

Original Photo | Danbi Park | 50mm DSLR | 1110 19th December 2008 | E 2663579 N 6532282 (NZMG) Photomontage* | Danbi Park | Photoshop CS3 & Autodesk 3ds Max Design 2009 32-bit Distance | Substation building is approximately 36m from the viewpoint

Artists impression based on preliminary computer model. * Photomontages should be used as a guide to field observations.



Proposed_ without planting











Sandspit Substation Visual Evidence

Visualisation 1 of 4

View from Sandspit road looking Southeast. Proposed with planting

Original Photo | Danbi Park | 50mm DSLR | 1110 19th December 2008 | E 2663579 N 6532282 (NZMG) Photomontage* | Danbi Park | Photoshop CS3 & Autodesk 3ds Max Design 2009 32-bit Distance | Substation building is approximately 36m from the viewpoint

Recommended reading distance for this graphic is approximately 350mm

Artists impression based on preliminary computer model. * Photomontages should be used as a guide to field observations.











Not to scale

Sandspit Substation Visual Evidence

Visualisation 2 of 4

View from corner of Sandspit Road and Mahurangi East Road looking West. Proposed without planting

Recommended reading distance for this graphic is approximately 350mm

Original Photo | Danbi Park | 50mm DSLR | 1210 21th October 2008 | E 2663707 N 6532270 (NZMG) Photomontage* | Danbi Park | Photoshop CS3 & Autodesk 3ds Max Design 2009 32-bit Distance | Substation building is approximately 83m from the viewpoint

Artists impression based on preliminary computer model. * Photomontages should be used as a guide to field observations.

Proposed_ without planting







Proposed_ with planting



Sandspit Substation Visual Evidence

Visualisation 2 of 4

View from corner of Sandspit Road and Mahurangi East Road looking West. Proposed with planting

Recommended reading distance for this graphic is approximately 350mm

Original Photo | Danbi Park | 50mm DSLR | 1210 21th October 2008 | E 2663707 N 6532270 (NZMG) Photomontage* | Danbi Park | Photoshop CS3 & Autodesk 3ds Max Design 2009 32-bit Distance | Substation building is approximately 83m from the viewpoint

Artists impression based on preliminary computer model. * Photomontages should be used as a guide to field observations.











Not to scale

Sandspit Substation Visual Evidence

Visualisation 3 of 4 View from Mahurangi Road looking Northwest. Proposed without planting

Original Photo | Danbi Park | 50mm DSLR | 1230 21th October 2008 | E 2663822 N 6532111 (NZMG) Photomontage* | Danbi Park | Photoshop CS3 & Autodesk 3ds Max Design 2009 32-bit Distance | Substation building is approximately 247m from the viewpoint

Recommended reading distance for this graphic is approximately 350mm

Artists impression based on preliminary computer model. * Photomontages should be used as a guide to field observations.

Isthmus. Sandspit Substation | Vector Ltd | June 2024

Proposed_ without planting









Sandspit Substation Visual Evidence

Visualisation 3 of 4

View from Mahurangi Road looking Northwest. Proposed without planting

Original Photo | Danbi Park | 50mm DSLR | 1230 21th October 2008 | E 2663822 N 6532111 (NZMG) Photomontage* | Danbi Park | Photoshop CS3 & Autodesk 3ds Max Design 2009 32-bit Distance | Substation building is approximately 247m from the viewpoint

Recommended reading distance for this graphic is approximately 350mm

Artists impression based on preliminary computer model. * Photomontages should be used as a guide to field observations.











Not to scale

Sandspit Substation Visual Evidence

- Visualisation 3 of 4 View from Mahurangi Road looking Northwest. Proposed with planting

Original Photo | Danbi Park | 50mm DSLR | 1230 21th October 2008 | E 2663822 N 6532111 (NZMG) Photomontage* | Danbi Park | Photoshop CS3 & Autodesk 3ds Max Design 2009 32-bit Distance | Substation building is approximately 247m from the viewpoint

Recommended reading distance for this graphic is approximately 350mm

Artists impression based on preliminary computer model. * Photomontages should be used as a guide to field observations.

Isthmus. Sandspit Substation | Vector Ltd | June 2024

Proposed_ with planting











Sandspit Substation Visual Evidence

Visualisation 4 of 4

View from Sharp Road looking South. Proposed without planting Original Photo | Danbi Park | 50mm DSLR | 1105 19th December 2008 | E 2663609 N 6532340 (NZMG) Photomontage* | Danbi Park | Photoshop CS3 & Autodesk 3ds Max Design 2009 32-bit Distance | Substation building is approximately 84m from the viewpoint

Recommended reading distance for this graphic is approximately 350mm

Artists impression based on preliminary computer model. * Photomontages should be used as a guide to field observations.











Not to scale

Sandspit Substation Visual Evidence

Visualisation 4 of 4 View from Sharp Road looking South. Proposed with planting

Original Photo | Danbi Park | 50mm DSLR | 1105 19th December 2008 | E 2663609 N 6532340 (NZMG) Photomontage* | Danbi Park | Photoshop CS3 & Autodesk 3ds Max Design 2009 32-bit Distance | Substation building is approximately 84m from the viewpoint

Artists impression based on preliminary computer model. * Photomontages should be used as a guide to field observations.

Recommended reading distance for this graphic is approximately 350mm

Proposed_ with planting





Appendix Nine: Engineering Report

BLUE BARN CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Sandspit Zone Substation – 02446

Engineering Report for OPW and Resource Consent Applications

Date: 28/08/2024

Document Number: BB02446-002-Rev1

Prepared by: Blue Barn Consulting Limited

Prepared for: Mitton ElectroNet Limited



0800 BLUE BARN www.bluebarn.co.nz
Sandspit Zone Substation

DOCUMENT CONTROL & QUALITY STATEMENT

DISTRIBUTION LIST

The following person(s) shall receive a copy of this document upon each subsequent release:

NAME	TITLE/GROUP	ORGANISATION
Koogendran Govender	Senior Project Manager	Mitton ElectroNet Limited
Rebecca Marx	Market Leader & Principal Engineer	Mitton ElectroNet Limited
JA Hoogenboezem	Project Director & Principal Engineer	Mitton ElectroNet Limited

REVISION HISTORY

The following table outlines the revision history of this document:

REVISION	PUBLICATION DATE	COMMENTS				
Revision 0	20/12/2023	Draft				
Revision 1	14/05/2024	Final				
Revision 2	28/08/2024	Updated boundary - OPW				
Report Prepared For:	Mitton Electronet Limited					
Report Prepared By:	Blue Barn Consulting Limited Level 8, 51 Shortland Street Auckland 1010 Contact Number: 0800 258 32276 or +649 www.bluebarn.co.nz	8397009				
Document author:	Reviewed by:	Authorised for issue:				
Jiayi Chen	Bryan Chong	Raymond Chan				
Senior Civil Engineer	Civil/Structural Engineer	Principal – Civil Engineering				
BSc, PhD (Environmental)	BE(Hons)	BE(Civil), MEngSt, CPEng, CMEngNZ				
Janji alu	Bryank.	Fernand				

28/08/2024

Disclaimer: This report and the accompanying drawings and materials have been prepared solely and exclusively for the benefit of Mitton Electronet Limited as our client for the project, Sandspit Zone Substation - 02446 and the particular brief. This report is strictly limited to the matters referred to herein. No part of this report and the accompanying drawings and materials have may be copied or distributed without Blue Barn Consulting Limited's prior written consent and no third party may rely upon the report for any purposes whatsoever. Due to this fact, any reuse of the data in this report will be at the user's sole risk without any liability on the part of Blue Barn Consulting Limited. To the fullest extent permitted by law, neither Blue Barn Consulting Limited nor any of its employees, contractors or sub-consultants accepts any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party, notwithstanding that, with Blue Barn Consulting Limited's approval, the report may be made available to other persons for an application for consent or approval or to fulfil a legal requirement.

Blue Barn Consulting Limited's name and advice may not be used in connection with any offer document, report or other public or private document, nor may the report and its contents be quoted or published, without Blue Barn Consulting Limited's prior written consent.

Blue Barn Consulting Limited gives **no undertaking to update this report** after the final version has been released to the client. This report and the associated services provided by Blue Barn Consulting Limited are otherwise subject to the Consultant Agreement with Mitton Electronet Limited dated 4/09/2023.

Sandspit Zone Substation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	I	ntroduction 4
	1.1	Scope Background 4
	1.2	Site Features 4
2.	F	Proposed Works
3.	E	Earthworks
	3.1	Earthworks
	3.2	Erosion and Sediment Control Plan6
4.	5	Site Hydrology
	4.1	Flooding assessment by EDC
	4.2	Flooding Assessment Validation by Blue Barn7
5.	5	Site Accessibility
6.	0	Drainage
	6.1	Stormwater Discharge
	6.2	Wastewater Disposal
	6.3	Water Supply
7.	C	Conclusion
8.	L	imitations
Ap	pe	ndix A – Consent Drawings
Aŗ	реі	ndix B – EDC Flood Assessment Report
Aŗ	pe	ndix C – Flood Assessment Verification
Aŗ	pe	ndix D – Calculations

Sandspit Zone Substation

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 SCOPE BACKGROUND

Blue Barn Consulting Limited (Blue Barn) has been engaged by Mitton ElectroNet Limited (ElectroNet) on behalf of Vector Limited to prepare this engineering report to support the Outline Plan of Works application for the proposed new substation servicing the Sandspit region.

This report covers the proposed civil works to facilitate the construction of the new substation as mentioned above. Specifically, the report covers the following items:

- Earthworks
- Stormwater
- Wastewater
- Water Supply

- Flooding
- Site accessibility
- Utility services
- SEPA Unit and bunding

1.2 SITE FEATURES

The site is located at Lot 1 DP 426584, Sandspit Road, Snells Beach 0982, and is located to the west of the intersection between Mahurangi East Road, Sandspit Road and Sharp Road.

It is currently a greenfield site with farm fencing and a natural overland flow path channel along the east boundary flowing from north to south. It is currently zoned as *Rural – Mixed Rural Zone* in accordance with the Auckland Unitary Plan with a total area of approximately 1,400m².

The land has a relatively steep gradient with the northern boundary as the highest elevation, sloping downwards in a south to south-east direction.



FIGURE 1-1 – AUCKLAND GEOMAPS – SITE LOCALITY

Sandspit Zone Substation

2. PROPOSED WORKS

The new substation will off-load both Warkworth and Snells Beach Zone Substations and also increase the security of power supply in the area.

With the site being relatively steep, the civil works will predominantly focus on preparing the appropriate building platform levels for the construction of the new transformer enclosure and switchroom.

The civil works will include earthworks, platforming, retaining walls, pavement construction, new drainage and vehicle access.

Landscaping is proposed between the boundary and the retaining wall along the eastern and southern perimeters.



FIGURE 2-1: CIVIL SITE LAYOUT PLAN

3. EARTHWORKS

3.1 EARTHWORKS

To facilitate the construction of the intended structures, earthwork activities will be necessary to achieve appropriate platform levels for the new buildings. Due to the steep slope of the land, where the road is positioned at the uppermost section of the site, the earthwork operations will predominantly involve the addition of fill material. There will be limited excavation for creating the deep basement foundations for the new buildings.

Sandspit Zone Substation

The proposed earthworks will exclude the area around the existing Overland Flow Path to avoid altering the flow path and ensuring the storm water flow is kept as clean diversion.

It is not expected that any undercuts for the removal of unsuitable or contaminated fill will be required following the Preliminary Site Investigation (Ground Contamination) report dated 26th June 2023 by Williamson Water & Land Advisory.

It is proposed that the following works will be conducted as part of the site enabling works:

- Topsoil strip of up to 200mm within the site compound area.
- Preparation of retaining walls around the perimeter of the site compound.
- Filling operation with the use of either engineered re-use inorganic natural clay or hardfill following recommendations of the geotechnical engineer.

The volumes within Table 3-1: Earthwork Volumes indicates the necessary earthwork quantities based on the completed concept plans.

TOPSOIL S	TRIPPING	EARTHWORKS MOVEMENT (EXCLUDES TOPSOIL CUT)				
Earthwork operation	Quantity	Earthwork operation	Quantity			
Topsoil Strip Area	1,050 m ²	Earthworks Area	890 m²			
Cut Volume	210 m ³	Cut Volume	150 m ³			
		Fill Volume	1,210 m ³			
		Net Volume	1,060 m ³			

TABLE 3-1: EARTHWORK VOLUMES

3.2 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN

Erosion and sediment control (ESC) measures will be required to manage any sediment run-off during the earthworks process and these have been considered with reference to the *Erosion and Sediment Control Guide for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region (GD005)*.

Given the stripped area of approximately 0.1 hectares, it is proposed that silt or super-silt fences around the site perimeter be the primary ESC measure for the site. Water will naturally fall to the south-east corner following the site contours. These measures are expected to be sufficient unless earthworks are being conducted during the winter seasons in which case it is recommended that the super-silt fence be replaced with a stabilized earth bund.

Once the retaining walls have been constructed, they will serve as a protective barrier to confine any potential sediment run-off. Any surface flow will be captured through the retaining wall's drainage system and be discharged to the natural channel.

Erosion and sediment build-up will be monitored and managed during the construction period should runoff of contaminants be found to be higher than expected.

The section outside the retaining wall, situated in the southwest portion of the site will be stabilized using hydro-seeding or mulch and grass will be planted upon the completion of the retaining wall construction.

Sandspit Zone Substation



FIGURE 3-1: EARTHWORKS CUT-FILL PLAN

4. SITE HYDROLOGY

4.1 FLOODING ASSESSMENT BY EDC

A flood plain report by Engineering Design Consultants was completed for this site which provided an assessment of the flood risk to the proposed substation. The report identified the following:

- Existing open channel for overland flow path down the eastern side of the site with an upstream catchment of 4.436Ha.
- Estimated 200-year flow rate of 2.8m³/sec.
- Open channel has a steep grade with signs of erosion.
- Expect a 500-600mm depth of stormwater.

The report further recommends erosion protection measures to the open channel to prevent further scouring damage. Please refer to Appendix B – EDC Flood Assessment Report for further information.

4.2 FLOODING ASSESSMENT VALIDATION BY BLUE BARN

Blue Barn was engaged to provide a review of the completed report and GIS information with respect to flooding risk.

Sandspit Zone Substation

The site did not feature any flood plains or flood prone risk. It is unlikely that this will develop in the future as the site is located close to the crest of a hill. Similar to the completed report, an overland flow path capturing road carriageway run-off and part of the uphill catchment crosses the site along the east boundary.

However, it was found through a desktop assessment and site visit that the overland flow path indicated on GIS may have underestimated the upstream catchment size. Due to the road's cross-falls and presence of a kerb upstream, the overland flow path crossing the site features a larger catchment area.

An assessment of the catchment area indicates:

- A 250-year flow rate of 2.16 m³/sec (including climate change factor of 3.5C)
- Expect a stormwater run-off depth up to 750mm following the use of topographic survey data.

A surface water run-off extent was estimated based on Hydraflow channel analysis to provide an indication of areas influenced by the overland flow path. The proposed buildings are located clear of the indicated overland flow path. With the overland flow path being contained within the channel and outside of any vehicular pathways, a minimum freeboard of 150mm has been specified between the driveway and the Finish Floor Levels.

Please refer to Appendix C – Flood Assessment Verification for further information.

5. SITE ACCESSIBILITY

The proposed entrance to the site will require a relatively steep negative grade falling into the site due to the natural site contours. The new vehicle crossing will be constructed to (TDM Technical Standard Drawing GD020B-1B). A new security gate will be located within the fenced site compound to comply to the 13 meter clearance requirement from the road's edge of seal.



Vehicle tracking has been completed for maintenance and plant delivery vehicles.

FIGURE 5-1: VEHICLE TRACKING FOR AUCKLAND TRANSPORTS 95TH PERCENTILE CAR

Sandspit Zone Substation

6. DRAINAGE

6.1 STORMWATER DISCHARGE

The site does not have a public stormwater pipe network to discharge; it currently discharges via an open channel that exits the site at the south-east corner.

The increase in stormwater, resulting from an increased impermeable surface is proposed to be attenuated to maintain the same peak flow rate as the existing site conditions. This will be achieved using underground detention tanks located at the south-west corner before the discharge point. The proposed stormwater network will discharge to the same location as the current situation with the addition of riprap for scour protection.

It is expected that the proposed sub-soil drains around the building perimeter will connect to the retaining wall sub-soils, and drain out towards the eastern corner of the retaining wall line, and discharge at the stormwater outlet wingwall.

6.2 WASTEWATER DISPOSAL

The wastewater will be collected in a 3,300L septic storage tank, which will require regular maintenance with a 'sucker' truck. The tank, located just south of the new transformer room, will need vehicle protection to prevent vehicle load surcharging.

6.3 WATER SUPPLY

A potable water supply will be provided on the site through the use of a rainwater storage tank, which will collect water from the switchroom roof and be reticulated to the new toilet via a pump system. The water will be treated with a UV treatment device.

7. CONCLUSION

Earthworks will be required to enable construction of the substation building. Erosion and sediment control measures will be installed before the commencement of earthworks and will be progressively managed/stabilized with the construction of the retaining walls.

Additional stormwater runoff will be attenuated to maintain the same peak flow rate as the existing site conditions, utilising detention tanks. The existing discharge point will be retained and utilised for the onsite stormwater discharge.

A new on-site septic tank is proposed to capture waste from the new toilet located in the switchroom building. This will require a maintenance regime.

A potable water supply will be provided on the site using UV-treated water from a new rainwater harvesting storage tank.

A new vehicle crossing will be constructed to meet Auckland Transport's standards. The driveway tapers to a gentler slope of 2% once past the gate to allow for a workable hardstand allowing for easier lifting of equipment into the switchroom/transformer rooms.

Sandspit Zone Substation

8. LIMITATIONS

- 1. This report has been prepared solely for Mitton Electronet Limited as a technical assessment of earthquake damage to property at above address only, in accordance with the MBIE Guidelines; it should not be relied upon in any other context or for any other purpose.
- 2. This report is not to be used or relied upon by third parties.
- 3. Blue Barn will not be held liable to any third parties.

Sandspit Zone Substation

APPENDIX A – CONSENT DRAWINGS

SANDSPIT SUBSTATION CIVIL DESIGN

5

6

4

3

DRAWING No.	TITLE		RE۱	/ISI	ON	Η
4262-8030-1	TITLE PAGE & REVISION HISTORY	1	2	3		
4262-8031-1	CIVIL SERVICES LAYOUT PART 1	1	2	3	4	5
4262-8031-2	CIVIL SERVICES LAYOUT PART 2	1				
4262-8032-1	ROADING LAYOUT VEHICLE TRACKING = CAR	1	2	3	4	5
4262-8032-2	ROADING LAYOUT VEHICLE TRACKING = LARGE RIGID TRUCK	1	2	3	4	5
4262-8033-1	ROADING LAYOUT DRIVEWAY PLAN	1	2	3		
4262-8034-1	ROADING LAYOUT DRIVEWAY DETAILS	1				
4262-8042-1	EARTHWORKS DESIGN CROSS SECTIONS LAYOUT	1	2	3	4	5
4262-8042-2	EARTHWORKS DESIGN CROSS SECTIONS PART 1	1	2	3	4	5
4262-8042-3	EARTHWORKS DESIGN CROSS SECTIONS PART 3	1	2	3	4	5
4262-8043-1	EARTHWORKS DESIGN CUT & FILL PLAN	1	2	3	4	
4262-8043-2	EARTHWORKS DESIGN FINISHED SITE CONTOURS	1	2			
4262-8056-1	SERVICES SITE PLAN	1	2	3		
4262-8056-2	SERVICES DRAIN PROFILES PART 1	1	2			
4262-8056-3	SERVICES DRAIN PROFILES PART 2	1				
4262-8056-4	SERVICES PROMAX SLIMLINE TANK DETAILS	1				
4262-8057-1	SERVICES DRAINAGE DETAILS - PART 1	1				
4262-8058-1	EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN LAYOUT	1	2	3		
4262-8059-1	EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN DETAILS	1				
4262-8060-1	CIVIL SERVICES GENERAL NOTES	1	2			
4262-8713-1	TYPICAL FENCE AND GATE DETAILS PART 1	1	2			
4262-8713-2	TYPICAL FENCE AND GATE DETAILS PART 2	1				

	E
_imited	
A3 Titleblock Version 4. © Copyright Vector L	F

1

В

С

D

_	Rev	Amendments	Date	Name	Consultant	ELECTRONET	File name:		A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		CIVII		
+	1	ISSUED FOR APPROVAL	28/02/2024	ElectroNet	Author	J. H'nboezem	4262-8030-1.dwg		vecto	or			
	2	REVISED FROM CLIENT COMMENTS	30/04/2024	ElectroNet	Auth Date	28/02/2024	Consultant project no:		electrici	tv	ITTLE PAGE & RE		
	3	REVISED FROM LEGAL BOUNDARY ALTERED	18/07/2024	Electroive	Checked by	R. Chan (BB)	VEC6852		Chootino	9			
				Chk by date 28/02/2024 Vector project no:				TITLE PAGE & REVISION HISTORY					
					Approved by	R. Marx	EC-24-NRNF2-002	© Copyright Vector Li	mited 2024				
					Appr by date	28/02/2024		All rights reserved, un	iautnorised reprodu	iction prohibited	Sandspit - 4262 (5571)	
		1	2			3		4			5	6	

	7	s	2		
	,				A
STORY 6					В
6					С
					D
					E
	Reference designation Drawing FOR CONSEN stamp: TENDE Drawing no: 4262-8030	TOR NT 2024.	Drawin at A3: Rev: 3	g function: Doc type: Sheet: 1 of 1	F

















2023 Limited 2 ह 2

4262-8042/1	4262-8042/1 EARTHWORKS DESIGN CROSS SECTIONS LAYOUT									
	Dofor	onco do	rignation			Drawin	a fur	octio		
	Relei	Reference designation.					Drawing function:			
	Drawi	Drawing FOR CONSENT AND Scale stamp: TENDER NTS					at A1: Doc type			
	Draw	ing no:	TENDER		NIS	Rev:	She	et:		
	42	4262-8042				5	2	of	3	
7				8	1					

REFERENCE DRAWINGS:

© Copyright Vector Limited 2023 All rights reserved, unauthorised reproduction prohibited

Sandspit - 4262 (SSPT)

6

Approved by R Marx

Appr by date 10.2023

4











	1	2	3	4	5	6	
A			SWCP 2.1			SWMH 1.2 600m MH	
В		VERT EXAG 1:1 Datum 40.000 COVER DEPTH TO INVERT LEV LID LEVEL CHAINAGE PIPE LENGT SIZE & CP/	NVERT (STRUCTURE) :: /EL 5 KC 6 KC 7 KC 7 K	0.52 0.53 50.08 50.08	VERT EXAG 1:1 Datum 40.000 COVER DEPTH TO INVERT LEV LID LEVEL CHAINAGE PIPE LENGT SIZE & CP	INVERT (STRUCTURE) [] /EL CONTINUENT	0.39 0.40 50.23 50.24
С		SW Line 4	O.50m 150 NB 1.00%	3 UPVC SN 16 (1:100)	SW LINE 5	ADIENT 1.40n -150 P 1.00%	1.009 n NB UP % (1:10

VERT EXAG 1:1 Datum 40.000								
COVER			-	-0.14	5			1
DEPTH TO INVERT (STRUCTURE)								1
INVERT LEVEL				52.09 52.10	07.10			1
LID LEVEL								1
Chainage	0.00	2.16	8.03	10.18	18.18	19.39	27.62	39.54
PIPE LENGTH SIZE & GRADIENT				8.00m 225 NB RCRRJ CLASS 4 0.11% (1.945)				

Culvert

D

| E |

pyright Vector Limited	-												
ິ _	Rev	Amendment	S	Date	Name	Consultant	ELECTRONET	File name:			SERVICES		
	1	ISSUED FOR APPROVAL		30/04/2024	ElectroNet	Author	J. H'nboezem	4262-8056-1.dwg	vecto	or			
v						Auth Date	23/04/2024	Consultant project no	electrici	tv	DRAIN PROFILES		
ersi						Checked by	R. Chan (BB)	VEC6852	Check to	-9	PART 2		
×						Chk by date	23/04/2024	Vector project no:			01 OVERVIEW & LAY	YOUTS	-
plo						Approved by	R. Marx	EC-24-NRNF2-002	© Copyright Vector Limited 2024				
litle						Appr by date	23/04/2024	1	All rights reserved, unauthorised reprodu	iction prohibited	Sandspit - 4262 ((SSPI)	
A3 1	-	1	2	•			3		4		5	6	







ock Version 4. © Copyright Vector



7	8	3			
				A	
				В	
				С	
ACTOR TO CONSIDER USING E CROSSING FOR THE COMPL RARY SITE ACCESS AT STAI TTO PRACTICAL CONSIDERANCE ON CONSTRUCTION TIME DVIDED FOR SEPARATE TEMP LOCATION INDICATED. ROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTR DANCE WITH AUCKLAND COU D5 (GD05) "EROSION AND SED DISTURBING ACTIVITIES IN AU	The Position Leted Substa Rt of the Pf Ations Such Line. Provisio Porary Stae Rols Will Be NCIL Guidelin Diment Contf UCKLAND REG	of th Ation A Roject, As pos DNAL S BILISED IN E DOCU ROL GUI ION".	e AS SSIBLE UM TO ACCESS MENT IDE FOR	D	
 PROPOSED SILT FENCE PROPOSED STABILISED ACCESS (AS ALTERNATIVE TO USING LOCATION OF DEDICATED VEHICLE CROSSING FOR INITIAL SITE ACCESS). 					
ICE DRAWINGS: 1 EROSION & SEDIMENT Reference designation Drawing FOR CONSEN stamp: TENDER Drawing no: 4262-8058	CONTROL PL n: IT AND Scale R 1:300	AN DET Drawin at A3: Rev: 3	AILS g function: Doc type: Sheet: 1 of 1	F	



	1			2			3		4			5			6	
		GEN	ERAL	NOTES:							W	ASTEWAT	ER NOTE	ES:		
		1. /	all dim	Ensions are in me	TRES UNLESS	OTHERWISE S	TATED.				1.	all waste Ring Joints	WATER PIP 5 (RRJ) SN10	es to be inst 6 uPVC pipes 1	ALLED TO AS/NZS TO BE USED UNLES	5 2566.2 SS STAT
A		2. [/	THE CON ADVISE	ITRACTOR SHALL VI THE ENGINEER OF A CTOR MUST COORDIN	ERIFY THE LO NY DISCREPA JATE THE WO	Cation of Ali NCIES Before RKS WITH OTH	_ Services Proceedin Fr buried	s before con NG. Allow fo) services ai	MENCING CONS R ANY SITE CO UTHORITIES	Truction and Nditions. The	2.	all pipe w Code G13 FC	orks, coni Dul Water	Nections and 8 Standard.	CONSTRUCTION SH	ALL COI
		3.	THESE D	DRAWINGS SHALL BE WITH ANY OTHER W	e read in con Ritten instr	IJUNCTION WIT	H ALL CON	NSULTANT DR JED DURING TI	AWINGS AND S HE COURSE OF	Pecifications The contract.	3.	as-built l Prioir to b	ocation ai Backfilling	ND LEVELS TO G.	BE TO AUCKLAND	COUNCI
-		4. <i>I</i>	all Dim Scaled.	Ensions shown sh	all be verif	ied on site p	RIOR TO C	CONSTRUCTION	. DRAWINGS SH	ALL NOT BE	4.	Compaction Plate Comp Rammer Or	I LAYER TO PACTOR WI WACKER W) be less tha Th a minimum (Nith a minimum)	N 150mm. FOR BED 3 TO 4 PASSES. F 4 20-30 BLOWS ON	Ding An Or Hau I Fach
		5. (CONTRA	CTOR TO ENSURE TH	HAT ALL EXIS	TING SURVEY	MARKERS	ARE PRESERV	VED.			TO UNIVER OIL	WINNER			L' L'ION
		6. E	EXCAVA	TED UNSUITABLE TI	RENCH MATER	ial to be dis	POSED OF	OFF SITE AT	AN APPROPRIA	TE LANDFILL.	W	ATER SUP	PLY NO	TES:		
3		F 7 I	REFER T	O SITE MANAGEMEN	T FOR DISPOS	GE PIPES TO F	ENT. RE INSTALL	IFD AT A MIN	IIMLIM GRADE O	ç.	1.	all potabi And stand	le water Ard draw	INSTALLATION INGS UNLESS S	Shall be in acco Stated otherwisi)rdanci E.
		7. (85 = 1. 100 = (150 = (.11% (1:90) 0.83% (1:120) 0.50% (1:200)							2.	METALLIC W BELOW" OR 400mm BELC	/arning ta Similar. D)w Surfac	PES SHALL BE EPTH OF WARN E IN CARRIAGE	. Laid in Pipe tre Ning tape to be 2 Way.	NCH WI 250mm E
			225 =	0.29% (1:350)							3.	WATER SUP	PLY CONNE	CTIONS SHALL	BE TO NEW ZEAL	and bu
		8. <i>I</i>	ALL CON	ICRETE TO BE 25MP	a 28DAY STR	ENGTH IN ACCO	ORDANCE V	WITH NZS 310	9 UNLESS STA	ED OTHERWISE.	4.	AS-BUILT L	OCATION AI	ND LEVELS TO	BE TO AUCKLAND	COUNCII
		9. ((F	compac [*] Compac [*] Recordi	TION OF MATERIALS TION IS ACHIEVED T NG ALONG WITH PH	SHALL BE TI O MEET SPECI OTOGRAPHIC I	ested with a Fications. Co Evidence.	PPROPRIAT NTRACTOR	te devices to R IS Responsi	o ensure ade(Ble for adeq(QUATE JATE DATA		to backfil	LING.			
		сто		ATED NOTES							<u>P/</u>	AVEMENT	NOTES:			
		<u>510</u> 1. /	ALL STO	ATER NUTES: DRIVIVATER PIPES TO ITH RODDING EYE VI) be sn16 up A gully at	VC UNLESS ST THE UPSTREA	ATES OTH M END.	ERWISE. DOW	NPIPE LATERAL	S SHALL BE 10	1. 20	Contracto Responsibl Minimum 10-	r to detei E for Ach 12 tonne s	Rmine if Subgf Ieving. The Re Static Steel 1	rade proof rolli Quired Compactic Drum roller whe	ing is f Dn figui Ere apf
		2. /	ALL STO JNLESS	Orivivater Subsoil Stated Otherwisi	To be 110 y E. Rodding ey	MTH FILTER S 'ES SHALL BE	ook laid . Installei	at 0.5% tom D at the ups	ards Manhol Stream ends.	es/CHAMBERS SUBSOIL INVERT	2.	pavement Required. E	Subgrade Ngineer Ai	minimum CBR C PPROVAL IS RE	of 10. If CBR < 10, Equired for Any	CEMEN ⁻ UNDERC
		l	_EVEL V	VITH MANHOLES /CH	AMBERS TO E	BE ABOVE SOF	FIT OF OU	tlet pipe.			3.	FOR EXCAV	ATION DEPT	THS REFER TO	PROPOSED TYPICA	L CROS
		3. / '	all Pipi 'Design Jnless	e installation, ex for installation stated otherwisi	(Cavation, Bi of Buried Ci E. Bedding Mu	edding, haund Oncrete Pipe'' Ist be prepa	HING AND AND AS/ RED TO AC	OVERLAY TO NZS 2566.2 " CCOMMODATE	be HS2 to As Buried Flexibl RPJ Collars (S/NZS 3725 E PIPELINES'' VITH	4.	CONCRETE C SHALL BE M	RACK CONT	ROL SAW CUTS	s shall be carr Ickness unless s	ied out Stated
		A (APPROP	RIATE CLEARANCE.	UNCHING SIDE						5.	asphalt Jo Pavement.	DINT SEALA	NT SHALL BE	PLACED ALONG TI	e in poi
_		4. ([Cohesio Cohesio PF As/N Zone. F(NLESS BLDDING, HA NLESS OVERLAY AN NZS 3725 STANDARI OR OVERLAY AND B	id backfill s d. This equat Ackfill civ	Shall Also B Tes to a CIV 25 OR OBR 4	E Compac ⁻ 15 OR OB 7 .	TED TO BE 90 R 21 FOR BED)% MDD. As st Ding haunchin	ated in Table G And Size	5 6.	REFER TO T	THE CIVIL T	echnical speci	IFICATION FOR THE	COMPA
		5. ((Compac Compac	tion layer to be tor with a minimu	LESS THAN 15 M 3 TO 4 PAS	50mm. FOR BED SSES. FOR HAL	ding and Inching ai	overlay us Nd Side Zone	e a minimum 8 15-20kg hani	OKG PLATE RAMMER OR	FF	ND NOR	ID SEDIM	IENT CONTI	ROI NOTES.	
		۱ 6. <i>ا</i>	Nacker All Pipi	2 with a minimum 2 E works and mani	0-30 BLOWS (HOLE MATERIA	ON EACH SIDE. ALS, FITTING A	COMPACTI	ed evenly e <i>i</i> Ruction shai	ach side. Ll comply wit	h new zealane	D 1.		R SHALL S	UBMIT THEIR ON	WN EROSION AND	SEDIMEN
		E (BUILDING	G CODE E1 SURFACE STANDARDS.	WATER STAN	idards. Manh	ole/chami	BER THROATS	s to be painte	D TO AUCKLAND) 2.	IT IS ENVISI	ONED DUE	to the site si	ize that a silt i	FENCE A
_		7. / E	as buil Backfil	t locations and l Ling.	EVELS TO BE	TO AUCKLAN) council	STANDARDS	AND TO BE OB	Tained Prior To	0	EXCAVATION PERMIT PRIC	N WORKS F DR TO COM	ALL WITHIN TH MENCING WORKS	ie wet season th 5 or halt works	HE CONT S UNTIL
		8. <i>I</i>	ALL PEN NOT BE	NETRATIONS INTO MA USED TO CREATE O	anholes and Penings.	STRUCTURES	to be sa	W CUT OR CO	RES. SLEDGE H	Ammer Shall	3.	ALL EROSIO DOCUMENT 2 IN THE AUCI	n and sed 2016/005 (G Kland reg	IMENT CONTROL GD05) "EROSION ION".	ls will be in acc and sediment co	ORDAN(ONTROL
Rev		An	nendments	i	Date Nam	e Consultant Ele	ctroNet File	e name: 52-8060-1 dwg		Avect	or	CIVIL S	ERVICES			
2 NOT	ES REVISED				30/04/2024 Electro	Net Auth Date 28 Checked by R.	(02/2024 Con Chan (BB) VEC	nsultant project no: C6852		electric	city	GENERA	AL NOTES			
						Chk by date 28 Approved by R. Appr by date 28	/02/2024 Vec Marx EC- /02/2024	ctor project no: -24-NRNF2-002	© Copyright Veo All rights reserve	tor Limited 2024 ed, unauthorised repro	oduction prohibi	1. Overvie ted Sandspi	ew & Layou t - 4262 (its (SSPT)		
	1			2			3			4		5			6	

7	8	3		
2- Buried Flexible Pipelines. Ates otherwise. Omply with New Zealand Bui Cil Standard and to be obta	RUBBER ILDING AINED			A
and overlay use a minimum 8 Unching and side zone 15-201 I side. Compacted evenly eac	30kg Kg hand Ch side.			
CE WITH THE WATERCARE SPEC	IFICATION R MAIN			В
BELOW SURFACE OF FOOTPATE	I AND			
UILDING CODE G12.	AINED PRIOR			С
REQUIRED AS ULTIMATELY THE JRES. PROOF ROLLING SHALL BI PPROPRIATE.	y are E with a			
NT AND LIME STABILISATION WI CUTS.	ll Be			
ss sections and earthworks	s plans.			
t within 24 hours after pol) otherwise.	JRING AND			D
DINT (INTERFACE) WITH EXISTING	3			
ACTION REQUIREMENTS AND MA	TERAILS.			
	SSUED FO CONSENT 28. / _08. / 202 FOR	R 24)	E
AND STABILISED ENTRANCE IS ITRACTOR WILL SEEK A WINTER - THE DRY SEASON.	REQUIRED. IF WORKS			
NCE WITH AUCKLAND COUNCIL G _ GUIDE FOR LAND DISTURBING	uideline Activities			
Reference designation	:	Drawin	g function:	F
Drawing FOR CONSEN stamp: TENDER	IT AND Scale R NTS	at A3:	Doc type:	
4262-8060		кеv: 2	Sneet: 1 of 1	
7		8		



7		8	
Sions to be verified b Th site plan and lay Phic plan for the fin	ey contractor v out drawings. IAL SET-OUT OF	WTH THE PROPERTY	А
gs and locking syste ufacturer's specifica 62-8810 and 4262-8811 All.	im shall be sup Tions. For fixing deta	PLIED AND	В
D FOR SENT 8 / 2024.			С
y line neighbour side Ost Ground line Rs with R6 stirrups	@600 CRS		D
US 400w 300d E MOWING STRIP DNCRETE POST FOUNDAT ENT	TON		E
Reference design Drawing FOR CO stamp: TE Drawing no: 4262-87 7	ation: NSENT AND Scale NDER AS SI 13	Drawing function: e at A3: Doc type: HOWN Rev: Sheet: 2 1 of 2 8	F



Sandspit Zone Substation

APPENDIX B – EDC FLOOD ASSESSMENT REPORT



27 July 2023

Our Ref: 51273-Rev 1

ElectroNet PO Box 6138 Upper Riccarton Christchurch 8442 Via email: *ja.hoogenboezem@electronet.co.nz*

Attn. JA Hoogenboezem,

RE: SANDSPIT ZONE SUBSTATION FLOOD PLAIN REPORT

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Engineering Design Consultants Ltd (EDC) have been engaged by ElectroNet to provide an assessment of the flood risk to a proposed substation located at Lot 1 DP 426584, Sandspit Road, Snells Beach 0982.

2.0 SITE LOCATION

Please refer to Figure 1 below for the site location.



Figure 1 - Site location (Auckland Council GIS)

Engineering Design Consultants LtdW: www.edc.co.nzE: team@edc.co.nzChristchurch: 15b Leslie Hills Drive, RiccartonPh (03) 355 5559PO Box 9016, Tower Junction, 8011Auckland: 1st Floor, Unit 1, 100 Bush Rd, AlbanyPh (09) 451 9044PO Box 118, Albany, 0752Bay of Plenty: Suite 5, 202 The Strand, WhakatanePh (07) 922 0075PO Box 855, Whakatane, 3158



3.0 EXISTING SITE FEATURES

Existing site features and topography are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2 - Existing site features (Auckland Council GIS)

The existing site has no impervious coverage. There is a gully feature running from north to south down the eastern side of the site as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3 - Existing site topography (Auckland Council GIS)



4.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4 - Proposed site plan (from ElectroNet)

No details are currently available for any bulk earthworks that may be necessary to facilitate the proposed buildings over sloping land. The proposal currently includes electrical equipment that is close to the gully feature on the eastern side of the site. This report is therefore focused on the flood risk associated with this gully feature.

5.0 FLOOD HAZARD

Figure 5 confirms the Council GIS flood hazard details.






The overland flow path down the eastern side of the site has an upstream catchment extending along part of Sandspit Road and Mahurangi East Road as shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6 – Flow Path Catchment (Auckland Council GIS)

The upstream catchment area is 4.436Ha. There is less than 40% impervious coverage at present, but we recommend a conservative 60% coverage to allow for future development.

The HIRDS 24 hour rainfall depth for the RCP8.5 climate scenario out to 2100 is 310mm for the 200 year storm. We have also adopted a 0.17 hour time of concentration (i.e. minimum allowed).

The attached calculations in Appendix A using Hec-Hms confirm an estimated 200 year flow rate of 2.8 m³/sec.

6.0 FLOOD HAZARD ASSESSMENT

The flow path comprises an open channel with a base with that is typically around 0.5-1m wide and side slopes that vary between 1:6 and 1:8. No specific topographical information is available at this stage, and our assessment is based on the Auckland Council GIS data.

The flow path is sparsely vegetated and runs through open pasture. There is some erosion along the gully and several culverts with associated fill. Photographs of this gully feature are provided below.





Photo 1 – Flow Path looking South from edge of Sandspit Road



Photo 2 – Erosion along flow path



Stormwater analysis using Manning's equation has been conducted for three flow path cross sections using GIS data. The GIS data and the position of each cross section is shown on Drawing 51273/F100. The cross sections are provided on Drawings 51273/F201 & F202.

The analysis has confirmed a stormwater flow depth of 500-600mm above the open channel invert to convey the estimated 200 year flow rate of 2.8m³/sec. The estimated extent of a 500m-600mm deep flood plain is shown on Drawing 51273/F100.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

- There is an existing open channel down the eastern side of the site which has an upstream catchment area of 4.436Ha.
- The estimated 200 year flow rate of 2.8 m³/sec.
- The open channel has a steep grade and there are signs of erosion.
- The 200 year flood plain will generally comprise a 500mm-600mm depth of stormwater flow based on Manning's equation and the approximate GIS contours available. Further refinement could be carried out with site specific survey data.
- The current layout of the development will result in a building that extends slightly into the outer western edge of the 200 year flood plain, as shown on Drawing 51273/F100. We recommend the minimum floor level for any building adjacent to the edge of this flood plain be elevated at least 500mm above the indicated flood level closest to the upstream end of the building.
- Bulk filling or any other obstructions of the 200 year flood plain should be minimised to retain an overland flow path down the eastern side of the site. This will make retaining walls more practical on the eastern side of the building platforms rather than more expansive battered fill slopes.
- We recommend the project include some erosion protection measures, such as rock lining of the open channel. A site survey and civil engineering advice will be required in connection with these works after site survey. This work could also include some minor re-alignment/shaping of the open channel to suit the final intended layout of the development to minimise adverse effects on the flood plain.

EPORT PREPARED BY:

eser

Zach Lassen BE (Civil) Hons Civil Engineer

REPORT CHECKED BY:

Ian Hendy B.E. (Civil), M. IPENZ, CP Eng. Technical Lead - Civil



8.0 LIMITATIONS

Except where required by law, the findings presented as part of this report are for the sole use of our client, as noted above. The findings are not intended for use by other parties, and may not contain sufficient information for the purposes of other parties or other uses. No third party (excluding the local authority) may use or rely upon this report unless authorised by EDC in writing.

To the extent permitted by law, EDC expressly disclaims and excludes liability for any loss, damage, cost or expense suffered by any third party relating to or resulting from the use of, or reliance upon any information contained in this report. It is the responsibility of third parties to independently make enquiries or seek advice in relation to their particular requirements.

Our professional services are performed using a degree of care and skill normally exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable consultants practicing in this field at this time. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice presented in this report, in regard to its accuracy or completeness.

Our opinions and recommendations are based on our comprehension of the current regulatory standards and must not be considered legal opinions. For legal advice, please consult your solicitor. This opinion is not intended to be advice that is covered by the Financial Advisors Act 2010.

This report includes Appendices. These appendices should be read in conjunction with the main part of the report and this report should not be considered complete without them.



APPENDIX A HEC-HMS CALCULATIONS





Hec-Hms Model

Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (MM)
Impervious	0.026616	1.4676	01Jan2000, 09:59	309.7148
Flow Path	0.044360	2.2809	01Jan2000, 10:00	280.1040
Pervious	0.017744	0.8141	01Jan2000, 10:00	235.6878

200 Year Results

APPENDIX B DRAWINGS







CROSS SECTION: XS-1 REFER TO SHEET F100 FOR LOCATION OF LONG SECTION



 $\frac{\text{CROSS SECTION: XS-2}}{\text{REFER TO SHEET F100 FOR LOCATION OF LONG SECTION}$

1:100@ A1 [IIII]	Щ											
1:200 @ A3	Ó	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	m

A AS DATED FLOOD REVISION BY CHL	PROJECT: ELECTRONET LOT 1 DP 426584 SNELLS BEACH DRAWING TITLE: FLOOD HAZARD REPORT CROSS SECTIONS - SHEET 1 OF 2	DESK DRAW REVIE DATE
--	---	-------------------------------



'	WL	Area	Р	R	Q						
1	50.0	2.25	12	0.18	>3m3/s						
'n'	'n' = 0.075 (Rough natural channel)										

s = 10% (varies)

Area	Р	R	Q
1.25	8	0.15	1.5m3/s
1.25	8	0.15	>3m3/s

'n' = 0.075 (Rough natural channel) s = 10% (varies)

				PLEASE DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING
GNED	ZL	A1 SCALE	1:100	FOR INFORMATION
/N	ZL	A3 SCALE	4.000	
EWED	IH		1:200	51273 F201 A
	10/07/2023	PAPER S	PACE SIZE @ A1	THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT THE WRITTEN AUTHORITY OF ENGINEERING DESIGN CONSULTANTS LIMITED - ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

		PROJECT: ELECTRONET	DESIGNE
		SNELLS BEACH	DRAWN
A AS DATED FLOOD REPORT ZL IH DATE REVISION BY CHK	Auckland Office: 1st Floor, Unit 1, 100 Bush Road, Albany 0632 (PO Box 118, Albany Village 0755). Ph: 09 451 9044 Fax: 09 415 1280 Christchurch Office: 15b Leslie Hills Drive, Riccarton 8011 (PO Box 9016, Tower Junction, 8011). Ph: 03 355 5559 Email: team@edc.co.nz Website: www.edc.co.nz	DRAWING TITLE: FLOOD HAZARD REPORT CROSS SECTIONS - SHEET 2 OF 2	DATE



Ρ	R	Q
12	0.23	>3m3/s
rol obc		

				PLEASE DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING
NED	ZL	A1 SCALE	1:100	FOR INFORMATION
N	ZL			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
WED	н	A3 SCALE	1:200	51273 F202
	10/07/2023	PAPER S	PACE SIZE @ A1	THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT THE WRITTEN AUTHORITY OF ENGINEERING DESIGN CONSULTANTS LIMITED – ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Engineering Report for OPW and Resource Consent Applications BLUE BARN

Sandspit Zone Substation

APPENDIX C – FLOOD ASSESSMENT VERIFICATION

Sandspit ZSS

Flooding snapshots and notes

GIS snapshot

- Site is located at the top of a gully.
- Has an overland flowpath that is collected from Mahurangi East Road and Sandpit Road.
- See next slide for overall catchment and separated catchment



Two OLFP are looked at. OLFP does not appear to be real based on Google Street View.

Surface water will need to overtop the southern kerb to get into the stream for OLFP to be realistic.

Conduct a surface kerb channel calculation with the OLFP 2 catchment area to determine if water overtops the kerb.



Google Street view – OLFP 1 assessment



Google Street view – OLFP 2 assessment









OLFP 2

- We'll assume that the kerb opening doesn't allow water to bypass so the water will just cross the road.
- Note even if water bypass, the surface water will contribute to OLFP 1.



Catchment Area

OLFP 1 Catchment GIS Catchment area = 23,633m²

OLFP 2 Catchment GIS Catchment area = 5,334m²



Overland Flo	前后			
Peak Flow 100yr Fetare 3.8 degree (m3/s)	Peak Flow Sillyr Future 3.8 degree (m3rs)	Peak Flow 2yr Future 3.8 degree (m3/s)	Catchreast Area (m2)	Impervices Fotore (%)
1.520	0.954	8 5 6 2	22923	59.54
1.575	0.994	0.521	23633	63.62



Overland Flow Paths - 4000m2 to the	(8,000)	4
Attribute	Value	
Peak Flow 100yr Future 3.8 degree (m3/s)	0.358	
Peak Flow 10yr Future 3.8 degree	0.228	
Peak Flow 2yr Future 3.6 degree (m3is)	0.123	
Catchment Area (m2)	5334	
Investment Datases (NA)	7146	



Catchment 2 Calculation Parameters

Allow run-off coefficients based on Table 1 from Building Code E1/VM1

- Road area = Allow 1,750m² as road pavement. (C = 0.85)
- Residential = Allow remaining 4,280m² to be residential development. (C = 0.55)

1000

- Assume Road Geometry:
 - Standard kerb height of 150mm
 - 3% cross fall.
 - 5m from kerb to apex
- Road grade along catchment = 6.25%
 - GIS Road length = 200m
 - GIS Fall = 74m 61.5m = 12.5m
- Rainfall assessment (100-year storm)
 - Check for 3.5Deg and 2.1Deg
 - 2.1Deg = 2.1 x 13.6% = 1.286
 - 3.5Deg = 3.5 x 13.6% = 1.476
 - Rainfall = 152mm + 32mm = 184mm
 - 237mm for 2.4deg climate change factor
 - 272mm for 3.5deg climate change factor
 - For 250-year storm, assume 13.6% per degree Celsius as well.



Catchment 2 Summary

Overland Flow	Calculations		217mm/hr	rainfall in	ntensity									
			250-year stor	m event - 3.5	Deg		_							
Catchment Areas Names ~	Post-Development Catchment (ha)	Q _{am} Peak Flow (total) (m ³ /s)	l _{100yr, 10min} (mm/hr)	c	Grade of Road (minimum) (%)	Longitudinal grade of road (1/5)	Road crossfall (1/2)	Mannings for road **	Flow correction (F) **	Spread of flow w (m)	Depth of Flow d (m) ^	Channel Flow (m3/s) *	Flow area (m2)	Flow Velocity (m/s)
OLFP 2 Road	0.1752	0.13	320	0.85	6	0.06	33	0.015	0.9	2.18	0.066	0.13	0.07	1.80
OLFP 2 Resident	0.4280	0.21	320	0.55	6	0.06	33	0.015	0.9	2.61	0.079	0.21	0.10	2.03
OLFP 2 Road + Resident	0.6032	0.34			6	0.06	33	0.015	0.9	3.14	0.095	0.34	0.15	2.29
~ Refer included ca	atchment plan for refe	erence to cat	chment area n	ames (Draw	ing C-412).		-						1	
* Flow depth is at	the edge of seal. As t	below, the ch	annel flow do	es not inclu	de the flow in ker	b and channel -	only the ro	ad. Therefore t	he depth at the k	erb face is 30mn	higher than she	nwo.		

* Channel flow does not include flow within the kerb and channel, it only considers flow within the road corridor and is therefore conservative. Calculations shown below using Izzard's Equation.

Where flow does not discharge along a road (either diffuse flow into the CMA or other properties) flow calculations are not included.

Based on calculations, even using 250-year storm event with 3.5deg Celsius climate change factor, depth of flow in road is 90mm at OLFP 2 outlet.

Therefore, OLFP 2 catchment area to be included in OLFP 1 catchment.

Total combined catchment of OLFP 1 = 30,409m²



Catchment 1 Calculation Parameters

Conduct assessment using HydroFlow – use TP108 to determine input flow rates.

Geotechnical report by EDC reviewed GNS Geological Map which notes the site is within Pakiri Formation rock formation with previous investigation by Tonkin and Taylor (2013) indicating "a significant depth of completely weathered rock and residual soil". Therefore, adopt Group C soils.

- Road Area = Allow 6,320m² as road pavement. (CN = 98)
- Residential = Allow remaining 24,090m² to be residential development with CN based on maximum impervious area based off potential change to unitary plan of Residential Single House Zone

~

- Maximum impervious coverage = 60% = 14,460m² (CN = 98)
- Pervious coverage = 40% = 9,640m² (CN = 74)



DecisionsVersionZones	
Zones	
Residential - Large Lot Zone	



Sample check	
Impervious area	=
1,820m ²	
Total area	=
11,370m ²	
Impervious ratio	= 16%

Therefore Maximum development is approx. double the impervious area

itt Basketa - Lege Lot. Dos

IT.S.I. Maximum Impervices area

Autom:

- To manage the association of occursation next the prevailable by a development, perfocularly in initialize to the capacity of the incomarker network and potential filend man, and
- to suggest the functioning of rigerian yards, bisaside yards and coastal pants and water quality and ecology, and
- · to renderie the behilting or unsing interview), and
- to find panel areas on a kiel to improve the ster's appearance and consulationly monitors presently values in a neighbourhoot
- (1) The manifold inparticular area must not example its per over of the site area or (2004), whet ever is the tesser.



Table 2-2a.-Runoff curve numbers for urban areas' (SCS, 1986)

Cover description			Curve nu hydrologic	mbers for soil group	
Cover type and hydrologic condition	Average percent impervious area ²	A	в	с	D
Fully developed urban areas (vegetation established	Ð				
Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) ³ :					
Poor condition (grass cover < 50%)			79	86	89
Fair condition (grass cover 50% to 75%)		49	69	79	84
Good condition (grass cover > 75%)			61	74	\$0
Impervious areas:					
Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc.					
(excluding right-of-way)			98	98	98
Streets and roads:		1111242	2718524	-	10.00
Paved; curbs and storm sewers (excluding					
right-of-way)			98	98	98
Paved: open ditches (including right-of-way)			89	92	93
Gravel (including right-of-way)			85	89	91
Dirt (including right-of-way)			82	87	89
Western desert urban areas:					
Natural desert landscaping (pervious areas only)4			77	85	88
Artificial desert landscaping (impervious weed					
barrier, desert shrub with 1- to 2-inch sand					
or gravel mulch and basin borders)			96	96	96
Urban districts:					
Commercial and business		89	92	94	95
Industrial		81	88	91	93
Residential districts by average lot size:					
1/8 acre or less (town houses)		77	85	90	92
1/4 acre		61	75	83	87
1/3 acre		57	72	(81)	86
1/2 acre		54	70	80	85
1 acre		51	68	79	84
2 acres		46	65	77	82

Table 3.2 - Hydrological Soil Classifications for prevalent Auckland Soils								
Auckland Soil	SCS Hydrological Soil Group							
Weathered mudstone and sandstone (Waitemata and Onerahi Series)	Group C							
Alluvial sediments	Group B							
Granular volcanic loam (ash, tuff, scoria)	Group A							
Granular volcanic loam underlain by free-draining basalt	use $CN = 17$ for all pervious areas							

Table 4.2 - Channelisation fa	actors
Piped stormwater system	C = 0.6
Engineered grass channels	C = 0.8

Manning's n for Channels (Chow, 1959).

Type of Channel and Description	Minimum	Normal	Maximum
Natural streams - minor streams (top width at floodstage	< 100 ft)		
e. Channels not maintained, weeds and brush uncut			

Catchment 1 Calculation Parameters

Conduct assessment using HydroFlow – use TP108 to determine input flow rates.

Geotechnical report by EDC reviewed GNS Geological Map which notes the site is within Pakiri Formation rock formation with previous investigation by Tonkin and Taylor (2013) indicating "a significant depth of completely weathered rock and residual soil". Therefore, adopt Group C soils.

Road grade along catchment = 6%٠

- Road grade flattens out after the rip-rap. Conservatively assume to maintain 6% at inlet.
- Rainfall depth based on 24-hour period + standard error

statedal	I depti	5 mm	(citing	tórix	al Dete																			
ARI	.66	3	30m		29m	30m		1h.		2h	621		127		24h		4011		72h		96h.		1208	
1.	58	6.633		10.2	1	5	18.6		28.3	6	38	55.4		31	6	85.2		100		108		113		117
	2	0.5		11.2	36	5	39.4		11.8	(15,5	. 61		76.5	1	93.6		110		119		104		128
	6	0.2		14.5	23	4	26.5		87.5	£	51.4	29.6		3.00	1	123		144		156		169		168
	38	0.1	1.1	16.9	1	5	31.1		43.5	6	60.3	93.3		111		144		170		183		192		198
1	20	0.05	6 . 4	19.4	28	2	35.7		58.5	6	65.4	308		138	1	166		156		212		212		230
-	30	0.033		20.9	1	1	18.5		543	ê - 1	74.9	314		347	0	180		212		229		240		248
	40	0.025		22	32	6	40.4		37.1	1	78.8	122		155	6	101		223		241		253		362
	50	0.02	- 34	22.8	33	8	42		58.5	i - 1	83.8	127		160	ú	197		292		251		264.		272
	40	0.017		23.5	34	8	48.2		61.3	6	84.3	191		166	6 - 1	268		239		258		272		281
1	80	0.013		34.6	58	4	45.5		64.1	6	68.3	197		374	1	213		251		272		283		293
1	00	8.05	- 3	25.4	\$7	Ť.	46.8		66.4	6	51.4	542		181		2,20		260		262		296		905
	00	0.004	1.1	28.6	-42	т	53.1		75.4	6	304	363		305	1	251		257		122		318		349

3. Annual Recurrence Interval	(ARI)	10yr (2.1°C)	10
3.a Climate change factor		128%	10
4. 24 hour rainfall depth, P24 (mm)	214.2	20
5. Compute c* =	P ₂₄ - 2la (mm) P ₂₄ - 2la + 2S	0.711	0.
6. Specific flow rate q* (from F	ig. 5.1 below)	0.151	0.
7. Peak flow rate, q _p = q*AP ₂₄	m ³ /sec)	0.986	1.
8. Runoff depth, Q ₃₄ =	(P ₂₄ - la) ² (mm) (P ₂₄ - la) + S	176.3	22
9. Runoff Volume, V24 = 1000	(Q ₂₄ A (m ³)	5,364	6,

10yr (2.1°C)	100yr	100yr (2.1°C)	100yr (3.5°C)	250yr (3.5°C)
128%	100%	129%	148%	148%
214.2	260.0	334.3	383.8	442.8
0.711	0.750	0.795	0.817	0.838
0.151	0.155	0.159	0.160	0.160
0.986	1.224	1.614	1.863	2.158
176.3	221.0	294.1	343.0	401.6
5,364	6,724	8,946	10,435	12,215





Conduct two checks:

- 1. 250-year storm event with 3.5Deg climate change factor.
- 2. 100-year storm event with 3.5Deg climate change factor.

Platform levels will be based on 500mm above the 100-year storm event as freeboard. We'll also check that a 250-year storm event is below the specified freeboard level.

Surveyed CH0 is not sufficiently far enough to assess flood depth. Surface water falls away from site. Checked by drawing a fictitious vertical channel edge on neighbor side to show water level is within the channel.



Section	Bett	Super.		
	Section Type +	User-defined		
	Bitm Walth (m)	-0-		
	Side Sibpe, z:1 =	.4.		
Durnel	Sta-Day +	Deta		
	nv Elevon) =	\$1,6330		
	Stope (%) +	8.2500		
	n-value =	0.030		
-	Compute by -	Known 0		
Calca	G (cms) +	2.1560		





200	5.50		11:0. 11		100	1. 22. 23	
Depth	0	Area	Vecc	Wp	Ya	Tegrilliden	Energy
396	(cmw)	(kgit)	(1998)	010	(11)	970	00
9.7529	2.158	1.963	1,0992	8.3725	8.7102	8.0154	9.8145

Surveyed CH5 is not sufficiently far enough to assess flood depth. Surface water falls away from site. Checked by drawing a fictitious vertical channel edge on neighbor side to show water level is within the channel.



Surveyed CH10 is not sufficiently far enough to assess flood depth. Surface water falls away from site. Checked by drawing a fictitious vertical channel edge on neighbor side to show water level is within the channel.



Surveyed CH15 is not sufficiently far enough to assess flood depth. Surface water falls away from site. Checked by drawing a fictitious vertical channel edge on neighbor side to show water level is within the channel.





Section	Bern	Install 1
	Section Type +	User-defined
	Bon Wildth (m)	-8-
	Side Stope, z 1 =	-0-
Channel	Sta-Elev +	Data
	its Dire(10) +	40,4940
	Sleph (%) +	6.2500
	n-value +	0.080
	Compute by +	Ktown D
Calco	Q (cms) +	2.1500



Deph	9	Area	Vebo	90	200	TagWitth	Energy
01	(096)	(927)	(1996)	(8)	000	(*)	(24)
0.6025	2.158	1.918	1.1253	8.8733	0.5029	8.1291	8.6650



Section	and a second	ingest
_	Section Type +	User-defined
	Bhe Width (m)	-0-
	Side Slope, z 1 +	-0-
Channel	Sta-Elev =	Date
	lev Elev(m) =	47.6860
	Slope (%) =	8.2598
	n-value +	0.000
40.0	Compute by +	Known G
caus	Q (cres) =	2.1588





	Section Type =	User-defined
1.1	Btre Width (m)	-8-
	Side Slope, z.1 -	-0-
Channel	Sta-Elev =	Data
	Inv Elev(m) -	46.3560
1	Stope (%) •	6.2500
0	n-value =	080.0
	Compute by -	Known Q
Lacs	G (ons) -	2.1580



Cepth	٥	Acus	Valoc	Wp	Ye	TapWidth	Erargy
(m)	(cma)	(sept)	(mit)	(10)	016	(#)	(10)
0.8473	2.158	1.310	1.6457	3.4254	8,7468	2.7794	0.9057

Check flow depths at 10m centres and key interest points



Calca

Skoe (%) =

e-value = Compute by =

O (cms) +

6.2500

Known Q

2,1580



	30M	-
	Section Type -	User-defined
	8tm Width (m)	-0-
	Side Slope, ±1 =	-0-
Channel	Sta-Elev =	Data
	inv Elev(m) =	45.5940
	Steps (%) =	6.2500
	n-value -	0.080
1210	Compute by =	Knawn G
Cate	D (cms) =	2.1580



Depth	۵	Ares	Veloc	Wip	Ye	TopWidty	Exargy
(40	(2018)	(96/0)	(9946)	010	(96)	100	194
9.7620	2.158	1.377	1.5677	3.8538	0.6980	3.5362	0.8874

Engineering Report for OPW and Resource Consent Applications **BLUE 3ARN**

Sandspit Zone Substation

APPENDIX D – CALCULATIONS

BLUE 3ARN

CLIENT:	Vector Limited NZ	JOB No:		SHEET:	1
JOB:	Sandspit ZSS	CALCS BY:	Bryan C	DATE:	
SUBJECT:	Septic Tank Sizing	CHECK BY:	Raymond C	DATE:	

1.0

.

distribution descent is private and

C3.8 Design few allowance per person

ments' events' and the state for all store the size of the state of th well as a large of other downeds type faceline. There have a pay accelerate the legisler of the conversation. Now differences figures are address application for design

ON ATTE WARTEWARTE MAN ACEMPT IN THE ADDREAM OTERSIO

Paras has not concerning obtained by relief or parase. Surger of energy for our other to means unservice and other to provide an active to the second or provide and the secon

Andre of the second	and groups, we made for the second	
and a	and the second se	1 martine
		194
		- Deets not
		They is

1	Ap instructionary principal and Margine localization and a state and search producing the rate and with the growther, Michael Berg, matter strategy at 100 Notifies or other principal follows:	te)	m
•	I found with an and the second state of the TTL for the second state of the second sta		
۴.	Humberg all that had been all advant have to reaso on bitmate and is a uniquely		-10
٠,	Second of the set of 1.1.2.2.2 Section of the set of the set of the second of the seco		-
ŧ,	Interferie of M with interferie a investminist in propagation (interferie)	-	540
ł.	The sectors and the sector process income process process in the sector of the sector	101-101	10
•	Observation for deservative to an end to write a second second for deservative to an end to be a second sec	22	10
٠	Enclosed with her water instruction building plus schedule water resource for believables' forming (then it)	5-10	
1	Humanith - Decivelar this president or 11 had been desired your terroperation areas		

anowance per perso	DIT.		Longer 1			Salat statement	a fra lana a
						Uses	
nge anddesite på rapita for at på fastikas fiftera forst si ørg at atsaalline ageleris for dørge	Lange des her stande Lanitante: Des hajten	i store				and the same	Research .
traces for children processors. They per-	e of Group Pass-on Lotton	a, pfactar	40	Hanting - Sol Stateboor 712	tanta any Li tan wang		
after Nam (Reserve)				Headahh - But	interest frant or a hit i fact and	111 1	
Phone in the local data	ST. St. St. St. St. St.			Huntle-pu			- 141
- Contraction of the local data		Contraction of the local division of the loc	14	thening you	paulo secure may reached her particles	W288	10.01
	A STATE	1 diamana			Comments for allowing to contact the	aution to	
	Contract of Contra	And and a second	New To	and the local division of the local division	 Stand, immediated Standard laters 		5.
Scouth for shows of					- The traded and		
a Rando to solicita del solicita.	(m)	10			 Bertrak periodente Harterweit per dent 		e
to a she is not a second to the second	-		Research a half		- The streng particular		
in orders \$500 TO better	50-00	- 20	345		- Pelantagen		
And the second statement of the second statement of					- Perfection		
			100738	(at Little)	- WALLBOARD LONG		
minute interaction of the second second		-10					
Lpres			Taxa and		- Carry	1.1	
and an excitation of the	100	- 104			- Merry		. 15
FURNY TYPE A		110	and a		City and converting on the	6.6	
PERSONAL RANK PERSON AL		140			C. Las an elements wantered one		-
A NALL OF COMPANY AND	10-10	1,0	Dat law	Aline and a second	- Testistaripianation	an form for children fo	the barred
ficehola withia and another	10-101	-18	10000	Sec. 257.510	The state of the second of the second		
subplations, second			1000	a consequences	and the second		
ALC: NO. OF CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR			County I	time part of	- Sections		
And the second second second	6-10	49-19	The state	and the second second	a line (li	325	- 244
shell			Sec.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 -	100
(down of a the fight that the c					+ Terminal		10
	144.014	tion in the same right	_				

day when it is present as per har particular

	A should be a second of the
1/04/9	
Constanting of the second	
	6
1.1	

We is a log a start or out start way sequenced is before one. It may be deemen and it that or that is manufactually apply Summary secure based and that (1) has some set based areas for expert one.

- ne wy a ferder welden, niet en wel niet het, niet welden geweinen der eine verkensenen bei eine er synder
- en baran teran terretaria persona dan 11 personan seber berarta bara bar, terratak dan seberar andara dan seber baran dan dari kuruh persona sebara persona terrata persona dipersona depension den terrat de Konstantenia

() Entering besired apport lower water usage by staffor greats of contractal previous, some differentiation is made to the how allowances according to the weller supply acurca. Some reduction rul to 13% may apply to the per guest water-usage atoxinics it landry to done of site.

- Evidence-device not exposit lower water unage by staff or guests of commercial premises, so no differentiation is made to the Now allowances according to the water supply source. For har address, it is assumed that there is defined flood served. When much are served, read unler Lange allocations per patters, apply it has fadilies, when full selected on brick are installed on all water usage sublish and patrons are only present for abort periods (and no boot is provided), a water scage almostoe of 10 Lowrowibe way te appropriate.
- 8 Additional advantage should be raide where there are other numberator generating (addition or g. Incoming, buildharing private checkings or papersongs. Taking weakeweake charge information has been particled by VMA through the VEE Contract COTVICET - Munited Mone and Community Moler and Reservoire Infordations Programme. Programme dotails and managipathents can be found in Cotian at 2015.
- (1) Figures from the lower and of the usego should be augusted by adjustive stops records, Address a also-arran and went to be made in the leader flows for actuals that also have calculate just on-site caloring and or gives with alwayer beciling

Sizing of tank is back-calculated from smallest concrete tank available from Hynds. SEP3300DT - 3300L Concrete chamber

Dim A	1.2	m
Dim B	2.575	m
Dim E	1.45	m
Storage Volume	4.4805	m3
Storage Volume	4480.5	L
	Assume 10L/per	son/day usage

Days to full tank	448.05
Days to 80% full tank	358.44

Therefore, service tank annually as minimum



FIG. 4 Single, dual or triple Chamber



Wastewater Pipeline calculations

1 of



CLIENT:	Vector Ltd	JOB No:	2446
JOB:	Sandspit ZSS	CALCS BY:	Jiayi C
SUBJECT:	SW Network design	CHECK BY:	Raymond C

FACTORS

Tc mins	10	
f years	10	
i mm/hr	163.352	(2.1°C temperat. 112.00
Pipe Coeff.(mm)	1.5	

Runoff Coefficients				
Roof	0.9			
Paved	0.85			
Grass	0.3			

PIPE LINE	CATCHMENT	AREA	COEFF.	INTENSITY	EQUIVALENT	AREA	FLOW	DIA	GRADE	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	UTILISATION
		(m²)		(mm/hr)	Increment	Sum	(I/s)	(mm)	(%)	(I/s)	(m/s)	Check
Roof and paved	Imprevious	660.0	0.90	163.35	0.0594	0.0594	26.95					
Grass	Previous	740.0	0.30	163.35	0.0222	0.0222	10.07					
SW discharge pipe	Total				0.0816	0.0816	37.03	225.00	3.90	90.59	2.28	41%

STORMWATER NETWORK CALCULATIONS

REV:	1	
DATE:		2-Feb-24
DATE:		2-Feb-24


Stormwater Riprap Sizing

CLIENT:	Vector Ltd	JOB No:	2446	_	
JOB:	Sandspit ZSS	CALCS BY:	Jiayi C	DATE:	2-Feb-24
SUBJECT:	SW Network Catchment	CHECK BY:	Raymond C	DATE:	2/02/2024
_			-		

Stormwater Rip-rap sizing

based on TR2013-018 Chapter 4- Outlet

Piped Stormwater Outfall

Pipe diameter	0.225 m		
Pipe design grade	2.28 %		

The design of the outlet is based on maximum flow.

	Storm event	Runoff, Q	Velocity, V	
	10 year	27.03 l/s	2.28 m/s	
Rock dia	meter			
d, :	= 0.25 x D _o x F _o			
:	= 0.086	m		
:	=86	mm	← Minimum requi	red I
where:				
d _s =	riprap diameter (m)			
D _o =	pipe diameter (m)			
F _o =	Froude number = V/	g x d _p ^{0.5}	= 1.	535
d _p =	depth of flow in pipe	(m)	= 0.	225
V =	velocity of flow in pip	pe (m/s)		
Thickness of rock layer is 2 x rock dimensions =		ock dimensions =	300).00 n
Width oj	f area protected is 3 x	diameter of pipe =).68 m
			- /2 /2	
Length d	f outfall protection (L	_a) =	D _o (8+1 / x Log⊦ _o) 2 512 m	
			2.512 111	—
The 10 y	ear storm event has th	e worst case for rip r	ap length.	

The design	The design has adopted:					
	Rip rap diameter =	150	mm	2	layers thick=	300 mm
	0.7	m wide	by	1.40	m long	
Width and length are approximate and will be placed across the width of the						
channel to a point at least level with the top of pipe and downstream into						
the flatter section of the stream.						



Project: Sandspit_Detention_Tank Simulation Run: Pre-Dev Simulation Start: 31 December 1999, 24:00 Simulation End: 1 January 2000, 24:00

HMS Version: 4.10 Executed: 21 August 2023, 19:53

Global Parameter Summary - Subbasin

	Area (KM2)	
Element Name		Area (KM2)	
Pervious		0	
	Downstream	m	
Element Name		Downstream	
Pervious	Outlet		
	Loss Rate: S	cs	
Element Name	Percent Impe	rvious Area	Curve Number
Pervious	0		74
	Transform: Scs		
Element Name	Lag Unitgraph Type		raph Type
Pervious	6.7	St	andard

Global Results Summary

Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (MM)
Pervious	0	0.04	01Jan2000, 12:13	136.14
Outlet	0	0.04	01Jan2000, 12:13	136.14

Subbasin: Pervious

Area (KM2) : 0 Downstream : Outlet

	Loss Rate: Scs
Percent Impervious Area	0
Curve Number	74 Transform: Scs
Ιασ	67
Lusiterrent Trans	Chan dan d
Unitgraph Type	Standard

Results: Pervious				
Peak Discharge (M3/S)	0.04			
Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2000, 12:13			
Volume (MM)	136.14			
Precipitation Volume (M3)	302.4			
Loss Volume (M3)	III.I3			
Excess Volume (M3)	191.27			
Direct Runoff Volume (M3)	190.6			
Baseflow Volume (M3)	0			





Sink: Outlet



Time

Project: Sandspit_Detention_Tank Simulation Run: Post-Dev Simulation Start: 31 December 1999, 24:00 Simulation End: 1 January 2000, 24:00

HMS Version: 4.10 Executed: 13 May 2024, 03:24

Global Parameter Summary - Subbasin

Area (KM2)				
Element Name	Area (KM2)			
Pervious	0			
Impervious	0			

Downstream			
Element Name	Downstream		
Pervious	Detention		
Impervious	Detention		

Loss Rate: Scs				
Element Name	Percent Impervious Area	Curve Number		
Pervious	0	74		
Impervious	0	98		

Iransform: Scs			
Element Name	Lag	Unitgraph Type	
Pervious	6.7	Standard	
Impervious	6.7	Standard	

Global Results Summary

Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (MM)
Pervious	0	0.02	01Jan2000, 12:13	136.14
Impervious	0	0.02	01Jan2000, 12:12	209.37
Detention	0	0.04	01Jan2000, 12:17	170.64
Outlet	0	0.04	01Jan2000, 12:17	170.64

Subbasin: Pervious

Area (KM2) : 0 Downstream : Detention

	Loss Rate: Scs
Percent Impervious Area	0
Curve Number	74
	Transform: Scs
Lag	6.7
Unitgraph Type	Standard

Results: Pervious						
Peak Discharge (M3/S)	0.02					
Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2000, 12:13					
Volume (MM)	136.14					
Precipitation Volume (M3)	159.84					
Loss Volume (M3)	58.74					
Excess Volume (M3)	101.1					
Direct Runoff Volume (M3)	100.75					
Baseflow Volume (M3)	0					

Precipitation and Outflow



Cumulative Excess Precipitation



Cumulative Outflow



Cumulative Precipitation







```
Baseflow
```







Direct Runoff







Subbasin: Impervious

Area (KM2) : 0 Downstream : Detention

	Loss Rate: Scs
Percent Impervious Area	0
Curve Number	98
	Transform: Scs
Lag	6.7
Unitgraph Type	Standard

Results: Impervious						
Peak Discharge (M3/S)	0.02					
Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2000, 12:12					
Volume (MM)	209.37					
Precipitation Volume (M3)	142.56					
Loss Volume (M3)	4.02					
Excess Volume (M3)	138.54					
Direct Runoff Volume (M3)	138.19					
Baseflow Volume (M3)	0					

Precipitation and Outflow



Cumulative Excess Precipitation



Cumulative Outflow



Cumulative Precipitation



Cumulative Precipitation Loss



```
Baseflow
```







Direct Runoff



Soil Infiltration



Reservoir: Detention

${\bf Downstream}: Outlet$

Results: Detention					
Peak Discharge (M3/S)	0.04				
Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2000, 12:17				
Volume (MM)	170.64				
Peak Inflow (M3/S)	0.04				
Time of Peak Inflow	01Jan2000, 12:13				
Inflow Volume (M3)	238.93				
Maximum Storage (M3)	7.96				
Peak Elevation (M)	2.98				
Discharge Volume (M3)	238.9				





Storage







Cumulative Outflow













Sink: Outlet

Results: Outlet				
Peak Discharge (M3/S)	0.04			
Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2000, 12:17			
Volume (MM)	170.64			

Combined Inflow











Appendix Ten: Assessment of Acoustic Effects





SANDSPIT ZSS NEW SUBSTATION ASSESSMENT OF ACOUSTIC EFFECTS Rp 001 20230437 | 1 February 2024



84 Symonds Street PO Box 5811 Victoria Street West Auckland 1142 New Zealand T: +64 9 379 7822 F: +64 9 309 3540 www.marshallday.com

Project: SANDSPIT ZSS NEW SUBSTATION

- Prepared for: Vector Ltd c/o ElectroNet Ltd P.O. Box 6138 Upper Riccarton Christchurch 8442
- Attention: Koogendran Govender
- Report No.: **Rp 001 20230437**

Disclaimer

Reports produced by Marshall Day Acoustics Limited are based on a specific scope, conditions and limitations, as agreed between Marshall Day Acoustics and the Client. Information and/or report(s) prepared by Marshall Day Acoustics may not be suitable for uses other than the specific project. No parties other than the Client should use any information and/or report(s) without first conferring with Marshall Day Acoustics.

The advice given herein is for acoustic purposes only. Relevant authorities and experts should be consulted with regard to compliance with regulations or requirements governing areas other than acoustics.

Copyright

The concepts and information contained in this document are the property of Marshall Day Acoustics Limited. Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Marshall Day Acoustics constitutes an infringement of copyright. Information shall not be assigned to a third party without prior consent.

Document Control

Status:	Rev:	Comments	Date:	Author:	Reviewer:
Approved	_	_	1 Feb 2024	Maggie Zhang Lancy Paea	Shaun King



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	SUMMARY	4
2.0	PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	5
2.1	Auckland Unitary Plan Zoning	5
2.2	Noise Performance Standards	5
3.0		5
5.0		5
3.1	Noise Measurement Results	5
4.0	OPERATIONAL NOISE MODELLING AND ASSESSMENT	7
4.1	Noise Model Data and Assumptions	7
4.2	Operational Noise Assessment	8
5.0	CONCLUSION	9

APPENDIX A GLOSSARY OF TERMINOLOGY

- APPENDIX B SITE PHOTOS
- APPENDIX C NEW TRANSFORMER SOUND DATA
- APPENDIX D NEW (SPUR ROAD) TRANSFORMER ESTIMATED SOUND POWER LEVELS



1.0 SUMMARY

ElectroNet has requested that Marshall Day Acoustics assist with an acoustic study for a proposed substation at Lot 1 DP 426584, Mahurangi East Road, Snells Beach 0982. At end-state, we understand that the substation would consist of 33 kV and 11 kV switchboards with two 15/20 MVA ONAN/ONAF 33/11 kV transformers, and HVAC units for the switch-room building.

We have carried out a site visit to establish the ambient night-time noise levels of the site. We created a desktop noise model of the proposed substation to predict noise levels received at adjacent sites. The transformer noise data is based on a similar unit installed at Kaukapakapa Zone Substation, and fan spectrum based on Spur Road Zone Substation adapted to data sheet levels.

We understand that Vector plans to install one transformer at this stage, with a second enclosure to allow for a second future transformer. We understand that transformer T1 may operate in ONAN or ONAF modes, depending on electricity load, and we have modelled and assessed both situations. The noise levels were assessed for compliance against the Designation 8866 operational noise limits.

This report sets out the relevant noise criteria, our measurements, our prediction method, and our prediction results. In summary:

- T1 emissions can achieve compliance at all times in ONAN mode, and can achieve daytime compliance in ONAF mode, and are reasonable for the existing noise environment
- Transformer fan use will be avoided during night-time hours of 6pm to 6am for all days
- Future T2 compliance may be achieved with similar transformer and fans
- AC outdoor unit noise emissions are likely negligible compared to transformers

A glossary of terminology is provided in Appendix A.



Figure 1: Auckland Unitary Plan zoning map of site and surrounds

MARSHALL DAY

2.0 PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

2.1 Auckland Unitary Plan Zoning

We note that the site has the underlying designation *8866 Sandspit Substation* within the Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP) and is zoned *Rural - Mixed Rural Zone*. All adjacent sites are zoned *Rural - Mixed Rural Zone* and sites across the intersection to the north are zoned *Residential - Large Lot Zone*.

The AUP zoning map of the site and surrounds is shown in Figure 1 (page 4).

2.2 Noise Performance Standards

Designation 8866 Sandspit Substation contains the following rule in relation to operational noise:

- 8. The operational noise from the substation shall not exceed the following limits at the notional boundary (notional boundary is defined as a line 20m from the side of a rural dwelling existing as at 1 April 2009, or the legal boundary where this is closer to the dwelling):
 - a. Monday to Saturday: 6:00am to 6:00pm 55 dBA Leq
 - b. Sundays & Public Holidays: 6:00am to 6:00pm 50 dBA Leq; and
 - c. At all other times: 45 dBA Leq 75 dBA Lmax.

We understand that the nearest dwelling at 826 Sandspit Road was built prior to 2009 and the limit would apply at its boundary. We note that the nearest building at 836 Sandspit Road is not a dwelling, and the limits would not apply to this site.

We understand that transformer noise levels generally have some variability throughout the day which is dependent upon the demand profile for electricity use. We understand that the transformer would operate in both ONAN (oil natural air natural) and ONAF (oil natural air forced) modes throughout the day, depending on the load and thus cooling requirements. We have assessed T1 noise emissions in both operating modes.

We note that the 45 dB L_{Aeq} 'night-time' level is consistent with the AUP Standard E26.2.5.3(2) noise performance rule for substations, as well as the underlying zone limits in Standards E25.6.3(1) and E25.6.15(1). However, the 'night-time' period in the designation condition generally begins and ends earlier than the AUP period.

3.0 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS

3.1 Noise Measurement Results

We undertook an environmental noise survey on 17 November 2023 between 3:40–4:05am to estimate the noise levels based on traffic. Site photos are included in Appendix B.

We measured noise in general accordance with New Zealand Standard NZS 6801:2008 "Acoustics-Measurement of Environmental Sound" with a Brüel and Kjær 2250 sound level meter. We had measured the noise levels 34m east of the existing local area transformer box. However, noise emissions from the local area transformer were not audible at the measurement location. Two measurements were conducted for a duration of 15 minutes at the same measurement position as shown in Figure 2 below.

The predominant noise source at the measurement position for both measurements was distant traffic. However, we note that during the second measurement at 4:02am there was more traffic activity compared to the first measurement.





Figure 2: Noise measurement position relative to site and surrounds

Our measurement results are summarised in Table 1 below.

Table	1:	Noise	measure	ements	at	measurement	position
-------	----	-------	---------	--------	----	-------------	----------

Measurement Position	Start time	Measu	ired noise l	evels	Comments
	(duration)	dB LAFmax	dB L _{Aeq}	dB LAF90	
Measurement position	3:42am (15:01)	47	30	23	Dominant sources: distant traffic (paused out near traffic), crickets Intermittent sources: briefly roosters, owl
	4:02am (15:04)	52	33	25	Dominant sources: distant traffic (paused out near traffic) - more traffic activity, cricket noise Intermittent sources: rooster and frogs

Traffic noise is also anticipated to be the dominant ambient noise source during the daytime. We understand that daily traffic flows on Sandspit Road would be greater than 7500 ADT based on 2020 data¹. Estimated daytime traffic noise levels on the most exposed façade of 826 Sandspit Road using the UK Calculation of Road-Traffic Noise (CRTN) method² are 60–65 dB L_{Aeq}.

This document may not be reproduced in full or in part without the written consent of Marshall Day Acoustics Limited Rp 001 20230437 MZ (Assessment of Acoustic Effects)

¹ Source: Mobile Road <u>https://mobileroad.org/</u>

² Waka Kotahi Road traffic noise calculator <u>https://www.nzta.govt.nz/roads-and-rail/highways-information-portal/technical-disciplines/environment-and-sustainability-in-our-operations/environmental-technical-areas/noise-and-vibration/road-traffic-noise-calculator/</u>

4.0 OPERATIONAL NOISE MODELLING AND ASSESSMENT

4.1 Noise Model Data and Assumptions

We have received the *Civil and Structural* plans prepared by ElectroNet and dated December 2023. The proposed site plan for the substation has been included in Figure 3 below.

Figure 3: Proposed site plan of Sandspit Substation



We have created a computer noise model of the site and surrounds. We understand that earthworks will be undertaken as part of the development of the site to raise the ground elevation. We have assumed a flat terrain for our model such that the floor elevation of the transformer bay is similar to the dwelling receivers.

We used the following inputs:

- Indicative 15/20 MVA (in ONAN cooling mode) transformer sound power level³ of 61 dB L_{WA}, located 2.0 metres above the transformer bay floor level
- Indicative fan sound pressure level of **77 dB L**Aeq,1m from the ARS52-4P-F50 data sheet for each fan:
 - o 11 fans per transformer, total sound pressure level of 85 dB L_{Aeq,1m}
 - Spectrum⁴ derived from 1m sound pressure level measurements at Spur Road Substation

This document may not be reproduced in full or in part without the written consent of Marshall Day Acoustics Limited

Rp 001 20230437 MZ (Assessment of Acoustic Effects)

³ Based on spectrum from data sheet of transformer prepared for Kaukapakapa Zone Substation (APPENDIX C)

⁴ As the fan noise spectrum was not provided, we adapted the spectrum of a transformer with fan operating measured at Spur Road Substation (Appendix C). The transformer component of the spectrum was removed from the combined measurement to isolate the fan noise spectrum. As the shape of the fan noise spectrum was adapted, rather than absolute levels, this will have a negligible influence in overall predicted noise levels.



- Located 1.5 metres above the transformer bay floor level
- Non-acoustic weather louvres, and 165mm precast concrete walls lined with 100mm absorptive acoustic lining (e.g., AAB 25-100) for the transformer bays
- 2 outdoor AC units positioned on the west side of the switch-room, with sound power level⁵
 58 dB L_{WA} for each unit, with typical broadband spectrum
- No barrier attenuation from retaining wall on the south-west boundary (below transformer bay R.L.) and security fence

4.2 Operational Noise Assessment

We have predicted noise levels with methods in accordance with ISO 9613-2:1996 "Acoustics - Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors - Part 2: General method of calculation". We understand that the transformer will operate in ONAN or ONAF cooling configurations during the daytime, and ONAN only during night-time hours unless in an emergency situation. Therefore, only ONAN emissions will be assessed against the night-time limit.

Noise levels from naturally cooled transformers (ONAN configuration) tend to have a tonal character which is particularly prominent at 100 and 200 Hz third-octave bands. A +5 dB 'special audible character' penalty⁶ has been added to the predicted L_{Aeq} level to obtain the noise rating level L_{R} .

ONAF transformers are generally dominated by fan noise and have a broadband character, particularly when the fans are at least 10 dB louder than the transformer. As this is the case for our data, we assume that daytime noise emissions are dominated by the fans which would adequately mask any tonal components.

Receiver Address	Distance to (notional)	Predicted ONAF Levels	Predicted ONAN Levels	
	boundary from subject site	dB L _{Aeq}	dB L _{Aeq}	dB L _R
826 Sandspit Road	20 m	47	28	33
337 Sharp Road	62 m	45	28	33
339 Sharp Road	61 m	42	22	27
903 Sandspit Road	105 m	38	18	23
12 Mahurangi East Road	145 m	37	17	22

The predicted noise levels and assessed rating level is summarised in Table 3.

Table 2: Predicted noise levels from proposed T1 operational emissions at nearest (notional) boundaries

Noise levels/ratings highlighted in:

- Green indicate compliance with noise limits at all times
- Orange indicate compliance with daytime noise limits only

Our assessment indicates that noise emissions from:

• ONAF operation up to 47 dB L_{Aeq} and complies with designation daytime (including Sunday and public holiday) noise limits, broadband noise unlikely noticeable at nearest receiver

This document may not be reproduced in full or in part without the written consent of Marshall Day Acoustics Limited Rp 001 20230437 MZ (Assessment of Acoustic Effects)

⁵ Based on assumptions for Vector West Wiri Zone Substation Rp 001 20201085 issued to Jacobs NZ Ltd

⁶ In accordance with the provisions in NZS 6802:2008 Appendix B4 for 'special audible character'



- ONAN operation up to 33 dB L_R and complies with designation noise limits for all times, tonal character likely noticeable at boundary of nearest receiver at night but not within dwelling
- AC outdoor units are up to 20 dB LAeq and negligible compared to transformer emissions

We understand that the operation of the fans may be disabled during the night-time period to avoid infringing the night-time noise limit. We understand that the fans may operate at night-time during an emergency resulting in the failure of nearby substations. This may result in a minor infringement of up to 2 decibels at the boundary of 826 Sandspit Road, depending on number of fans in operation. The predicted incident level on the nearest dwelling façade is up to 35 dB L_{Aeq}, audible above the ambient noise levels in the area. However, these levels would be less than 25 dB L_{Aeq} within a most exposed bedroom, and highly unlikely to cause sleep disturbance even with windows open.

We note that a future T2 transformer would be installed north of the T1 transformer. Assuming similar transformer and fan noise level and enclosure construction, this is likely to increase noise emissions to the north and east by 3–4 decibels. Noise levels at 826 Sandspit Road are unlikely to increase materially due to shielding by the switch-room building.

5.0 CONCLUSION

We have assessed the noise emissions and effects from the proposed Vector Sandspit Substation. In conclusion:

- T1 transformer emissions are predicted to meet designation noise limits, and are considered reasonable in the existing noise environment
 - Transformer ONAN (no fans) operation complies with designation noise limits for all times
 - Transformer ONAF (with fans) operation complies with designation daytime (including Sunday and public holiday) noise levels
 - Fans will be disabled during the night-time (6pm to 6am) but may operate in emergencies, unlikely to cause sleep disturbance if they operate
- Future T2 transformer emissions are likely to meet designation noise limits
- AC outdoor unit noise emissions are likely negligible compared to transformers

APPENDIX A GLOSSARY OF TERMINOLOGY

A-weighting	The process by which noise levels are corrected to account for the non-linear frequency response of the human ear.
Ambient	The ambient noise level is the noise level measured in the absence of the intrusive noise or the noise requiring control. Ambient noise levels are frequently measured to determine the situation prior to the addition of a new noise source.
dB	<u>Decibel</u> The unit of sound level.
	Expressed as a logarithmic ratio of sound pressure P relative to a reference pressure of Pr=20 μ Pa i.e., dB = 20 x log(P/Pr)
dBA	The unit of sound level which has its frequency characteristics modified by a filter (A-weighted) so as to more closely approximate the frequency bias of the human ear.
Frequency	The number of pressure fluctuation cycles per second of a sound wave. Measured in units of Hertz (Hz).
Hertz (Hz)	Hertz is the unit of frequency. One hertz is one cycle per second. One thousand hertz is a kilohertz (kHz).
LA90 (t)	The A-weighted noise level equalled or exceeded for 90% of the measurement period. This is commonly referred to as the background noise level.
L _{Aeq} (t)	The equivalent continuous (time-averaged) A-weighted sound level. This is commonly referred to as the average noise level.
	The suffix "t" represents the time period to which the noise level relates, e.g. (8 h) would represent a period of 8 hours, (15 min) would represent a period of 15 minutes and (2200-0700) would represent a measurement time between 10 pm and 7 am.
L _{Amax}	The A-weighted maximum noise level. The highest noise level which occurs during the measurement period.
Octave Band	A range of frequencies where the highest frequency included is twice the lowest frequency. Octave bands are referred to by their logarithmic centre frequencies, these being 31.5 Hz, 63 Hz, 125 Hz, 250 Hz, 500 Hz, 1 kHz, 2 kHz, 4 kHz, 8 kHz, and 16 kHz for the audible range of sound.
Special Audible Characteristics	Distinctive characteristics of a sound which are likely to subjectively cause adverse community response at lower levels than a sound without such characteristics. Examples are tonality (e.g. a hum or a whine) and impulsiveness (e.g. bangs or thumps).
SPL or L _P	Sound Pressure Level A logarithmic ratio of a sound pressure measured at distance, relative to the threshold of hearing (20 μ Pa RMS) and expressed in decibels.
SWL or L _w	Sound Power Level A logarithmic ratio of the acoustic power output of a source relative to 10 ⁻¹² watts and expressed in decibels. Sound power level is calculated from measured sound pressure levels and represents the level of total sound power radiated by a sound source.

This document may not be reproduced in full or in part without the written consent of Marshall Day Acoustics Limited



APPENDIX B SITE PHOTOS

Figure 4: Site looking east from 836 Sandspit Road - transformer in photo was not audible during measurement



Figure 5: Site looking south from 836 Sandspit Road



This document may not be reproduced in full or in part without the written consent of Marshall Day Acoustics Limited Rp 001 20230437 MZ (Assessment of Acoustic Effects)


Figure 6: Site looking south-east of 836 Sandspit Road - transformer was not audible during noise measurement



This document may not be reproduced in full or in part without the written consent of Marshall Day Acoustics Limited

APPENDIX C NEW TRANSFORMER SOUND DATA

		DOC. NO. : FW-QLT-T						
alculation of sound pres	sure from sound	power				REV. O	1	Page 1 of 2
erial No. : 3011190038 ustomer : VECTOR LTD), NZ	Contract /F Type	PO No.	4500359 ORS 15	1119 / 70		iE	Standard C 60076-10
15 MVA	33/11	kV	50	Hz	Dyn11	CONN.	3	PHASE
S Lwx N S S 0	= Sound Pressu = Sound power = 60.7 dBA = Height of trans = 2.2 m = Length of pres = 24 m = Total measure = (h+1) l _m = 76.8 m ² = 1 m ²	re Level at level measure sformer tank scribed contou	t rated ad by So	distance 1	e and ON	AN coolin	9	
10 log \$/\$0	= 18.9	1000						
Lwa	= L _{PA} + (10 log	5/90)						
Los	= Sound Pressu = LWA - (10 log	s/S0)						
	= 60.1 - 18.9 dB	IA.						
	= 41.8 dBA							
Acceptance Criteria :	Sound pressure	level at rated	voltage	at 1 mete	r (ONAN) m	sx. 45 dBA	(
Winessed by,						Dates of the set	DEPAR	10020

This document may not be reproduced in full or in part without the written consent of Marshall Day Acoustics Limited

Rp 001 20230437 MZ (Assessment of Acoustic Effects)





This document may not be reproduced in full or in part without the written consent of Marshall Day Acoustics Limited



APPENDIX D NEW (SPUR ROAD) TRANSFORMER ESTIMATED SOUND POWER LEVELS

We have previously measured the noise from the Spur Road Substation at 2004 East Coast Road, Stillwater, Auckland. The measurements were to get an indication of sound power produced by a transformer which is to be relocated to the Coatesville Zone Substation. We measured the transformer on 27 April 2021 from around 10:30pm to 11:30pm.

Noise from a transformer is typically dominated by noise from the fans and the core. In both cases, the noise increases with the load of the transformer. We understand the transformer was only connected to 1 of the 7 feeders, because of decommissioning. Therefore, core noise will be lower than with 7 feeders connected. However, we were able to manually drive the fans at full speed, representing a worst-case fan noise.

We estimated the sound power of the transformer, as in Table 3 below. Note that with only 1 of 7 feeders connected, this estimate of sound power should be used with caution.

Table 3: Estimated sound power level of the Spur Road transformer (T2)

	Estimated Sound Power (dB L _w) of the Transformer in Third Octave Band Centre Frequency (Hz)																								
	50	63	80	100	125	160	200	250	315	400	500	630	800	1k	1.25k	1.6k	2k	2.5k	3.15k	4k	5k	6.3k	8k	10k	dB(A)
No fans	52	52	63	83	63	63	83	64	67	60	61	58	56	53	47	43	41	39	37	36	36	36	35	33	74
With fans	66	69	71	85	78	85	86	80	81	83	83	82	82	81	81	79	77	76	74	72	70	67	64	61	90

This document may not be reproduced in full or in part without the written consent of Marshall Day Acoustics Limited

Appendix Eleven: EMF Review





Sandspit ZSS EMF Review Letter

Project Number: VEC6852

Document: R6178, Rev01

15 December 2023

Prepared By B. Luo, ElectroNet P. Boys, ElectroNet

Mr L

Distribution

Vector is proposing to build Sandspit Zone Substation (Sandspit) along Sandspit Road, approximately 2 km North of Snells Beach. Sandspit will be a 33/11 kV Substation.

Vector has requested ElectroNet assess and report on the (non-ionising radiation) electromagnetic fields (EMF) surrounding the proposed Sandspit site. The CDEGS software (HIFREQ module) has been used to determine the EMF levels and the compliance with the relevant standards and regulations associated with EMF.

The purpose of this review is to compare the calculated EMF produced by the proposed Substation and new 33 kV and 11 kV cabled circuits in line with the New Zealand Ministry of Health, National Radiation Laboratory, 2013, Electric and Magnetic Fields and Your Health: Information on electric and magnetic fields associated with transmission lines, distribution lines and electrical equipment handbook and International Guidelines.

Based on this analysis, our conclusions about the electric and magnetic field environment are:

- 1. The electric field environment remains unchanged following the installation of the proposed 33 kV and 11 kV cables.
- 2. The highest magnetic field at the Sandspit property boundary is predicted to be less than 12.38 μ T. These values are less than 6 % of the public exposure reference level of 200 μ T.

For both electric and magnetic field levels produced, the values are significantly less than the public exposure reference levels given by both New Zealand and International guidelines.

For both low frequency electric and magnetic field levels produced, the values are significantly less than the public exposure reference levels given by both New Zealand and International guidelines.

It should be noted that the EMF produced by the proposed Substation and new 33 kV and 11 kV cabled circuits is defined as non-ionising radiation.

osbornehay

Appendix Twelve: PSI Report



Sandspit Zone Substation, Snells Beach

Preliminary Site Investigation (Ground Contamination)

MITTON ELECTRONET

WWLA0871 | Rev. 1

26 June 2023





Sandspit Zone Substation, Snells Beach

Project no:	WWLA0871
Document title:	Preliminary Site Investigation (Ground Contamination)
Revision:	1
Date:	26 June 2023
Client name:	Mitton Electronet
Project manager:	Wendi Williamson
Author(s):	Penelope Lindsay
File name:	G:\Shared drives\Projects\Mitton Electronet\WWLA0871_Sandspit substation contamination\Deliverables\WWLA_Sandspit Mitton PSI_260623.docx

Williamson Water & Land Advisory

P.O. Box 314 Kumeu New Zealand www.wwla.kiwi

Document history and status

Rev	Date	Description	Ву	Review	Approved
1	26 June 2023	Ground contamination desk study.	Penelope Lindsay	Wendi Williamson	Wendi Williamson

Distribution of copies

Rev	Date issued	Issued to	Comments
1	26 June 2023	Mitton Electronet Ltd.	



Investigation Summary

Williamson Water & Land Advisory Ltd (WWLA) has prepared this ground contamination desk study known as a preliminary site investigation (PSI), to assist Mitton Electronet Ltd with construction of a new substation at Mahurangi East Road next to 896 Sandspit Road, Snells Beach (Lot 1 DP 426584). Limited soil sampling was also undertaken to support the findings of the desk-based assessment into potential for ground contamination. This report also determines whether there are any ground contamination-related resource consent requirements and whether contamination (if present) poses a risk to human health and the environment during and post development of the substation.

The key findings of this report are:

Site history and potential for contamination [Section 3.2 and 3.3]	The site history review indicates that <u>no</u> potentially contaminating activities included on the Ministry for the Environment's Hazardous Activities and Industries List (HAIL) have occurred on the site. The property was covered in scrub/bush, with surrounding land being used for farming from at least 1966 through to clearance in the mid-1990s, after which the site was used for livestock grazing. There have been no significant changes observed on the site since that time, with use for stock grazing continuing to the present day.
Soil sampling and analysis [Section 4]	Soil sampling observations and laboratory testing data confirms that no contamination is evident and relevant contaminant concentrations in soils are consistent with published background levels for the Auckland region. Limited soil sampling was undertaken to confirm a suitable offsite disposal site for spoil during construction. Analysis of composite samples from 0.1 m depth (surficial topsoil) and 0.4 m depth (underlying natural insitu subsoils; clayey silt) showed metal and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) concentrations below published background levels
Conceptual site model (CSM) [Section 5]	As no HAIL activities were identified there is no risk to human health or the environment from proposed earthworks associated with construction of the substation. The site history assessment and soil testing confirm no identified potential sources of contamination associated with current and prior land uses. As there is no source of contamination onsite there are no relevant pathways for contaminants to affect receptors under any land development scenario.
Consenting implications [Section 5.1]	 Ground contamination-related consents are not required under the NESCS or the AUP. Since no HAIL activities have occurred on the site the National Environmental Standards for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health Regulations (NESCS) <u>do not apply</u>. Consent for discharge of contaminants is <u>not required</u> under the Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP) because a source of soil contamination was not identified.
Redevelopment implications [Section 5.2]	 Standard earthworks and health and safety controls will be appropriate during earthworks. Soils are suitable for disposal to cleanfill. The Soil Disposal Certificate attached in Appendix B should be supplied to the disposal site operator to gain acceptance of surplus soil.



Contents

1.	Introduction1	l
1.1	Background	I
1.2	Objective and scope of work	I
1.3	Legislative requirements	2
2.	Site Setting	}
2.1	Site identification and surrounding land use	3
2.2	Environmental setting	3
3.	HAIL Assessment	5
3.1	Current site use	5
3.2	Site history review	ć
3.2.1	Historical aerial photographs	Ś
3.2.2	Auckland Council property file	1
3.3	Potential for contamination	1
4.	Soil Sampling and Analysis	3
4.1	Sampling methodology	3
4.2	Field observations	3
4.3	Soil evaluation criteria	3
4.4	Data evaluation)
5.	Conceptual Site Model10)
6.	Development Implications 11	l
6.1	Consenting1	I
6.1.1	NESCS11	I
6.1.2	Auckland Unitary Plan1	I
6.2	Redevelopment implications11	I
7.	Conclusions	3

Appendices:

Appendix A – Laboratory transcripts Appendix B – Soil Disposal Certificate



1. Introduction

Williamson Water & Land Advisory (WWLA) has prepared this ground contamination desk study, known as a preliminary site investigation (PSI), to assist Mitton Electronet Ltd with construction of a new substation at Mahurangi East Road next to 896 Sandspit Road, Snells Beach (Lot 1 DP 426584), referred to herein as 'the site', location provided in **Figure 1**). This report also includes limited soil sampling undertaken to support permitting of surplus soil for offsite disposal.



Figure 1. Site location, outlined in red. (Image source: LINZ).

1.1 Background

Mitton Electronet propose to construct a new substation for Vector Electricity Ltd (Vector) on land in the Sandspit Zone near Snells Beach, Auckland. The substation will comprise a transformer enclosure (with room for a second transformer to be added at a later date) and a switch room.

This report has been prepared to determine whether land uses that feature on the Ministry for the Environment's Hazardous Activities and Industries List (HAIL) have occurred. Land where HAIL activities have occurred may contain contaminants and are subject to the requirements of the National Environmental Standards for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health (NESCS) Regulations (2011).

1.2 Objective and scope of work

This assessment comprised review of available information and limited soil sampling to establish if there are any implications for ground contamination on the land redevelopment process. The scope of this investigation comprised:

1. Review of the site's history including:



- Historical aerial photographs sourced from Retrolens and Auckland Council (AC) Geomaps.
- The Auckland Council property file.
- 2. Site walkover inspection by a WWLA contaminated land specialist i.e. a Suitably Qualified and Experienced Practitioner (SQEP).
- 3. Assessment of the potential for contamination, based on current and past land use and evaluation of that against the HAIL.
- 4. Collection of composite soil samples for laboratory analysis of typical contaminants.
- 5. Development of a conceptual site model (CSM) to assess contaminant risks and mitigation requirements during the works and post construction.
- 6. Evaluation of consenting requirements and earthworks/construction implications for construction of the new substation.

1.3 Legislative requirements

WWLA has undertaken this investigation and prepared this report in general accordance with requirements of published industry best practice guidance, including:

- Ministry for the Environment (MfE) Contaminated Land Management Guideline No. 1: Reporting on Contaminated Sites in New Zealand (Revised 2021) (CLMG 1).
- MfE's Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No. 5: Site Investigation and Analysis of Soils (Revised 2021) (CLMG5).
- BRANZ, New Zealand Guidelines for Assessing and Managing Asbestos in Soil (November 2017) (NZAG).
- Health and Safety at Work (Asbestos) Regulations (2016).

This report has been prepared, reviewed, and certified by WWLA's SQEPs as described in the NESCS Users' Guide¹. CVs confirming the SQEP status of our contaminated land specialists are available on request.

¹ Ministry for the Environment, April 2012. Users' guide: National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health.



2. Site Setting

2.1 Site identification and surrounding land use

The site is located between 12 Mahurangi East Road and 836 Sandspit Road near Snell's Beach (refer **Figure 1**). Site identification details are presented in **Table 1**. Surrounding land is rural/rural residential.

Table 1. Site identification details

Address	Legal description	Title number	Area (m²)
Sandspit Road, Snells Beach 0982	Lot 1 DP 426584	504681	1398

2.2 Environmental setting

The environmental setting is described in **Table 2**. Features of the environmental setting are considered in the context of their potential to affect the distribution, mobility and form of contamination (if present).

Topography	The topography of the site influences where contaminants may migrate to if present. The land slopes down towards the south-southeast, from an elevation of 52 m RL at the Sandspit Road edge of the site to 47 m RL at the southeastern site corner, forming a shallow gully.					
Geology	Geological conditions are considered in the context of describing the conceptual site model (CSM) (Section 5) should a potential for contamination be identified by this study. For example, more porous soils can enable contaminants to move more quickly and potentially further than clay-rich soils that retain/bind or prevent penetration of contaminants. The published geology (Figure 2) describes the site as underlain by alternating sandstones and mudstones of the East Coast Bays Formation (ECBF). ² This material weathers in-situ to form low permeability silts and clays, and in some areas interbedded lenses of volcaniclastic grits may be present. Deposits are generally greenish grey when fresh and weather to light brown.					
	Figure 2. Published geology of the site. Yellow shading indicates ECBF. (After: Kermode L.O., 1992).					
Hydrogeology	Hydrogeological conditions affect the potential risk of a contaminant entering and being transported in					
	groundwater. Based on the site's elevation and drilling data in the wider area sourced from the New Zealand Geotechnical					

Table 2: Environmental setting.

 ² Kermode, L.O. 1992. Geology of the Auckland urban area, Sheet R11. Scale 1:50,000. Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences geological map
 2. 1 sheet + 63p. Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences Ltd., Lower Hutt, New Zealand.

³ Kermode L.O., 1992. Geology of the Auckland Urban Area, 1:50,000. Department of Lands and Survey.



	Database (NZGD.org.nz), groundwater is expected to be encountered between 2 and 4 m below ground level (m BGL), but with the potential for shallower perched groundwater layers due to the clayey geology. Groundwater is predicted to follow topography and flow south.
Surface water bodies	Surface water features are potential receiving environments should contaminants be present on a site. There are no surface water features in the immediately surrounding area. A tributary of Duck Creek is located around 200 m to the south of the site.
Sensitive receptors	Sensitive environmental receptors could include aquatic or terrestrial ecosystems. This is not an ecological assessment but is instead an initial review of the surrounding environment to assess where contaminants (if present) on the site could migrate to and whether the receiving ecosystem could be vulnerable to contaminants. There are no sensitive environmental receptors in the vicinity of the site (<100 m radius).
	Sensitive human receptors could for example be children at a school or kindergarten on or adjacent to a site. Workers on industrial land (including or adjacent to a site) would be considered less sensitive. This receptor interpretation informs the CSM and also future guideline value selection for evaluation of soil data. The site is located directly east of the dwelling of the neighbouring rural residential property. Occupants of the property are considered potentially sensitive receptors.



3. HAIL Assessment

This section provides a review of current and historical land uses to determine whether any activities listed on MfE's HAIL have occurred on the site.

Current site use 3.1

The site was visited by a SQEP from WWLA on 6 June 2023. The following observations about the conditions and current use of the site are as follows and as illustrated in **Photographs 1 – 2**):

- The property is used for grazing sheep by the neighbouring farm owner, although the land is owned by • Vector. There were no livestock on the site at the time of the visit. No evidence of a sheep dip was seen.
- The site slopes down to the south and has a shallow north/south orientated gully running along the eastern boundary that flows southwards.
- There are no structures on the site, nor evidence of filling or prior land development.



Photograph 1. View from southern boundary of site looking north towards Sandspit Road.



3.2 Site history review

The site history was determined through review of historical aerial photographs and the property file held by Auckland Council, as described in the following subsections and summarised in **Section 3.2.3**.

In summary, the site history review indicates that the site was covered in scrub/bush with surrounding land being used for farming from at least 1966 through to clearance in the mid-1990s, after which the site was used for livestock grazing. There has been no significant change observed on the site since that time, with grazing continuing to the present day.

3.2.1 Historical aerial photographs

Historical aerial imagery available from the Auckland Council GIS viewer (Geomaps), Retrolens.co.nz and Google Earth were reviewed and are summarised in **Table 3**.

Table 3. Historical aerial photograph review.

Photograph date (source)	Activities	Aerial image (approximate site in yellow outline)
1966 (Retrolens 1875/5039/15)	The site is covered in scrub/bush, part of a wider bush-covered allotment. The surrounding land is pasture or bush, and to the west there are properties containing two dwellings and a small orchard around 200 m south.	
1973 (pictured), 1976, 1982, 1992 (Retrolens 3618/4628/12, 5015/J/7, 8104/L/22)	No changes observed on the site or immediate surrounding land through to 1992. In the wider area there is also minimal change noted except for dwellings removed/replaced and some orchard layout adjustments.	

Sandspit Zone Substation, Snells Beach Preliminary Site Investigation (Ground Contamination)



Photograph date (source)	Activities	Aerial image (approximate site in yellow outline)
1996 (Retrolens 9482/G/15)	The site has been cleared of vegetation and appears to be used for pasture. Surrounding land remains largely pastoral, and the orchard to the south has been partially removed.	
2006 (pictured), 2008, 2010, 2017, AC Geomaps	No changes observed on site except for construction of a wooden livestock loading ramp. A shed has been constructed on land to the west of the site, and in the wider area rural residential use continues with additional subdivision/development occurring.	

3.2.2 Auckland Council property file

The property file for the site was received from Auckland Council on 13 June 2023. All documents in the file related to the 2009 resource consent application by Vector to subdivide the wider lot for the purposes of constructing an electrical substation. There was no evidence of any prior contaminating land uses.

3.3 Potential for contamination

This investigation confirms that no HAIL activities have occurred or are likely to have occurred on the site. It is considered highly unlikely there will be a risk to human health or the environment from contaminated soil during earthworks associated with construction of the substation.



4. Soil Sampling and Analysis

Soil sampling was undertaken to confirm a suitable offsite disposal site for spoil during construction.

In summary, no contaminants in soil exceeded published background values which in consistent with field observations and the HAIL assessment.

4.1 Sampling methodology

Soil was sampled on 6 June 2023 by a WWLA SQEP from three locations (refer **Figure 2** for sampling locations). Soil samples were collected from topsoil (0.1 m) and in natural material immediately underlying the topsoil (0.4 m) using a trowel and hand auger. The sampling procedure was as follows:

- Materials encountered were logged in general accordance with the NZ Geotechnical Society "Guidelines for the classification and field description of soils and rocks for engineering purposes".
- Soil sampling was in general accordance with the MfE's "Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No.
 5, Site Investigation and Analysis of Soils (Revised 2021)" (CLMG No. 5). This involved:
 - Collection of samples using gloved hands directly from the trowel (topsoil) and hand auger (subsoils) and placed into laboratory-supplied glass jars.
 - The trowel and hand auger were decontaminated between samples by using a phosphate-free detergent and freshwater rinses, and gloves changed.
 - Samples were couriered to the laboratory chilled, under chain of custody documentation, soon after they were collected.

All samples were sent to Hill Laboratories (Hamilton) for analysis (an IANZ-accredited laboratory). The laboratory composited the samples, as per the requirements of CLMG5, as follows:

- Samples from S1-S3 at 0.1 m depth were composited as Composite 0.1 m.
- Samples from S1-S3 at 0.4 m depth were composited as Composite 0.4 m.

Samples were analysed for heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) which are common contaminants and testing of other contaminants was not necessary based on the historical review.

4.2 Field observations

Around 0.3 m of saturated topsoil (brown wet silt with minor clay) was observed at each location surface. ECBF soils were encountered below the topsoil, comprising yellow-orange firm, wet, clayey silt. No visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was noted.

4.3 Soil evaluation criteria

Soil results were compared to published non-volcanic background concentrations for Auckland described in TP153⁴ and Table E30.6.1.4.2 of the Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP), which is used as a basis for acceptance of soil to cleanfill sites. Background values are also considered when assessing the activity status of the NESCS for soil disturbance.

⁴ Auckland Regional Council, Technical Publication 153 (TP153): Background concentrations of inorganic elements in soil from the Auckland Urban Region.



4.4 Data evaluation

Laboratory transcripts are attached in **Appendix A**. The results of the laboratory analysis are compared with published background concentrations for non-volcanic soils in **Table 5**, which shows that all results are below background.



Figure 3. Sampling plan, showing proposed footprint of substation development in white (dashed).

Table 4. Laboratory testing results summary, Lot 1 DP 426584, Sandspit Road.

Sample ID:	Non-volcanic	Composite	Composite	
Depth (m):	background for the	0.1	0.4	
Date:	Auckland Region	6/06/2023	6/06/2023	
Geological unit:		Topsoil	Clayey silt	
Arsenic	12	< 2	< 2	
Cadmium	0.65	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Chromium	55	6	8	
Copper	45	4	2	
Lead	65	6.8	3.2	
Nickel	35	< 2	< 2	
Zinc	180	13	< 4	
Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""></ld<>	

Note. Results in mg/kg



5. Conceptual Site Model

A conceptual site model (CSM) indicates known and potential sources of contamination, routes of exposure (pathways), and the receptors that are affected by contaminants moving along those pathways. Receptors may be people or the environment. A CSM is the key evaluation process in determining whether potential or actual contamination poses a risk to people or the environment should a proposed activity (in this case soil disturbance and construction of a substation) occur.

The site history assessment shows there are no identified potential sources of contamination associated with current and prior land uses. As there is no source of contamination of soil remaining on site there are no relevant pathways for contaminants to affect receptors in any land development scenario.



6. Development Implications

6.1 Consenting

A summary of contaminated land-related consenting requirements is provided in **Table 5** and discussed in detail in the following sections.

Regulatory Framework	Activity/Rule	Consent required
NESCS	5(2) Removal of a fuel storage system	Activity not proposed.
	5(3) Soil sampling	Activity not proposed.
	5(4) Disturbing soil	No HAIL activities identified, so rules do not apply.
	5(5) Subdivision	Activity not proposed.
	5(6) Land use change	No HAIL activities identified, so rules do not apply.
AUP	E30.6.1.2 Discharges of contaminants from soil disturbance activities	No source of potential soil contamination identified thus rules do not apply.

Table 5. Consenting requirements

6.1.1 NESCS

The NESCS sets out nationally consistent planning controls appropriate to district and city councils for assessing potential effects on human health from contaminants in soil. **Table 6**, as provided in the NESCS Users' Guide (April 2012), confirms <u>the NESCS does not apply</u> to the site and <u>consent is not required</u>.

Table 6: PSI checklist (NESCS Users' Guide)

NESCS Requirement	Applicable to site?
Is an activity described on the HAIL currently being undertaken on the piece of land to which this application applies?	No
Has an activity described on the HAIL ever been undertaken on the piece of land to which this application applies?	No
Is it more likely than not that an activity described on the HAIL is being or has been undertaken on the piece of land to which this application applies?	No
If 'No' to all the above, then the NESCS does not apply.	

6.1.2 Auckland Unitary Plan

The AUP Section E30 contains rules that address discharges to the environment, both during works and in the long term. The contaminated land rules of the AUP apply to soils with contaminant levels that exceed the permitted activity discharge criteria (Table E30.6.1.4.1 of the plan) and where an earthworks volume of more than 200 m³ is proposed.

Since there is no source of soil contamination identified Section E30 of the AUP does not apply to the site.

6.2 Redevelopment implications

This investigation has found that prior land uses do not have potential to cause ground contamination and laboratory testing confirms soils comply with the published background and the cleanfill criteria. The implications for development of the substation are summarised in **Table 8**.



There are no long-term ground contamination management requirements following completion of earthworks and construction of the substation, nor are there any contamination considerations should the second transformer compound be constructed in the future.

Table 7. Earthworks requirements.

Earthworks controls	Standard earthworks controls as set out in Auckland Council's GD05 – <i>Erosion and Sediment Control Guide for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region</i> will be suitable during soil disturbance.
Health and safety	There are no specific contamination-related health and safety requirements for onsite workers during or following earthworks.
Soil disposal	Soils are suitable for disposal to cleanfill. The Soil Disposal Certificate attached in Appendix B should be supplied to the disposal site operator to gain acceptance of surplus soil.



7. Conclusions

This report has been prepared to comply with the requirements of a PSI and has been undertaken to support construct a new substation for Vector on Lot 1 DP 426584 in the Sandspit zone near Snells Beach, Auckland. Soil testing undertaken as part of this assessment assists soil disposal permitting.

The main findings of this investigation are:

- No HAIL activities were identified. The site was in scrub/bush until the 1990s, then was used for stock grazing. As no source of soil contamination is identified, the NESCS regulations do not apply to the soil disturbance proposed as part of the development.
- Consents are also not required under the AUP.
- Surplus soils generated during the substation earthworks are suitable for disposal to cleanfill. The Soil Disposal Certificate attached in **Appendix B** should be supplied to the disposal site operator to gain acceptance of surplus soil.



Appendix A. Laboratory Transcripts



R J Hill Laboratories Limited 28 Duke Street Frankton 3204 Private Bag 3205 Hamilton 3240 New Zealand

Sobolic HILL LAB (44 555 22)
 +64 7 858 2000
 Mail@hill-labs.co.nz
 Www.hill-labs.co.nz

Page 1 of 2

Certificate of Analysis

Client:	Williamson Water & Land Advisory Limited	La
Contact:	Penelope Lindsay	D
	C/- Williamson Water & Land Advisory Limited	D
	PO Box 314	Q
	Kumeu 0841	0
		C

Lab No:	3297227	SPv1
Date Received:	08-Jun-2023	
Date Reported:	15-Jun-2023	
Quote No:	94634	
Order No:	WWLA0871	
Client Reference:	WWLA0871	
Submitted By:	Penelope Lindsay	

Sample Type: Soil

Sample Name:		Composite of HA1 0.1m, HA2 0.1m & HA3 0.1m	Composite of HA1 0.4m, HA2 0.4m & HA3 0.4m	
Lab Number:		3297227.7	3297227.8	
Individual Tests				
Dry Matter	g/100g as rcvd	71	77	
Heavy Metals, Screen Level				
Total Recoverable Arsenic	mg/kg dry wt	< 2	< 2	
Total Recoverable Cadmium	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Total Recoverable Chromium	mg/kg dry wt	6	8	
Total Recoverable Copper	mg/kg dry wt	4	2	
Total Recoverable Lead	mg/kg dry wt	6.8	3.2	
Total Recoverable Nickel	mg/kg dry wt	< 2	< 2	
Total Recoverable Zinc	mg/kg dry wt	13	< 4	
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarb	ons Screening in S	Soil*		
Total of Reported PAHs in Soil	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.4	< 0.3	
1-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
2-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Acenaphthene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Anthracene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Benzo[a]anthracene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Benzo[a]pyrene (BAP)	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Benzo[a]pyrene Potency Equivalency Factor (PEF) NES	mg/kg dry wt S*	< 0.034	< 0.030	
Benzo[a]pyrene Toxic Equivalence (TEF)*	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.034	< 0.030	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene + Benzo fluoranthene	[j] mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Benzo[e]pyrene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Chrysene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Fluoranthene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Fluorene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Naphthalene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.07	< 0.07	
Perylene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Phenanthrene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	
Pyrene	mg/kg dry wt	< 0.014	< 0.013	



This Laboratory is accredited by International Accreditation New Zealand (IANZ), which represents New Zealand in the International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation (ILAC). Through the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement (ILAC-MRA) this accreditation is internationally recognised. The tests reported herein have been performed in accordance with the terms of accreditation, with the exception of tests marked * or any comments and interpretations, which are not accredited.

Summary of Methods

The following table(s) gives a brief description of the methods used to conduct the analyses for this job. The detection limits given below are those attainable in a relatively simple matrix. Detection limits may be higher for individual samples should insufficient sample be available, or if the matrix requires that dilutions be performed during analysis. A detection limit range indicates the lowest and highest detection limits in the associated suite of analytes. A full listing of compounds and detection limits are available from the laboratory upon request. Unless otherwise indicated, analyses were performed at Hill Labs, 28 Duke Street, Frankton, Hamilton 3204.

Sample Type: Soil					
Test	Method Description	Default Detection Limit	Sample No		
Environmental Solids Sample Drying*	Air dried at 35°C Used for sample preparation. May contain a residual moisture content of 2-5%.	-	7-8		
Total of Reported PAHs in Soil	Sonication extraction, GC-MS/MS analysis. In-house based on US EPA 8270.	0.03 mg/kg dry wt	7-8		
Heavy Metals, Screen Level	Dried sample, < 2mm fraction. Nitric/Hydrochloric acid digestion US EPA 200.2. Complies with NES Regulations. ICP- MS screen level, interference removal by Kinetic Energy Discrimination if required.	0.10 - 4 mg/kg dry wt	7-8		
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Screening in Soil*	Sonication extraction, GC-MS/MS analysis. Tested on as received sample. In-house based on US EPA 8270.	0.010 - 0.05 mg/kg dry wt	7-8		
Dry Matter	Dried at 103°C for 4-22hr (removes 3-5% more water than air dry), gravimetry. (Free water removed before analysis, non-soil objects such as sticks, leaves, grass and stones also removed). US EPA 3550.	0.10 g/100g as rcvd	7-8		
Composite Environmental Solid Samples*	Individual sample fractions mixed together to form a composite fraction.	-	1-6		
Benzo[a]pyrene Potency Equivalency Factor (PEF) NES*	BaP Potency Equivalence calculated from; Benzo(a)anthracene x 0.1 + Benzo(b)fluoranthene x 0.1 + Benzo(j)fluoranthene x 0.1 + Benzo(k)fluoranthene x 0.1 + Benzo(a)pyrene x 1.0 + Chrysene x 0.01 + Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene x 1.0 + Fluoranthene x 0.01 + Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene x 0.1. Ministry for the Environment. 2011. Methodology for Deriving Standards for Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.	0.024 mg/kg dry wt	7-8		
Benzo[a]pyrene Toxic Equivalence (TEF)*	Benzo[a]pyrene Toxic Equivalence (TEF) calculated from; Benzo[a]pyrene x 1.0 + Benzo(a)anthracene x 0.1 + Benzo(b) fluoranthene x 0.1 + Benzo(k)fluoranthene x 0.1 + Chrysene x 0.01 + Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene x 1.0 + Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene x 0.1. Guidelines for assessing and managing contaminated gasworks sites in New Zealand (GMG) (MfE, 1997).	0.024 mg/kg dry wt	7-8		

These samples were collected by yourselves (or your agent) and analysed as received at the laboratory.

Testing was completed between 08-Jun-2023 and 15-Jun-2023. For completion dates of individual analyses please contact the laboratory.

Samples are held at the laboratory after reporting for a length of time based on the stability of the samples and analytes being tested (considering any preservation used), and the storage space available. Once the storage period is completed, the samples are discarded unless otherwise agreed with the customer. Extended storage times may incur additional charges.

This certificate of analysis must not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of the signatory.

Herrison

Kim Harrison MSc Client Services Manager - Environmental



Appendix B. Soil Disposal Certificate





SOIL DISPOSAL CERTIFICATE

Site ID:

Lot 1 DP 426584 next to 896 Sandspit Road, Snells Beach

Rev 1, 26 June 2023

Overview:

Vector Ltd are constructing a new substation at Lot 1 DP 426584 at Sandspit Road, Snells Beach. A preliminary site investigation (PSI)¹ by WWLA has found that the site was in scrub/bush cover until the 1990s, then was used for stock grazing. Soil testing was undertaken to support this disposal certificate as is a requirement of cleanfills regardless of HAIL status. WWLA are qualified SQEPs and have contamination investigations in accordance with industry best practice guidance set out in MfE's CLMG5².



Figure 1. Sampling locations and proposed substation footprint.

HAIL activities:		None				
Field observation	ons:	No visual or olfactory evidence of contamination noted. Natural ground is topsoil to 0.3 m underlain by clayey silt of the East Coast Bays Formation.				
Testing undertaken at IANZ-accredited• Samples were collected from HA1-HA3 at 0.1 m depth and 0.4 m composited by the laboratory into two samples representing layer		4 m depth (Figure 1) and ayer at 0.1 and 0.4mBGL.				
laboratory:	 Samples were tested for metals (arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nicke and zinc) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). 			ı, copper, lead, nickel		
	Laboratory transcripts are Attached . Results have been compared to published non-volcanic background concentrations for Auckland described in TP153 ³ .			l to published non- 53³.		
		No contaminants are reported above background levels.				
Soil Disposal Requirements:						
Material Type	Depth (ml	BGL)	Auckland	Auckland	Auckland	Reuse on site (if

Material Type	Depth (mBGL)	Auckland Cleanfill	Auckland Managed Fill	Auckland Licensed Landfill	Reuse on site (if geotechnically suitable)
Topsoil	0 – 0.3 mBGL	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Natural ground	Below topsoil	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

¹ WWLA, 26 June 2023. Sandspit Zone Substation, Snells Beach – Preliminary Site Investigation (Ground Contamination). Rev 1.

² Ministry for the Environment (2021). Contaminated Land Management Guideline No.5 Site Investigation and Analysis of Soils.

³ Auckland Regional Council, Technical Publication 153 (TP153): Background concentrations of inorganic elements in soil from the Auckland Urban Region.

osbornehay

Report Prepared By: David Hay

Version: Final

Updated: 19 September 2024

Osborne Hay (North) Limited PO Box 16 Mobile: 027 425-0234 Warkworth 0941

This document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission.

Attachment 2: Strike through and underline text

8866 Sandspit Substation

Designation Number	8866
Requiring Authority	Vector Ltd
Location	Mahurangi East Road (Lot 1 DP 426584), Snells Beach
Rollover Designation	Yes
Legacy Reference	Designation 617, Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011
Lapse Date	31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior

Purpose

Substation.

Conditions

General

 The activity shall proceed in general accordance with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement under s181(3) submitted to Council dated 2 April 2009 <u>19 September 2024</u> and the plans Proposed Site Layout 4262-8024 Rev. 7 dated <u>1/8/2024</u>, Finished Site Contours 4262-8043 <u>Rev 2 dated 18/7/2024</u>, <u>Switchroom Elevations 4262-8150 Rev. 4 dated 30/4/2024 and</u> <u>Transformer Enclosure Elevations 4262-8350 Rev. 3 dated 28/2/2024</u> drawn by <u>Vector</u> <u>Limited</u>. Construkt Architects Limited, including the Proposed Site Plan dated 20/04/09, Basement & Ground Floor Plans and Building Sections plans dated 1/04/09, and the Building Elevation plan dated 7/07/09 all numbered L55148 and signed by Processing Planner Laura Christian, dated 08/06/09.

Outline Plan

2. If either the final design and layout of the substation does not reflect the design and layout submitted with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement <u>under s181(3)</u>, or if there are any future additional works proposed that are not permitted by the Unitary Plan, an outline plan shall be submitted in accordance with section 176A of the Resource Management Act 1991 to the Auckland Council, before any construction is commenced. However, where the future additional work is in accordance with the <u>Alteration</u> Notice of Requirement <u>under s181(3)</u> or is permitted by the Unitary Plan, then the requirement for an Outline Plan is waived under s176A(2).

Landscape Plan

 The landscaping plan (Isthmus Group Ltd, Drawing <u>01.01 Rev. Proposed Landscape Plan</u> 2633, Figure 5, dated <u>29/4/2024</u> <u>6/07/09</u>) shown in Appendix 15AA(i), shall be implemented during the first planting season after the construction of the substation. The landscaping shall be maintained thereafter.

Fencing

4. The applicant shall construct a post and batten fence around the perimeter of the substation site prior to the substation becoming operational.

Traffic Management Plan

5. Prior to any construction being undertaken, a temporary Traffic Management Plan is required to be submitted to Council and approved by Manager - Resource consents and Compliance in consultation with Auckland Transport (the road controlling authority).

Access

- 6. The following measures shall be implemented prior to the operation of the substation:
- a. Driveway and access to be constructed to a best practice standard;
- b. A sightline covenant as shown in the plans provided with the subdivision application (to the east if the driveway) is to be established;
- c. The small bunch of agapanthus within the road reserve near the driveway crossing for 836
 Sandspit Road is to be removed at the cost of the applicant, to the approval of the Manager
 Resource Consents and Compliance;
- d. Further details of the drainage design for the driveway should be provided by the applicant, including culvert size and end treatment; and
- e. The open channel surrounding the driveway shall be built up to a slope no steeper than 1 in 4 on either side of the driveway.

Construction Noise

- 7. Noise from construction activities shall not exceed the limits recommended in and shall be measured and assessed in accordance with, NZS6803:1999, Acoustics — Construction Noise. Note that the noise limit between the hours of 2000 to 0630 weekdays, 1800 to 0630 Saturdays and at all times on Sundays and Public Holidays, may mean that no construction work can take place.
- 8. (operation noise) The operational noise from the substation shall not exceed the following limits at the notional boundary (notional boundary is defined as a line 20m from the side of a rural dwelling existing as at 1 April 2009, or the legal boundary where this is closer to the dwelling):
- a. Monday to Saturday: 6:00am to 6:00pm 55 dBA Leq;
- b. Sundays & Public Holidays: 6:00am to 6:00pm 50 dBA Leq; and

c. At all other times: 45 dBA Leq 75 dBA Lmax.

Archaeological

- 9. If any artefact, including human remains is exposed during any site works the following procedures shall apply:
- a. Immediately when an artefact is unearthed, all site works shall cease; and
- b. The site supervisor shall immediately secure the area in a way that ensures any artefacts or remains are untouched; and
- c. The site supervisor shall notify the following groups that an archaeological site has been unearthed, so that appropriate action can be taken:
- i. Tangata whenua,
- ii. The New Zealand Historic Places Trust;
- iii. The Department of Conservation;
- iv. The Council's RMA Compliance Administration Officer (telephone 301 0101); and
- v. In the case of human remains the New Zealand Police.

Lapse

10. 31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior

Attachments

Proposed Vector Sandspit Substation Landscape Concept Plan



Attachment 3: Clean text
8866 Sandspit Substation

Designation Number	8866
Requiring Authority	Vector Ltd
Location	Mahurangi East Road (Lot 1 DP 426584), Snells Beach
Rollover Designation	Yes
Legacy Reference	Designation 617, Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011
Lapse Date	31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior

Purpose

Substation.

Conditions

General

 The activity shall proceed in general accordance with the Alteration Notice of Requirement under s181(3) submitted to Council dated 19 September 2024 and the plans Proposed Site Layout 4262-8024 Rev. 7 dated 1/8/2024, Finished Site Contours 4262-8043 Rev 2 dated 18/7/2024, Switchroom Elevations 4262-8150 Rev. 4 dated 30/4/2024 and Transformer Enclosure Elevations 4262-8350 Rev. 3 dated 28/2/2024 drawn by Vector Limited.

Outline Plan

2. If either the final design and layout of the substation does not reflect the design and layout submitted with the Alteration Notice of Requirement under s181(3), or if there are any future additional works proposed that are not permitted by the Unitary Plan, an outline plan shall be submitted in accordance with section 176A of the Resource Management Act 1991 to the Auckland Council, before any construction is commenced. However, where the future additional work is in accordance with the Alteration Notice of Requirement under s181(3) or is permitted by the Unitary Plan, then the requirement for an Outline Plan is waived under s176A(2).

Landscape Plan

3. The landscaping plan (Isthmus Group Ltd, Drawing 01.01 Rev. Proposed Landscape Plan, dated 29/4/2024) shown in Appendix 15AA(i), shall be implemented during the first planting season after the construction of the substation. The landscaping shall be maintained thereafter.

Fencing

4. The applicant shall construct a post and batten fence around the perimeter of the substation site prior to the substation becoming operational.

Traffic Management Plan

5. Prior to any construction being undertaken, a temporary Traffic Management Plan is required to be submitted to Council and approved by Manager - Resource consents and Compliance in consultation with Auckland Transport (the road controlling authority).

Access

- 6. The following measures shall be implemented prior to the operation of the substation:
- a. Driveway and access to be constructed to a best practice standard;
- b. A sightline covenant as shown in the plans provided with the subdivision application (to the east if the driveway) is to be established;
- c. The small bunch of agapanthus within the road reserve near the driveway crossing for 836
 Sandspit Road is to be removed at the cost of the applicant, to the approval of the Manager
 Resource Consents and Compliance;
- d. Further details of the drainage design for the driveway should be provided by the applicant, including culvert size and end treatment; and
- e. The open channel surrounding the driveway shall be built up to a slope no steeper than 1 in 4 on either side of the driveway.

Construction Noise

- 7. Noise from construction activities shall not exceed the limits recommended in and shall be measured and assessed in accordance with, NZS6803:1999, Acoustics Construction Noise. Note that the noise limit between the hours of 2000 to 0630 weekdays, 1800 to 0630 Saturdays and at all times on Sundays and Public Holidays, may mean that no construction work can take place.
- 8. (operation noise) The operational noise from the substation shall not exceed the following limits at the notional boundary (notional boundary is defined as a line 20m from the side of a rural dwelling existing as at 1 April 2009, or the legal boundary where this is closer to the dwelling):
- a. Monday to Saturday: 6:00am to 6:00pm 55 dBA Leq;
- b. Sundays & Public Holidays: 6:00am to 6:00pm 50 dBA Leq; and
- c. At all other times: 45 dBA Leq 75 dBA Lmax.

Archaeological

9. If any artefact, including human remains is exposed during any site works the following procedures shall apply:

- a. Immediately when an artefact is unearthed, all site works shall cease; and
- b. The site supervisor shall immediately secure the area in a way that ensures any artefacts or remains are untouched; and
- c. The site supervisor shall notify the following groups that an archaeological site has been unearthed, so that appropriate action can be taken:
- i. Tangata whenua,
- ii. The New Zealand Historic Places Trust;
- iii. The Department of Conservation;
- iv. The Council's RMA Compliance Administration Officer (telephone 301 0101); and
- v. In the case of human remains the New Zealand Police.

Lapse

10. 31 August 2032 unless given effect to prior

Attachments

Proposed Vector Sandspit Substation Landscape Concept Plan



Attachment 4: GIS before and after alteration

